Cellular effects of lanthanides on *Mytilus edulis*

P. Pharand,^{1,2} C. Brousseau-Fournier,^{1,2}
C. Côté,^{1,2} F. Gagné,³ M. Fournier,^{1,2}
P. Brousseau^{1,2}

¹Parc de la rivière Mitis, Ste-Flavie,
Québec; ²ISMER, Université du Québec
à Rimouski, Rimouski, Québec;

³Environnement Canada, Centre Saint-
Laurent, Montréal, Québec, Canada

Introduction

Lanthanides form a small group of elements included between lanthanum (57) (La) and lutetium (71) (Lu) in the periodic table and they all present similar chemical and electrical properties.¹ Lanthanides most common valence is (+3) when dissolved, but present also (+2) and (+4) in oxidation states.^{1,2} Lanthanides ions, like La³⁺ and Gadolinium³⁺, show competition by blocking voltage-gated calcium channels in different type of cells and tissues since their ionic radii are similar to calcium ions (+2, +3). Yttrium³⁺, Erbium³⁺, Neodymium³⁺ and Cerium³⁺ also demonstrate the capacity of interfering with calcium channels.^{2,3} The elements (+3) in this group are also able, among other things, to block Na⁺/Ca²⁺ synaptic plasma exchange membrane and the Ca²⁺-ATPase in the sarcoplasmic reticulum in muscles.² Lanthanides are also called rare-earth elements but in fact, they are not that rare in nature.³ Indeed, they are used in many fields because of different properties such as metallurgical, optical and electronic industries which increase their artificial occurrence in nature and so the chances of those elements to get in contact with humans and animals, affecting their metabolic processes.³ Some lanthanides were also found in runoff and wastewater from agriculture sources, contaminating aquatic systems.¹ The availability of lanthanides in water and sediments depends on various factors such as pH, concentration, temperature and types of organic and inorganic ligands.¹ The biological toxicity also varies according to the affected specie.¹ The purpose of the present study is to determine the cytotoxic effect of some lanthanides on the blue mussel *Mytilus edulis*, a well-known sentinel species.

Materials and Methods

Hemolymph collection and cellularity

We know from some literature that lanthanides are not very cytotoxic. However, the viability was assessed in our experimental set-

ting because it is a key element. Before doing any functional assay the viability must be determined. Measures were then taken on eight *Mytilus edulis* per lanthanide. Individuals were picked up at Mitis Bay (48°40' N, 68°00' W) and punctured blank with sterile 3 mL syringes and 21G1½ needles. Cellularity and viability were measured by flow cytometry with BD Accuri™ C6 (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA, USA) and the software used was BD Accuri™ C6 Software (Becton Dickinson; version 1.0.264.21). Hemocyte populations were gated using a density plot (SSC vs FSC) and the fluorescence was recorded on FL3. Measurements were done using 200 L of cells exposed to propidium iodide at 10 µL·mL⁻¹ (Sigma-Aldrich Inc., St. Louis, MO, USA) and those samples with more than 70% of viable cells were processed further.

Lanthanides exposition

The exposure with lanthanides was performed *in vitro* with 180 L of cells from organisms with high viability and the cells were exposed for 21 h 20 µL of one of the seven lanthanides studied in a dose response manner. Lanthanum (La 138), Cerium (Ce 140), Neodymium (Nd 144), Samarium (Sm 154), Gadolinium (Gd 157), Erbium (Er 167) and Yttrium (Y 88) were used at final concentrations of 0 M, 10⁻³ M, 10⁻⁵ M, 10⁻⁷ M and 10⁻⁹ M diluted in distilled water and samples. The cell viability was then reevaluated after the exposure with the same flow cytometry method.

Statistical analysis

The effect of the lanthanides cytotoxicity was expressed in mean ± standard deviation

Correspondence: Michel Fournier, ISMER, Université du Québec à Rimouski, 310 Allée des Ursulines, Rimouski, QC G5L 3A1, Canada.
E-mail: michel_fournier@uqar.ca

Key words: Lanthanides; cell viability; flow cytometry; *Mytilus edulis*; blue mussel.

Conference presentation: part of this paper was presented at *ECOBIM meeting*, 2015 May, Québec City, Québec, Canada.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial 3.0 License (CC BY-NC 3.0).

©Copyright P. Pharand et al., 2015
Licensee PAGEPress, Italy
Journal of Xenobiotics 2015; 5:5781
doi:10.4081/xeno.2015.5781

and the signification of the results was determined by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). The sequences that failed normality test were analyzed by Kruskal-Wallis non-parametrical test. The statistics were calculated using SigmaPlot® version 12.5 software (Systat Software, Inc., San Jose, CA, USA).

Results

The results are presented in Figure 1 and expressed in percent of viable cells. The differences in viability between the controls and the most elevated concentrations (10⁻³ M) of lanthanides are mostly insignificant except for

Figure 1. Average *Mytilus edulis* cell viability (%) after 21 h of exposure to lanthanides ranging from 0 to 10⁻³ mol·L⁻¹ (M), significant results are presented with an asterisk. Figure shows averages but some statistical tests were produced with median when the distribution failed normality test.

samarium and erbium where it decreases significantly. All the lower concentrations do not present significant differences with the control or 10^{-3} M of each lanthanide.

Discussion and Conclusions

The majority of the lanthanides used showed no effect that is consistent with other studies where lanthanides alone do not induce toxic effects.⁴ The concentrations used in this experiment are not far from the ones found in some industrial wastewater like in India where the higher concentration of samarium was around 10^{-5} M.⁵ However, the amounts generally found are below 10^{-7} M.^{5,7} Samarium, which is one of the few lanthanides that showed toxicity in the study even though it was at higher concentration than what is found in the environment, has many uses. It is mainly used in drugs, laser, glass, electronic, nuclear and ceramic industries.⁵ Cytotoxicity as used in the

present study may be not by itself an optimal marker to assess the potential effects of lanthanides because of other low cytotoxicity. Other parameters such as phagocytosis for instance for the immune system have to be measured in order to better understand the full impact of those elements on aquatic life. The monitoring of those elements also needs to go on, since the environmental concentrations are already high, and the climate changes may increase the release of lanthanides from the sediments and render them more available to aquatic ecosystem.

References

1. Gonzalez V, Vignati DAL, Leyval C, Giamberini L. Environmental fate and ecotoxicity of lanthanides: Are they a uniform group beyond chemistry?. *Environ Int* 2014;71:148-57.
2. Fricker SP. The therapeutic application of lanthanides. *Chem Soc Rev* 2006;35:524-33.
3. Paasz A, Czekaj P. Toxicological and cytophysiological aspects of lanthanides action. *Acta Biochim Pol* 2000;47:1107-14.
4. Oliveira MS, Duarte IM, Paiva AV, Yunes SN, Almeida CE, Mattos RC, Sarcinelli PN. The role of chemical interactions between thorium, cerium, and lanthanum in lymphocyte toxicity. *Arch Environ Occup H* 2014;69:40-5.
5. Ratre P, Kumar D. Spectrophotometric determination of trace amounts of samarium in environmental samples. *Am Int J Res Formal Appl Nat Sci* 2013;3:110-8.
6. Bentlin FRS, Pozebon D. Direct determination of lanthanides in environmental samples using ultrasonic nebulization and ICP OES. *J Braz Chem Soc* 2010;21:627-34.
7. Kulaksiz S, Bau M. Rare earth elements in the Rhine River, Germany: first case of anthropogenic lanthanum as a dissolved microcontaminant in the hydrosphere. *Environ Int* 2011;37:973-9.