Effect of lotrafilcon A silicone hydrogel contact lens on intraocular pressure measurement

Carlos García-Resúa, Silvia García-Montero, Hugo Pena-Verdeal, Jorge Gilino, Eva Yebra-Pimentel, Maria J. Giraldez
Department of Applied Physics - Optometry Group, University of Santiago de Compostela, Spain

Abstract

The present paper aims to assess the effect of lotrafilcon A contact lenses (CL) in situ on intraocular pressure (IOP) measurement performed with three portable tonometers (ICare, Tonopen and Perkins). This cross-sectional study included thirty young healthy subjects. Intraocular pressure measurements without CL were performed first, followed by IOP measurements with CL for twenty minutes. ICare IOP measurements obtained with lotrafilcon A CL overestimated IOP values without CL by 1 mmHg (P<0.001). However, both techniques displayed close level of agreement (95% LoA, -4.17 to +1.63 mmHg). Also, differences between both methods tended not to increase (P=0.9). No significant differences were observed between IOP measurements without and with CL for Perkins (paired t test, P=0.23) and Tonopen XL (paired t test, P=0.17). In conclusion, adequate IOP measurements through zero power lotrafilcon A silicone hydrogel CL can be obtained with all three tonometers in healthy eyes, although, in case of ICare, the practitioner must be aware of the 1 mmHg overestimation with CL.

Introduction

Measurement of intraocular pressure (IOP) is an important part of the ocular examination since high IOP is a significant factor of suffering glaucoma.1 Furthermore, assessment of IOP may be necessary in patients wearing soft contact lenses (CL),2,3 which must require removal of the CL and spend some minutes to obtain an accurate measurement.3,4

The use of therapeutic CL in clinical practice is widespread. Contact lenses are used primarily in the treatment of corneal disease to relieve pain, protect the cornea from mechanical trauma, to act as a splint to treat lacerations and small perforations, enhance corneal healing and improve corneal hydration.4 They may also be used to relieve irregular corneal surfaces, thereby improving visual acuity.2 However, therapeutics CL removal to perform IOP measurement is not recommended in many patients, because these lenses must remain in place for extended periods of time,2 especially in patients for whom frequent lens insertion and removal may be associated with epithelial trauma, pain, and a potential increase in infection risk.5 Portable, handheld tonometers have the advantage of being easily transported from site to site for screening examinations and for those patients for whom the use of a chin rest is difficult. They are especially useful when patients cannot move from their home or during the determination of the daily curve of IOP.6

The Perkins application tonometer (Medtronic Solan, Jacksonville, FL, USA) is a portable version of Goldman Applanation tonometer (GAT), also requiring topical instillation of fluorescein and anesthesia. Close agreement has previously been found between both tonometers.2 For that reason, the Perkins was also considered as the reference tonometer when comparing with portable tonometers, such as the gold standard GAT. Tonopen XL (Medtronic Solan), a handheld tonometer using the same principle as the Mackay-Marg tonometer,4 is considered as a good alternative to application tonometry, especially for screening purposes.3 The ICare (Tiolat Oy, Vantaa, Finland) is a portable tonometer that measures the IOP based on processing the rebound movement of a rod probe, resulting from its interaction with the eye.5 Several studies have shown that there is good agreement with respect to GAT,6,7 the NCT Pulsair 3000,11 and also with two portable tonometers such as the Tonopen and Perkins.9 Previous studies have investigated the effect of soft CL in IOP measurement using different techniques, such as Goldmann tonometer,2,3,12 Tonopen,10 and ICare,1 showing that IOP readings over thin hydrogel and silicone hydrogel CL can be successfully and safely undertaken. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the accuracy of IOP measurements obtained with three portable tonometers through silicone hydrogel CL, commonly used as therapeutic CL due to their high oxygen permeability (Dk).4 Lotrafilcon A silicone hydrogel CL were chosen for this study. These CL present the highest modulus of elasticity,9,20 so, the resistance to application (derived by its stiffness) should be higher than that of other silicone hydrogel CL with lower modulus of elasticity.

Materials and Methods

Subjects

The present is a cross-sectional study that compares IOP measurements obtained by 3 tonometers over the same sample study (paired measurements). The inclusion criteria established that all subjects had to be free of ocular disease, had no complaints of excessive lacrimation, were not taking any medications, and had normal general health.5,6 As exclusion criteria, subjects with more than 3D of astigmatism were rejected.11 With these requirements, a sample study was formed by 30 young adults (31% men and 69% women) with age ranging from 19 to 32 years (mean age, 20.8 ±2.72 years). After informed consent, measurements of IOP were obtained from the right eye of the entire sample with the three tonometers. All procedures followed the Declaration of Helsinki and the protocol was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Santiago de Compostela. Written informed consent was obtained from the subjects involved.
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insertion of the CL. To reduce inter-observer bias, the same observer carried out all the measurements. At the start of the day each tonometer was calibrated as per the manufacturer’s instructions. ICare IOP measurements were performed first followed by Tonopen XL and then Perkins applanation tonometers. ICare was always performed first because its measurement procedure is the only one that does not need anaesthesia. Perkins was always last because its procedure is the most invasive. To avoid influence of repeated measurements between instruments an interval of 10 min was allowed between each tonometer, which enables to recover from increased aqueous outflow by corneal compression.[21] After insertion of the CL, 20-30 min were allowed before starting with the measurements for avoiding the effect of managing/removal of CL.[16] Therefore, the procedure was as follows: ICare without CL - 10 min apart - Tonopen XL without CL - 10 min apart - Perkins without CL - insertion ofCL - 20-30 min apart - ICare with CL - 10 min apart - Tonopen XL with CL - 10 min apart - Perkins with CL. All the measurements were performed between 10:00 and 12:00 h to minimize the diurnal variation in IOP.[6]

ICare

The ICare tonometer follows a procedure based on a rebound method.[22] The acquisition process was performed as recommended by the manufacturers. The subject was asked to look straight ahead to a far point while the examiner brought the tonometer near to the subject’s eye. Care was taken to ensure that the distance from the tip of the probe to the cornea of the eye was 4 to 8 mm, adjusting the forehead support when necessary. Once the tonometer was correctly adjusted, six repeated IOP readings were acquired by lightly pressing the tonometer button.[9]

Tonopen XL

Before each measurement without CL, one drop of anaesthetic solution (1 mg/mL tetracaine and 4 mg/mL oxibuprocaine) was instilled on the subject’s eye, but no anaesthetic was used with CL in situ. The Tonopen XL probe tip was covered with a new latex tip cover. Four individual measurements were taken by slightly touching the central cornea. The instrument automatically averaged the four readings obtained. In addition to the mean value, the Tonopen XL also displays the variance of the measurements and, according to previous reports,[25] only those readings within a variance of 5% were accepted.

Perkins applanation tonometer

Before acquisition without CL, one drop of fluorescein anaesthetic solution (2.5 mg/mL oxibuprocaine and 4 mg/mL fluorescein) was instilled. Care was taken to obtain an appropriate width for the fluorescein rings. Broad fluorescein rings were not used because they could lead to an overestimation of the IOP reading up to 4.6 mm Hg.[23] The use of an additional drop of anaesthetic was also avoided because low fluorescein concentration can underestimate the IOP from 1.5 to 9.0 mm Hg.[22] For Perkins with CL procedure neither topical anaesthesia nor fluorescein was used.[2 Three successive measurements were obtained and then averaged. The bi-prism was disinfected with 3% hydrogen peroxide and rinsed with saline solution among subjects.

Contact lens

The silicone hydrogel CL used in this study was lotrafilcon A. The specifications of this CL are as follows: power plano, base curve 8.6 mm, diameter 14.00 mm, centre thickness of 0.08 mm at -3.00 diopters, Dk/t value of 175 Barrèr/cm and a modulus of elasticity of 1.74 MPa.[10,20]

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of the intraocular pressure obtained by ICare tonometer, Tonopen XL and Perkins without contact lens in situ and with contact lens in 30 right eyes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of tonometer (mmHg)</th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ICare</td>
<td>13.06±2.30</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>18.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICare_CL</td>
<td>14.33±2.26</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonopen XL</td>
<td>13.50±3.6</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonopen XL_CL</td>
<td>14.10±2.71</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>19.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perkins</td>
<td>12.65±2.23</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>17.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perkins_CL</td>
<td>12.10±1.68</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>15.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Differences between intraocular pressure measured with and without contact lens with each tonometer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean difference</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>P*</th>
<th>95% LoA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ICare tonometer</td>
<td>-1.27</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonopen XL</td>
<td>-0.60</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perkins tonometer</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The silicone hydrogel CL used in this study was lotrafilcon A. The specifications of this CL are as follows: power plano, base curve 8.6 mm, diameter 14.00 mm, centre thickness of 0.08 mm at -3.00 diopters, Dk/t value of 175 Barrèr/cm and a modulus of elasticity of 1.74 MPa.[10,20]

**Results**

Intraocular pressure readings of ICare, Perkins and Tonopen XL tonometers (without and with CL) in the right eyes of the 30 subjects were obtained and averaged. Those data are provided as means, SDs and minimum and maximum values (Table 1).

Intraocular pressure values without CL showed positive correlation with the IOP values with CL obtained using ICare (r=0.75, P<0.001), Perkins (r=0.51, P=0.01) and Tonopen XL (r=0.242, P=0.027). For illustrative purposes, Figure 1 shows the scatterplot and regression line for these comparisons.

Intraocular pressure measurements without and with CL were compared for each tonometer. No significant differences were observed between IOP measurements made using Perkins vs Perkins_CL (paired t-test, P=0.23) and Tonopen XL vs Tonopen XL_CL (paired t-test, P=0.17), although a lower and a higher with CL IOP value was obtained with Perkins and Tonopen XL, respectively. On the other hand, there was a statistically significant difference between IOP measurements obtained with ICare vs ICare_CL (paired t-test, P<0.001), showing an overestimation of the IOP measurements when wearing CL. Those
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data are displayed in Table 2, where mean difference, level of significance and 95% LoA for differences between IOP measurements without and with CL are indicated.

The 95% LoA were ±2.90 mmHg for ICare, ±3.66 mmHg for Tonopen XL and ±3.88 mmHg for Perkins IOP, when comparing without and with CL IOP measurements. A percentage of 93.3, 80 and 85 of the differences between IOP values without and with CL fell within ±3.0 mmHg for ICare, Tonopen XL and Perkins, respectively. Furthermore, linear regression analysis of the difference vs mean did not show a statistical relationship for ICare (r=0.01, P=0.90), and Perkins measurements (r=0.32, P=0.17), showing similar differences along the entire range of IOP measurements obtained in this study. On the other hand, trend towards a greater difference as IOP increased was observed for Tonopen XL values (r=0.49, P=0.03). Plot of differences against mean, as advocated by Bland and Altman, are displayed in Figure 2, where the mean of differences and the 95% LoA obtained with each tonometer are shown.

Discussion

Silicone hydrogel CL are now being used as therapeutic CL due to their high oxygen permeability. However, the majority of them present a too high modulus of elasticity that can lead to mechanical and other CL-related complications. For the purpose of the study, ICare, Perkins and Tonopen XL IOP measurements were acquired through silicone hydrogel CL to find out if such measurements are comparable to those acquired without CL on. Higher modulus of elasticity is related with higher stiffness of the CL, so silicone hydrogel CL should offer more resistance to deformation than conventional hydrogel CL. This should be especially important when the ICare tonometer is used to acquire IOP measurements, because this tonometer applies a gently impact of the probe against the cornea. Indeed, that impact is hardly noticeable by the patient, avoiding the need of topical anaesthetic. Regarding the refractive power, only plano CL were included in this study. The authors are aware that powerful positive lenses affect the IOP measurements, mainly due to their higher thickness. However, it was reported that there is a wide range of positive lens power (less than +3.00 diopters) and central thickness (less than 0.3 mm) that does not affect IOP measurements. Further studies are needed to analyze this effect.

Silicone hydrogel CL used in this study was lotrafilcon A, because this CL presents the highest modulus of elasticity. So, the effect of this CL on IOP measurements derived by its stiffness should be higher than the effect derived by other silicone hydrogel CL with lower modulus of elasticity. This could be especially relevant when using ICare, because of the gently contact of the probe during the acquisition process. In this sense, Zeri et al. studied ICare IOP measurements with senofilcon A CL on. In contrast with the present study, they did not observe effect of senofilcon A CL on measuring IOP by rebound tonometry. That may be due to the fact that senofilcon A CL present a much lower modulus of elasticity than lotrafilcon A (senofilcon A: 0.50±0.04

![Figure 1. Regression line and scatterplot showing the relationship between ICare, Tonopen and Perkins intraocular pressure measurements with (_CL) and without contact lenses.](image)

![Figure 2. Plots of difference vs mean of intraocular pressure values for ICare, Tonopen and Perkins intraocular pressure measurements with (_CL) and without contact lenses. The mean of the difference, 95% limits of agreement (solid lines), and line of equality between techniques (dashed line, ICare r²<0.001; Tonopen r²=0.10; Perkins=0.24) are shown.](image)
Tonopen showed a significant tendency estimated IOP measurements without CL, \( P=0.03 \) and, as can be seen in Figure 2, for between mean and differences (\( r=0.49, \) less than 4.0 mmHg, whereas for Perkins showed a mean overestimation of 1 mmHg, with underestimation was found, which is similar to that previously referred for Goldmann tonometry with Balafilon A CL. Studies have shown that the use of Goldman applanation tonometry without fluorescein underestimates the intraocular pressure by 2 mmHg in subjects both with and without CL in situ. Regarding Tonopen, the effect of soft CL on IOP measurements was previously studied, although non-silicone hydrogel was evaluated. In this study the effect of silicone hydrogel CL on Tonopen IOP measurements was evaluated and no statistical significant differences were found with CL in situ. Taking into account that 95% of differences between IOP measurements with and without CL were within \( \pm 3.0 \) mmHg clinical margin, \( \pm 3.0 \) mmHg clinical margin, we can derive that Tonopen offers similar results when wearing other silicone hydrogel CL.

Another important point was to analyze the differences over the entire range of the IOP studied, so a plot of mean and differences between both methods, as advocated by Bland and Altman, were done (Figure 2). IOP differences when using CL were uniform throughout the IOP range for ICare and Perkins tonometers, and that was confirmed by a regression analysis between the mean and the difference. So, in the range of the IOP measured here, we can predict that IOP ICare measurements with silicone hydrogel CL in situ show a mean overestimation of 1 mmHg, with a probability of 95% that this overestimation is less than 4.0 mmHg, whereas for Perkins measurements this maximum difference could be up to 4.4 mmHg. On the other hand, Tonopen showed a significant tendency between mean and differences (\( r=0.49, P=0.03 \) and, as can be seen in Figure 2, for lower IOP values, Tonopen with CL in situ overestimated IOP measurements without CL, whereas for higher IOP values this trend was the opposite. This makes it difficult to predict the IOP value when Tonopen measurements are done with CL on.

Hence, accurate IOP measurements through silicone hydrogel CL can be obtained with the rebound ICare tonometer in healthy eyes. This means that it is not necessary to remove the lotrafilcon A CL during the IOP reading. The practitioner must be aware of the 1 mmHg overestimation when using ICare, although in primary care this is not so critical (because it is focused in screening purposes). Nonetheless, care should be taken when anomalous IOP values are obtained.

**Conclusions**

Future studies including eyes with corneal pathologies, glaucoma and/or CL of high plus power are needed to analyze the value of IOP measured with CL on.
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