Pre-lens tear film evaluation scale: a tool for analyzing the tear film-lens interaction with respect to the material used
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Abstract

In clinical practice, to avoid or reduce the failure rate among users of contact lenses, it is necessary to obtain a detailed patient case history, information concerning symptoms, and a complete eye examination. In addition, application of an auxiliary photographic reference scale might increase the chances of improving initial success or, for existing contact lens wearers, provide insight into the extent or severity of symptoms. Patient symptoms are often directly related to contact lens failure, which in turn is related to the quality of the pre-corneal tear film as well as the wettability of the contact lens surface. We describe the relationship between the quality of the tear film, with particular attention to pre-contact lens tear film, variability in contact tolerance, and the material of which the contact lens is made.

Introduction

The causes of contact lens (CL) dropout are frequently misunderstood and underestimated. Recent studies have reported mean dropout rates were 15.9% in the United States, 17.0% in the Americas (including the US), 31.0% in the Asian-Pacific Rim, and 30.4% in Europe, the Middle East and Africa. These rates were all statistically significantly higher than the historically reported rate of 10%. The most common reason for CL dropout included discomfort (41.9-52.9%), which is strongly related to the tear film conditions and the type of materials used.

As confirmed in the literature, there are no obvious correlations between materials and tolerability of the hydrogel lenses, and even recent studies examine measurement of lens performance and dehydration in vitro. This study aims to identify a correlation between the appearance of the surface of the lens and its subjective tolerability. Furthermore, by using a photographic scale we propose a more objective clinical method that can help the optometrist in the choice of the proper material as a function of the tear or the dehydration of the surface observed after a blink. This could lead to a reduced incidence of dropouts because symptoms such as dryness and discomfort are very common in hydrogel CL wearers.

Materials and Methods

Subjects

This clinical observational trial study involved 84 healthy eyes of 42 subjects: 12 males, 24 females, mean age 25.1 years. Refractive condition was up to 8.00 diopters (D) of myopia and 4.00 (D) of hyperopia, and astigmatism was less than 0.75 D. Study subjects were divided into two groups of 21 individuals each: Group 1 consisted of subjects who regularly wear CL (5 males, 16 are females); Group 2 was made up of occasional wearers or those wearing daily disposable lenses (13 males, 8 females).

The main aim of this study was to find a relationship between the quality of the pre-corneal tear film using a variety of lens materials. In order to achieve this, subjects were divided into different categories, according to the make-up of the pre-corneal tear film quality as determined by analysis using the slit lamp biomicroscope in combination with Tearscope Plus® (Keeler Ltd., Windsor, UK).

The tear film classifications used are those proposed by Guillon in the 1990s, and those considered in this study are: open meshwork, close meshwork, wave, amorphous, interference fringe.

The division into two groups was made because at the end of each week every candidate had to complete a satisfaction questionnaire about symptoms related to CL use in order to monitor whether the non-carriers presented more symptoms. Each candidate was informed of the purpose and duration of the study. Before the first CL fitting, each subject signed a declaration of acceptance and was required to fill out the McMonnies questionnaire for early detection of dry eye symptoms. The symptoms were considered clinically significant only if they appeared after at least two days of lens use.

Contact lenses

Four different CL, three of different materials, were compared: Hioxifilcon, Omafilcon A and Filcon 1b, belonging respectively to Food and Drugs Administration (FDA) Groups 2, 2 and 4 (http://www.fda.gov/default.htm). The fourth lens, used as control and reference lens, was Etafilcon A, one of the first hydrogel materials approved by the FDA for disposable lenses. The three lenses under investigation are denoted with letter T followed by the numbers 1, 2 and 3; C indicates control lens. The physical properties and characteristics of the various lenses are shown in Table 1.

Lens T1

The Hioxifilcon lens is a polar copolymer (non-ionic) belonging to the family of disposable lenses, indicated for monthly replacement. The lens has a high molecular weight of 2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate (2-HEMA) and 2,3-dihydroxypropylmethacrylate (glycerol methacrylate), and the crosslinking agent is ethylene glycol methacrylate. The lens consists of 41% Hioxifilcon A and a 59% water content by weight immersed in a buffered saline, sodium bicarbonate or sodium perborate.

Available lenses are either transparent or slightly blue-tinted [copper flaconciano (2) blue] to enhance visibility.

Lens T2

The Omafilcon A is a poly-HEMA modified with phosphorylcholine, which is the main monomer 2-methacryloxyethoxyphosphoryl

...
Lens T3

The T3 lens is composed of two polymers: Filcon 1b, a synthetic polymer, and hyaluronic acid, a natural polymer. The hyaluronic acid provides enhanced hydration, more continuous lubrication, and stabilization of the tear film, thus improving subjective comfort. These enhanced lens characteristics allow for a weekly replacement-wearing schedule. Until now, only studies on customer satisfaction have been reported in the literature and no comparison with other lenses has so far been described. The hyaluronic acid is already employed as a mean component of artificial tears. The physical properties of the lens as provided by the manufacturer are listed in Table 2.26

Control lens (C)

The control lens is a hydrophilic soft lens with spherical geometry, comprising a copolymer of 2-HEMA and methacrylic acid. The cross-linking agents are 1,1,1-trimethylol propane trimethylolpropane trimethacrylate and ethylene glycol dimethacrylate. At hydrated state, the lens consists of a 42% HEMA+MA copolymer and a 58% water content by weight when immersed in a buffered saline solution with sodium bicarbonate or sodium perborate. The lens is slightly tinted with Reactive Blue Dye N. 4 and Reactive Yellow Dye N. 86. The formulation also contains benzothiazole, a monomer with UV absorbing ability. The lens is suitable for daily wear to be replaced every two weeks.27-29

Study schedule

The research was carried out over a 3-week study period. Every week, each candidate was examined, the patients had to have worn the lenses for at least 2 h. Several images of the pre-lens tear film were collected in order to underline the differences in pre-lens tear film structure. Images were collected during two different photographic sessions. The first session of images was collected immediately after the subject had opened his eyes after blinking; that is when the tear film is well distributed on the anterior surface of the lens, forming the pre-lens tear film (time t0). The second set of images was collected 5 s after opening the eyelids after blinking (time t5). This time was chosen because, according to some research, blinking occurs every 5-6 s.32 In order to have a more stable tear film we took the series of photographs in the morning since it has been reported that the tear film is more stable at that time of day.33 In addition, another study has shown that the use of CL produces changes in the blinking rate, resulting in less frequent and incomplete lid closure.34,35

Photographic grading scale for the pre-lens tear film: photographic scale of dehydration

To classify the images, we used a photographic scale divided into five categories according to the different aspects of the pre-lens tear film: condition 1 corresponds to a well-structured pre-lens tear film, condition 5 corresponds to an unstable pre-lens tear film, with a clear evaporation of the aqueous phase.36,37

The second series of images analyzes the light reflected from the front surface of the CL with the aim of assessing the optical quality of the tear film on the front surface of the CL. This is accomplished by introducing a semitransparent grid inside the cone of light in the Tearscope Plus® (Keeler Ltd.) and projecting its image on the pre-lens tear film. A specific grading scale has been developed to classify the images. This scale takes into account the progressive deformation of the reflected grid image on the lens as a result of evaporation of the aqueous component of the pre-lens tear film, and provides information concerning alterations of the tear film due to CL wear as suggested by Efron.38,39 The scale is divided into five levels numbered 1 to 5. Grade 5 corresponds to the film pre-lens in a fully altered state while grade 1 indicates an intact, stable and uniform pre-lens tear film. This methodology allows us to evaluate the grade of grid deformation with the pre-lens tear film stability.40 In addition to the photographic analysis, we also administered a weekly questionnaire to each CL wearer in order to investigate the number of hours of use, obtain information on subjective comfort, and to report any symptoms. We have taken special care to note which of the two lenses caused the sensation of dryness since the main cause of discomfort

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lens</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>T3</th>
<th>C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Material</td>
<td>Hioxifilcon A (HEMA+GMA)</td>
<td>Omafilcon A (HEMA+PC)</td>
<td>Filcon 1b+hyaluronic acid</td>
<td>Etafilcon A (HEMA+MA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refractive index</td>
<td>1.404 (hydrated)</td>
<td>1.38 (hydrated)</td>
<td>1.42 (hydrated)</td>
<td>1.39 (hydrated)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water content</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen permeability</td>
<td>18×10⁻¹¹ Fatt’s unit (cm³/s) x (mL O₂/mL x mm Hg at 35°C) Revised Fatt’s method</td>
<td>27×10⁻¹¹ Fatt’s unit (cm³/s) x (mL O₂/mL x mm Hg at 35°C) Revised Fatt’s method</td>
<td>28×10⁻¹¹ Fatt’s unit (cm³/s) x (mL O₂/mL x mm Hg at 35°C) Revised Fatt’s method</td>
<td>20.2×10⁻¹¹ Fatt’s unit (cm³/s) x (mL O₂/mL x mm Hg at 35°C) Revised Fatt’s method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central thickness</td>
<td>0.14 mm</td>
<td>0.065 mm</td>
<td>0.06 mm</td>
<td>0.07 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDA group</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production method</td>
<td>Moulding</td>
<td>Moulding</td>
<td>Moulding</td>
<td>Moulding</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The three lenses under investigation are denoted with letter T followed by the numbers 1, 2 and 3; C indicates control lens. HEMA, hydroxyethyl methacrylate; GMA, glycerol methacrylate; PC, phosphorylcholine; MA, methacrylic acid.
reported by soft CL wearers is dry eye.41,42

We devised a scale using images collected with a slit lamp biomicroscope equipped with Tearscope Plus® (Keeler Ltd.) using a new grading scale. Classical Tearscope® (Keeler Ltd.) classification has 5 degrees. An optimal pre-lens lipid layer (thickness >2 μm), is not colored but it will appear gray with reddish fringes as its quality decreases. If its thickness falls below 2 μm, reddish fringes become more pronounced and dry spots can appear. The dry spots can be of a different shape and extension depending on the extent to which tear film stability has been reduced.9

The colors of the photographic dehydration scale are described below.

The first image (Figure 1, left) shows a stable, uniform and gray-colored pre-lens tear film (subsequently reported as pattern Q1). In this case, the pre-lens film preserves a good dynamic in the later stages of blinking.

Image pattern Q2 (Figure 1, right) shows a thin but still stable pre-lens tear film with no zones of discontinuity. Many fringes are visibly bluish or tending to green. A few moments later, after a further reduction in thickness, the first fringe of red may appear.

Image pattern Q3 (Figure 2, left) shows a hybrid condition in which the pre-lens film is thicker but there are changes in its structure. It is still gray or slightly colored in appearance but most important is the evidence of particles in the pre-corneal tear film that undermine its stability. The corpuscles may be due to deposits on the lens surface or external agents that have contaminated the structure of the tears (cosmetics, debris from lashes or other external sources). This is a very common finding in traditional hydrogel soft CL wearers, and was also observed during this study. In spite of this, the tear film still covers the entire surface of the lens and there are no apparent dry areas.

Pattern 4 (Figure 2, right) is a state of alteration of pre-lens film. It represents an example of where the surface of the lens reveals the first dry spot or stripe.

The condition showed in pattern Q5 (Figure 3) concerns a completely non-wettable lens surface. There are no longer spots or stripes but rather a condition of a clearly unstable surface. The lens in this case looks like orange peel, and also the optical quality of its surface is totally compromised. The dehydration photographic scale of the pre-lens tear film was created in two versions: for dark eyes and for light eyes, in order to achieve a better clinical evaluation.

In parallel, we have also developed another grading scale (photographic scale of dehydration with grid) to evaluate lens surface quality after blinking and pre-lens tear film evaporation over time. To achieve this, a thin grid has been applied to the cone of light

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>t0</th>
<th>t5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Condition 1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition 2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition 3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition 4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition 5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The three lenses under investigation are denoted with letter T followed by the numbers 1, 2 and 3.

Figure 1. Dehydration scale pattern Q1 (left) and Q2 (right).

Figure 2. Dehydration scale patterns Q3 (left) and Q4 (right).

Figure 3. Dehydration scale pattern Q5.
alteration. In condition 3, the discontinuity of the grid reflex is clearly evident, but affects less than one-third of the front surface of the lens. In condition 4 (Figure 4, right), areas of discontinuity are more appreciable but do not exceed half of the lens surface. Finally, in condition 5, the area of discontinuity is more than half of the lens surface. The grid reflex is, in some parts of the lens surface, no longer detectable, and this condition may be associated with symptoms of unstable or fluctuating vision.

This grid was produced in a single version because the color of the iris did not affect the evaluation of the pattern as the Tearscope® (Keeler Ltd.) with the grid inserted operates in low light conditions.

The assessment of dehydration of the CL was performed in vivo by means of the self-produced photographic scale of dehydration (without the grid), and each lens was evaluated at the end of the week of daily wear use.

Results

Forty subjects completed the study wearing the three types of lenses under investigation in one eye and the control lens in the other one in a randomized study design.

dehydration measurements

Table 2 shows the frequencies of the pre-lens tear film patterns [Tearscope® (Keeler Ltd.) with grid inserted] measured at time t0 s (at eye opening) and at time t5 (5 s after the last blink). It is clear that at time t0, patterns represent a more stable pre-lens tear film while after 5 s degenerated patterns predominate.

Data reported in Table 2 show that with all CL, a non-optimal pre-lens tear film quality is observed in more than 50% of the wearers (conditions 2 and 3) even at t0. This is more evident at t5 and differences related to the type of material appear clearer. Indeed, approximately 25% of the CL wearers show a level 2 after 5 s while with the other lenses this condition is found in less than 10% of the wearers. When considering levels 3 and 4 together, again the T2 lens shows a better performance in terms of pre-lens tear film quality. Therefore, the change occurring between time 0 (eye opening) and time t5 is the most interesting finding and can be used to express the tear film variation time or in vivo dehydration speed of the CL surface. Expressing the tear film variation time by the symbol Δ, the data collected in Table 2 can be represented graphically reporting the evaporation speed in function of type of materials.

The graph in Figure 5 shows the frequency of photographic pattern variation (Δ) found

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptom</th>
<th>Group 1 (W)</th>
<th>Group 2 (NF)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T1W (%)</td>
<td>T2W (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red eyes</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dryness</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeling sand</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itching</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burning</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign body sensation</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tearing</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photophobia</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tired eyes</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unstable vision</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General discomfort</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burning at lens insertion</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irritation</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NF, new fit; W, contact lens wearers.
between time 0 s (t0) and time 5 s (t5).

The Δ0 indicates there is no variation in the photographic patterns between t0 (after blink) and t5 (5 s after blink) while Δ1, Δ2, Δ3, Δ4, respectively, represent variations of 2, 3 or 4 degrees in the scale (i.e. Δ2 is recorded if t0 pattern is Q1 while t5 pattern is Q3).

The level of variation Δ0 remains almost constant for all lenses (6 T1, 5 T2, 5 T3, respectively); this factor may be due to the presence of a good tear film pattern, which does not lead to instability of the film pre-lens. The control lens (C), with 4 cases of Δ0 variations, confirms this.

Δ1 variations are very frequent for T2 lenses (n=20). This kind of variation represents a slow time-dependent modification of the aspect of the tear film pattern after blinking.

Level 2 (Δ2) dehydration speed is frequent for T3 lenses (n=17). This appears at first to be an advantage compared to T1 lenses, which have many Δ3 variations (n=11). However, we must consider that, while dehydrating more quickly, T1 lenses show a larger number of pattern 3 (Q3) soon after blinking which represent a more stable pre-lens tear film.

Given these findings, T3 lenses dehydrate more slowly, but this starts from an already altered condition and leads, as shown in Table 2, to a greater number of Q5 patterns in which the lens surface is very dry and altered.

Comparing the change in pattern between the lenses studied (T1, T2 and T3) with the control lenses, non-statistical differences (paired t-test, P>0.05) were found in T1 and T3 lenses. Only T2 showed statistically significant differences (paired t-test, P<0.01), so pre-lens tear film patterns with T2 lenses show a statistical difference to the patterns observed with the control lenses (C). However, further research is necessary to evaluate these differences.

The behavior of the control lens (C) was analyzed separately since it was influenced by which of the test lenses was worn by the other eye. In this case, the Δ1 and Δ3 variations are also interesting. In fact, it was noted that there is a significant increase in Δ1 conditions in the first week of the test and a decrease in Δ3 conditions in the third week. The level of Δ2 variation is constant with the control lenses (C). From these data, it seems that C lenses dehydrate at different rates depending on which test lens (T) is used by the other eye.

This observation has led us to believe that the behavior of the C lens may be influenced by the behavior or symptoms induced by the test lens (T) worn in the other eye (Figure 6, C1, C2, C3 signifies control lens (C) in one eye and test lens (T1, T2 and T3) in the other eye).

Subjective assessment

Figure 7 shows the subjective assessment reporting the symptom frequency related to the type of symptoms described by the wearers for the three test lenses used.

The most frequently reported signs and symptoms are: redness, dryness, itching, foreign body sensation, eyes feeling tired, and general discomfort.

Dryness in soft CL wearers is the most common symptom, as already reported by many researchers; therefore, a high prevalence of this symptom was expected and it was interesting to see which lens was able to reduce it. The T3 lens is definitely that which more often produces a feeling of dryness compared to the others, particularly in regular wearers compared to new wearers or new CL fits in this study. T1 and T2 lenses showed similar behavior. The lowest frequency of symptoms of dryness was observed in new fit wearers. This can be ascribed to the fact that they are less familiar with the concept of dryness. An itching sensation is more frequent in new wearers with T1 and T2 test lenses and the least in wearers of T3 lens. T3 lenses produce a more intense itching sensation in regular wearers.

A foreign body sensation is especially frequent with T3 lenses (n=15 among regular (Group 1) and new (Group 2) wearers vs 11 for T1 and 3 for T2; in the case of T1, the greater central thickness compared to T2 and T3 should be taken into account). T2 lenses,
having a comparable thickness and radius, show a notable lower foreign body frequency than T3 (Table 3). With respect to the general feeling of discomfort, T2 lenses give the best performance with 2 cases only in the regular wearers’ group, followed by T1 with one case in the new wearers’ group and 3 cases in the regular wearers’ group. Also, in this case, T3 lenses showed the poorest performance with 6 cases in the new and in the regular wearers’ groups. It should be noted that the symptoms observed with each test lens could be affected, to some extent, by the control lens (C) fitted in the other eye. This is an interesting effect that deserves to be investigated in more detail.

### Discussion

A new grading scale has been developed to evaluate pre-lens tear film quality and stability, and to correlate them with the in vivo dehydration rate of the lenses worn. The grading scale has been applied to three different types of commercially available soft CL, allowing us to obtain valuable information regarding their in vivo behavior. Each lens investigated in this study has shown a different response towards the pre-lens tear film lens-drying rate. Results were influenced not only by the thickness and the composition of the pre-corneal tear film, but also by the type of materials constituting the lens itself.

All lenses tested here have been found to have a better tolerability profile with better hydrating patterns compared to traditional hydrogel lenses. In addition, changes in the behavior of the control lens (a traditional hydrogel) were compared to the test lenses. Indeed, it seems that the lens material affects to some extent the stability of the pre-corneal tear film, especially the pre-lens tear film. After blinking, lenses T1, T3 and C behaved similarly; but there was a significant difference in the behavior of T2.

The pre-lens tear film is reduced and showed a greater dehydration rate in the control lens. Among the study lenses, T1 shows a faster dehydration, even though the dehydrated area is more limited than the other lenses which, in some cases, present wide surface breakup.

Differences in reported symptoms were recorded between the tested lenses. With the T2 lenses, new and regular wearers reported minor adverse symptoms. The T3 lenses appeared to be appreciated the least both in terms of adverse symptoms and overall comfort. Interestingly, the score assigned to control lenses (C) rose over the three weeks, depending on the score of the study lens fitted in the contralateral eye. We did not report any adverse ocular conditions with the control lenses during the test. All lenses presented good optical quality after blinking (t0) showing a good regular reflex. However, after 5 s (t5), all study lenses showed a better performance compared to traditional hydrogel. To summarize, a new grading scale to evaluate pre-lens tear film quality could be used to correlate the in vivo dehydration rate of the lenses worn with the patient symptomatology. However, further studies with more CL wearers and adequate statistical analysis is needed to explore their clinical utility in CL practice.
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