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Abstract

There are conflicting and confusing ideas in literature on the different types of accommodative and vergence anomalies as different authors turn to classify them differently. This paper sought to review literature on the different classifications and types of nonstrabismic binocular vision anomalies and harmonize these classifications. Search engines, namely Google scholar, Medline, Cinahl and Francis databases, were used to review literature on the classification of accommodative and vergence dysfunctions using keywords like binocular vision dysfunctions, classification of nonstrabismic binocular vision disorders or anomalies, accommodative disorders/anomalies classifications and vergence disorders/anomalies classifications, and included works that described these anomalies. Nonstrabismic binocular vision anomalies are classified as accommodative and vergence anomalies. There are three different major types of accommodative anomalies, namely accommodative insufficiency, accommodative insufficiency due to stimulation of accommodative spasm, and accommodative excess due to stimulation of accommodative spasm, and seven different types of vergence anomalies, which are functional in origin. Functionally, there is a commonly reported interaction between accommodative and vergence insufficiency. The original classification used for accommodative disorders was by Donder in 1915. Other authors have discussed classifications systems are reviewed below. Introduction

Many symptomatic patients’ conditions do not fit specifically into one diagnostic category because of presence of defects in two or more areas of binocular vision. Patient’s with accommodative disorders may have secondary vergence disorders and vice versa due to the control of the interactive negative feedback loop for these two systems. For example, small degrees of esophoria are usually found in cases of accommodative insufficiency; in this, patient uses extra innervations to overcome this accommodative disorder causing esophoria due to stimulation of accommodative convergence. In spite of these associations, non-strabismic binocular vision dysfunctions are classified as either accommodative anomalies or vergence anomalies. The aim of this review is to clarify and harmonize the classifications and types of accommodative anomalies and vergence anomalies for easy comprehension and diagnosis by optometric practitioners, students and all optometric stakeholders.

Search strategy

Our search strategy involved using search engines like Google scholar, Medline, Cinahl and Francis databases to review all literature on the classification of binocular vision anomalies using keywords like binocular vision dysfunctions, classification of nonstrabismic binocular vision disorders or anomalies, accommodative disorders/anomalies classifications and vergence disorders/anomalies classifications, and included works that described these anomalies. Nonstrabismic binocular vision anomalies are classified as accommodative and vergence anomalies. There are three different major types of accommodative anomalies, namely accommodative insufficiency, accommodative insufficiency due to stimulation of accommodative spasm, and accommodative excess due to stimulation of accommodative spasm, and seven different types of vergence anomalies, which are functional in origin. Functionally, there is a commonly reported interaction between accommodative and vergence insufficiency referred to as pseudoconvergence insufficiency. Accommodative paralysis (subtype of accommodative insufficiency) and vergence anomalies – i.e., convergence paralysis, convergence spasm and divergence paralysis – are non-functional in origin with underlying systemic disease etiologies. Systemic convergence insufficiency, associated with subnormal accommodation, is a non-functional interaction between the accommodative and convergence insufficiency. The classification of nonstrabismic binocular vision anomalies is based on the description of the clinical signs and the underlying etiology either functional or non-functional in origin. Proper diagnosis and management involves investigation of the underlying etiology in addition to the battery of binocular vision test procedures.

Accommodative anomalies

Accommodative anomalies are characterized by inadequate accommodative accuracy and sustainability, inadequate amplitude, flexibility and facility and are non-refractive and non-aging neuromuscular abnormalities of the visual apparatus. This inadequacy affects the eyes ability to focus objects clearly causing blur retinal images. It is difficult to categorize accommodative anomalies, as their boundaries are not clear. While there are various classification systems, confusion arises as different authors classify these disorders into three, five or six different categories, with slight or minor modifications or changes in names. The most common classifications systems are reviewed below.

Donder’s classification

The original classification used for accommodative anomalies was by Donder in 1915 and has been popularized by several authors. It has the following three categories: accommodative insufficiency, accommodative excess and accommodative infacility.

Duane’s classification

One of the early attempts to classifying accommodative anomalies was by Duane in 1915. Other authors have discussed classification of accommodative anomalies using Duane’s classification with minor modifications. Scheiman and Wick made reference to this system of classification instead of the popular Duke-Elder’s classification. His six categories are: Insufficiency of accommodation, Ill-sustained accommodation, Inertia of accommodation or accommodative infacility, Excessive accommodation, Inequality of accommodation or unequal accommodation, and Paralysis of accommodation.
Duke-Elder Stewart’s classification

In American Academy of Optometry evidence-based guidelines on accommodative and vergence dysfunctions,1 accommodative dysfunctions were classified with reference to Duke-Elder’s Stewart’s classification, as found in his 1949 book The practice of refraction.14 His five categories are: accommodative insufficiency, ill-sustained accommodation, accommodative infacility, paralysis of accommodation and spasm of accommodation.

In the book, The practice of refraction, Duke-Elder Stewart in 1963 classified accommodative anomalies into six distinct categories instead of the five in 1949. This was a minor modification to Duane’s classification, and consisted of the following six anomalies: excessive accommodation, spasm of accommodation, insufficiency of accommodation, ill-sustained accommodation, inertia of accommodation, and paralysis of accommodation. Walsh and Hoyt (1969) made reference to the five distinct syndrome categories of anomalies of accommodation by Duke-Elder.13 In the book Ophthalmic optics and refraction in 1970, as well as his publication in 1971, Duke-Elder again emphasis his 1949 classification as: accommodative insufficiency, accommodative excess, accommodative fatigue, accommodative infacility, and accommodative paralysis.

Duke-Elder and Abram’s classification

In their book, The practice of refraction published in 1993, they emphasized Duke-Elder’s five distinct anomalies specifically naming them as: insufficiency of accommodation, fatigue of accommodation, spasm of accommodation, paresis of accommodation and infacility of accommodation.

Bertil Sterner’s classification

In 2001, While Bertil Sterner16 made reference to the classifications by Duke-Elder 1971,16 he maintained that it was clinically useful to separate the anomalies of accommodation into one of five distinct syndrome categories: insufficiency of accommodation, fatigue of accommodation, spasm of accommodation, paresis of accommodation and infacility of accommodation. Sterner in 2001 emphasized that the five syndromes all constitute different accommodative disorders, with a range of impact on the accommodative function.5

Scheiman and Wick’s classification

A more recent classification by Scheiman and Wick in 2008 classified accommodative anomalies into four groups namely: accommodative insufficiency, ill-sustained accommodation (accommodative fatigue), accommodative excess and accommodative infacility (inertia of accommodation). This classification is functional in origin, with no underlying systemic etiologies, the clinical signs being purely descriptive. This system of classification originated with Donders, and expanded by Duke-Elder and Abram19 and has been popularized by optometric authors.4

Discussion of results of classifications of accommodative disorders

In Duke-Elder’s classification in 1949,14 excess of accommodation was not included as found in Duane’s classification previously,13 and included spasm of accommodation and left out inequality of accommodation. The question posed here is Is accommodative excess the same as spasm of accommodation or inequality of accommodation? If the answer is yes, then it justifies why the author replaced them with spasm of accommodation. If the answer is no, then the question posed here is why was Duane’s classification in 1949 modified?

Comparing Duke-Elder’s classification in 196315 to Duane’s classification in 1915,11 Duke-Elder included spasm of accommodation and excluded inequality of accommodation. Comparing this classification with the classification in 194914, excess of accommodation and spasm of accommodation were classified as distinct from each other in the 1963 classification11, inferring that the two conditions were not the same. Another question posed here is Is inequality in accommodation similar to any of these conditions above?

Comparing Duke-Elder’s five distinct classifications in 194914 and 1970 or 1971,15,16 ill-sustained accommodation in 1949 was captured as fatigue of accommodation in 1970 and 1971. This raises the question as to whether ill-sustained accommodation is the same as fatigue of accommodation. It can be seen in this classification that spasm of accommodation mentioned by Duke-Elder (1963)15 is omitted in his 1970 and 197116 publications to form the five anomalies. Comparing Duke-Elder’s classification in 1949 to this classification in 1970 and 1971, spasm of accommodation mentioned in 1949 was replaced with excess of accommodation in 1970 to form the five. The question posed here reads is spasm of accommodation synonymous with excess of accommodation?

It can also be seen in Duke-Elder and Abram’s classifications in 199315 that the name fatigue of accommodation was maintained instead of ill-sustained accommodation mentioned in 194914. In their classification again, spasm of accommodation was mentioned as compared to excess of accommodation in Duke-Elder’s classification in 1970 and 1971.15,16 Most of the authors12,17,19 from 1969 made reference to the five distinct classifications by Duke-Elder, making his system the most popular. A review of the descriptions of the specific types of accommodative anomalies will address the questions raised above, and clarify the categories of accommodative anomalies.

Description of specific accommodative disorders mentioned above

Accommodative insufficiency

A persistently lower accommodation than expected for age is accommodative insufficiency20 and this does not result from crystalline lens sclerosis.1 In this, the amplitude of accommodation is significantly lower than the lower limit of expected for age using Hofstetter’s formula.4,21 These patients will usually exhibit a reduction in the accommodative amplitude by 2D or more.4,20 They also show a reduced positive relative accommodation, difficulty with minus lens of accommodative facility, and an increased lag of accommodation.1,4,20 Accommodative insufficiency, though as the commonest accommodative disorder,4,21 has the main symptom being a general asthenopia related to near20 although it also presents with other clinical signs and symptoms.5,14,20

Ill-sustained accommodation

This is an accommodative disorder with normal accommodative amplitude, but repeated stimulation of accommodation causes fatigue.1 Ill-sustained accommodation has been categorized as a sub classification of accommodative insufficiency1 and is also known as accommodative fatigue.20,21 On occasion, a patient might have sufficient amplitude of accommodation, but is unable to maintain the response, which may be the first stage of accommodative insufficiency.1,20,21 Patients with this disorder initially report the ability to do near work and experience discomforts after some time20,21 because the accommodative apparatus falls to maintain its effort to accommodate. With continuous near work, accommodative system loses power and near point recedes causing blur near vision; normal amplitudes of accommodation begin to deteriorate over time.1 For clinical diagnostic purposes, it is advisable to repeat the accommodative amplitude test several times to study the trend of results or conduct the test last in the examination procedure. The average amplitude of accommodation is calculated using Hofstetter’s formula, 18.5-1/3(age).22

Accommodative paralysis

Accommodative paralysis defines sudden
onset insufficiencies in accommodation caused by either organic disorders in the nervous system or toxins.\textsuperscript{22} It has been categorized as a sub classification of accommodative insufficiency.\textsuperscript{4} As a rare disorder,\textsuperscript{1, 21} there is a failure of the accommodative apparatus to respond to stimulus.\textsuperscript{1} Accommodative paralysis can be unilateral or bilateral, sudden or insidious,\textsuperscript{1, 4, 21} most often unilateral with extraocular and pupillary involvement either fixed or dilated.\textsuperscript{1} This disorder can result from careless use of cycloplegic drugs, toxicity, accidents, congenital defects and diseases of the system.\textsuperscript{1, 21} It has also been associated with glaucoma, and has a favorable prognosis for recovery.\textsuperscript{21} If accommodative paralysis is unilateral, it leads to the other category of accommodative dys-function called unequal accommodation,\textsuperscript{4} a possible cause of this condition being functional amblyopia.\textsuperscript{4}

### Accommodative excess

Accommodative excess refers to an incessantly higher accommodative amplitude than age expected norms,\textsuperscript{20} and is due to spasms of the ciliary muscle.\textsuperscript{21} Clinical signs include a lead of accommodation and reduced negative relative accommodation.\textsuperscript{20} It is often secondary to convergence insufficiency and can also be regarded as an inability to relax accommodation, known as a spasm of accommodation.\textsuperscript{20} Accommodative excess is also variously referred to as accommodative spasm, ciliary spasm and spasm of the near reflex.\textsuperscript{9} Pseudomyopia is considered as one of the signs of accommodative excess\textsuperscript{4} and patients with this condition experience discomforts with works that require the visual system to relax accommodation.\textsuperscript{21} The main symptoms are intermittent blurred vision both near and distance; the distance blur becomes worse after prolong near work or towards the end of the day and is associated with the pseudomyopia.\textsuperscript{21} These symptoms also become worse with dim illumination.\textsuperscript{21}

### Accommodative infacility

Accommodative infacility, also called Inertia

---

Table 1. Summary of classification of accommodative anomalies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anomaly</th>
<th>Brief definition</th>
<th>Main symptoms</th>
<th>Main signs</th>
<th>Treatment options</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Functional in origin,</td>
<td>Persistent lower accommodation than expected for age</td>
<td>Asthenopic symptoms with near point task</td>
<td>AOA low for age; high lag of accommodation;</td>
<td>Refractive error correction, added lenses and vision therapy sequentially</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accommodative insufficiency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>low PRA; fails flipper test with minus lenses; low base out to</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>blur findings at near</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ill-sustained accommodation</td>
<td>Normal accommodative amplitude, but repeated stimulation of accommodation causing fatigue</td>
<td>Asthenopic symptoms with near point task</td>
<td>Normal AOA on first administration; AOA decreases with repeated testing; high lag of accommodation; low PRA; fails flipper test with minus lenses</td>
<td>Refractive error correction, added lenses and vision therapy sequentially</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(accommodative fatigue)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accommodative infacility/</td>
<td>Difficulty with stimulation and relaxation of the response to accommodation due to slow down in accommodative dynamics</td>
<td>Difficulty of switching between distance and near focus, asthenopia with near task</td>
<td>Fails both monocular and binocular flipper test with plus and minus lenses; low PRA and NRA; low base out to blur findings at near</td>
<td>Refractive error correction and vision therapy sequentially</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>accomodative inertia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accommodative excess/</td>
<td>Spasms of accommodative system due to ciliary muscles</td>
<td>Asthenopia with near task, intermittent blur distance and near vision</td>
<td>Variable visual acuity; variable normal or high AOA; variable results in objective and subjective refraction; fails flipper test with plus lenses; low lag of accommodation/lead of accommodation; low NRA</td>
<td>Refractive error correction and vision therapy sequentially</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>accommodative spasm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-functional in origin</td>
<td>Rare disorder of insufficiency in accommodation in which accommodative apparatus fails to respond to near stimulus</td>
<td>Sudden onset/sometimes insidious asthenopic symptoms with near task</td>
<td>Signs of accommodative insufficiency; extra ocular muscle involvement; fixed or dilated pupil involvement</td>
<td>Treatment of underlying systemic etiology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accommodative paralysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unequal accommodation</td>
<td>Unilateral case of accommodative paralysis</td>
<td>Sudden onset/sometimes insidious unilateral asthenopia with near task</td>
<td>Accommodative insufficiency signs, unilateral extra ocular muscle involvement, unilateral fixed or dilated pupil involvement</td>
<td>Treatment of underlying systemic etiology</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AOA, autosomal optic atrophy; PRA, positive relative accommodation; NRA, negative relative accommodation.
of accommodation, occurs when the accommodative dynamics, that is, latency, time constant, and peak velocity are slowed. There is difficulty with stimulation and relaxation of the response to accommodation thus the main complaint is difficulty changing fixation between distance and near. With this accommodative disorder, the change in accommodation between distance and near focus is not readily achieved and accurate due to abnormal accommodative response. Changes in accommodation only occur with effort and difficulty in the presence of normal response magnitude, with patients exhibiting this condition usually complaining of near-to-far or far-to-near blur. Even though accommodative amplitude is normal; there is inadequacy in the ability of the visual system to quickly use this amplitude for longer period. The diagnosis of this disorder can thus be missed if only amplitude of accommodation is measured in clinic. Other accommodative tests which include monocular and binocular accommodative facility are very vital in the diagnosis of accommodative infacility.

Summary of classification of accommodative dysfunctions

Based on the reviews of the description of the various conditions, it is now certain that unequal accommodation is a type of accommodative paralysis which is in turn a sub-classification of accommodative insufficiency. Accommodative fatigue (a sub-classification of accommodative insufficiency) is also called insustained accommodation. Another name for accommodative infacility is accommodative inertia, while accommodative excess is also called accommodative spasm. Different authors tend to use these terms interchangeably, with Duke-Elder's popular 1949 five distinct accommodative anomalies thus including the two sub classifications of accommodative insufficiency as specific types. Functionally, there are effectively three different major types of accommodative anomalies as first mentioned by Donders in 1854 and non-functionally there are two types of accommodative anomalies which are all subtypes of accommodative insufficiency (Table 1).

Vergence anomalies

Vergence anomalies describe disjunctive movement of the eye in which there is convergence or divergence, causing the eye to inaccurately fixate and stabilize an image on the retina. Two classification systems exist in literature to help categorize vergence dysfunctions, namely Duane’s and Wick’s, which are explained below with their advantages and disadvantages.

Duane’s classification

This classification divides the disorders into four main types namely convergence insufficiency, convergence excess, divergence insufficiency and divergence excess. This classification was originally developed by Duane for strabismus, while Taits in 1915 extended it to non-strabismic binocular vision anomalies. This is a descriptive classification and does not necessarily imply etiology, and Duane described and classified them as binocular commitant convergence syndromes. Binocular problems are described according to the type of heterophoria measured at distance and at near, so even though there are four main types, these could be grouped into two main categories, namely esophoria vergence anomalies and exophoria vergence anomalies. If an esophoria is larger at near than at distance and the accommodative convergence/accommodation (ACA) ratio is higher than normal, a convergence excess is said to exist. If the esophoria is greater at distance and a low ACA ratio is present, a divergence insufficiency is said to exist. If an exo deviation is higher with near fixation than with distance fixation, with lower than normal ACA ratio, then a convergence insufficiency exists. Finally, if the exo deviation is greater at distance and the ACA ratio is higher than normal, a divergence excess exists.

Wick’s classification

Wick’s classification represents an expansion of the classification by Duane, and it considers the phoria at distance and ACA ratio. It takes all possible combinations into consideration, and indicates nine possible diagnoses, rather than the four suggested by Duane. The nine possible diagnoses can be divided into three main categories of binocular vision problems based on the ACA ratio, the three categories being low ACA ratio, normal ACA ratio, and high ACA ratio (Table 2).

Comparison of Duane’s classification and Wick’s classification

Other possible combinations exist in Wick’s classification that do not fit into Duane’s classification. According to Wick, Duane’s classification does not have a category for a deviation in which the exo deviation and eso deviations are equal at distance and near, i.e. basic

Table 2. Possible diagnoses of binocular vision problems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wick’s classification of binocular vision anomalies</th>
<th>Anomaly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low ACA ratio</td>
<td>Orthophoria at distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Convergence insufficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exophoria at distance</td>
<td>Convergence insufficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exophoria at distance</td>
<td>Divergence insufficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal ACA ratios</td>
<td>Orthophoria at distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fusiional vergence dysfunctions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exophoria at distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Basic esophoria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exophoria at distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Basic esophoria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High ACA ratio</td>
<td>Orthophoria at distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Convergence excess</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exophoria at distance</td>
<td>Convergence excess</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exophoria at distance</td>
<td>Divergence excess</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical anomalies</td>
<td>Right hyperphoria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Left hyperphoria</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACA, accommodative convergence/accommodation.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anomaly</th>
<th>Brief definition</th>
<th>Main symptoms</th>
<th>Main signs</th>
<th>Treatment options</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Functional in origin</td>
<td>Convergence insufficiency</td>
<td>A condition with higher exophoria at near and receded NPC</td>
<td>Asthenopic symptoms with reading and near point task often long standing</td>
<td>Receded NPC; higher decompensated exophoria at near than at distance; low ACA ratio; reduced PPV at near</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Divergence insufficiency</td>
<td>A condition with high esophoria at distance than at near with normal versions and reduced divergence at distance</td>
<td>Long standing intermittent diplopia, especially at distance, headaches and eyestrain</td>
<td>Greater esophoria of 2 to 8 degrees at distance than near; decreased NFV at distance; low ACA ratio; normal NPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Convergence excess</td>
<td>A condition of a higher esophoria at near than at distance</td>
<td>Asthenopia with reading or near task, occasional double vision, blur vision</td>
<td>Esophoria greater at near than at distance; high ACA ratio; reduced NFV at near</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Divergence excess</td>
<td>A condition in which eye turns out intermittently</td>
<td>Common complaint is cosmetic appearance, subjective symptoms rare, occasional diplopia</td>
<td>Esophoria greater at distance than at near; high ACA ratio; essentially normal PPV at near and distance; no significant refractive error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fusional vergence dysfunction</td>
<td>A condition with low or no degree of phoria but lower fusional vergence reserves at distance and near</td>
<td>Asthenopia with reading or near task</td>
<td>Normal ACA ratio; normal phoria at distance and near; reduced fusional vergence reserves at near and distance; low PRA and NRA; others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Basic esophoria</td>
<td>A condition in which tonic vergence is high and ACA ratio is normal</td>
<td>Asthenopia with near and distant task</td>
<td>Equal amount of esophoria at distance and near; normal ACA ratio; hyperopia often present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Basic exophoria</td>
<td>A condition in which tonic vergence is low and ACA ratio normal</td>
<td>Asthenopia with near and distant task</td>
<td>Receded NPC; equal exophoria at near and at distance; normal ACA ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-functional in origin</td>
<td>Convergence paralysis</td>
<td>A case of convergence insufficiency associated with serious systemic disease and considered a supranuclear gaze disorder</td>
<td>Recent or sudden onset diplopia especially at near and asthenopia</td>
<td>All signs of functional convergence insufficiency; presence of serious systemic disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Convergence spasm</td>
<td>Over-convergence associated with accommodative spasm</td>
<td>Headaches, general ocular discomfort, blurring of vision and diplopia</td>
<td>Episodes of intermittent maximal convergence; associated accommodative spasm; associated pupillary constrictions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Divergence paralysis</td>
<td>A case of high esophoria at distance than at near with decreased onset headaches, divergence at distance secondary to systemic disease</td>
<td>Sudden onset intermittent diplopia at distance, sudden sudden onset eyestrain</td>
<td>All clinical signs of divergence insufficiency; no significant refractive error; presence of systemic disease</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NPC, near point of convergence; ACA, accommodative convergence over accommodation; PPV, positive fusional vergence; NFV, negative fusional vergence; PRA, positive relative accommodation; NRA, negative relative accommodation.
exophoria and basic esophoria.\textsuperscript{4,22} In addition, it does not consider a condition in which there is no significant phoria at either distance or near, but the horizontal fusional vergence ranges are reduced in both base-in and base-out directions, \textit{i.e.} fusional vergence dysfunction.\textsuperscript{4,27} Vergence anomalies have been regarded as syndromes of deterioration by some authors who have proposed a graphical analysis-based classification.\textsuperscript{7,4} However, these classifications are purely descriptive, as the mechanism of vergence is considered only in its open loop state. Some other authors indicate that these models do not estimate the contribution of proximal factor.\textsuperscript{7,28} Based on this, Wick's classification other than Duane's classification specify the binocular system in the presence of fusion.\textsuperscript{4,7} Scheiman and Wick utilize Wick's classification in their recent book.\textsuperscript{4}

**Non-functional vergence anomalies**

Discussed below are vergence anomalies which are non-functional in origin but have some underlying systemic disease etiologies.

**Convergence paralysis**

This condition was first described by Parinaud\textsuperscript{29} as distinct from convergence insufficiency, and is a condition in which diplopia is present only at near with normal adduction and the inability to converge.\textsuperscript{1,22} This is a case of convergence insufficiency with serious underlying diseases,\textsuperscript{4} and is commonly attributed to the eye can trigger the spasm and eyes maintain convergence after the object is removed.\textsuperscript{22}

**Divergence paralysis**

This condition was first described by Parinaud in 1883 as a clinical entity after he observed cases of distance homonymous diplopia with normal versions and ductions.\textsuperscript{2,22} Many subsequent cases have been reported,\textsuperscript{22} and systemic diseases like tubes, poliomyelitis, disseminating sclerosis, pseudotumor cerebi, encephalitis and influenza,\textsuperscript{2,24} to mention just a few are known underlying causes. Divergence paralysis presents with all the clinical signs of divergence insufficiency, but with abnormal neurological findings\textsuperscript{22} with presence of systemic disease.\textsuperscript{20,24} Divergence paralysis therefore is a non-functional form of divergence insufficiency but with an underlying systemic disease.

**Summary discussion of classification of vergence anomalies**

Vergence anomalies are classified based on their etiology either functional in origin and classification purely descriptive or non-functional in origin with underlying systemic disease etiology. Functionally, there are seven different types of vergence anomalies (Table 3) as proposed by Wick and emphasized by Scheiman and Wick in a recent book.\textsuperscript{4} Non-functionally vergence anomalies are classified into three, namely convergence paralysis (case of convergence insufficiency with systemic disease etiology), convergence spasm (state of over-convergence with systemic disease etiology) and divergence paralysis (state of divergence insufficiency with disease etiology). Brief descriptions of the signs, symptoms and treatment options for these anomalies are indicated (Table 3).

**Combined insufficiency of convergence and accommodation**

Discussed below are various conditions that involve an interaction of both convergence insufficiency and accommodative insufficiency.

**Pseudoconvergence insufficiency**

This condition has been long recognized by optometrists\textsuperscript{25,36} and by ophthalmologists.\textsuperscript{27} A typical example of a binocular vision anomaly where there is an association between accommodative and the vergence system is pseudoconvergence insufficiency,\textsuperscript{4} and is a case of combined insufficiency of convergence and accommodation.\textsuperscript{22,28} Pseudoconvergence insufficiency is primarily an accommodative dysfunction.\textsuperscript{39} In this case, the patient has difficulty accommodating therefore under accommodates relative to the stimulus.\textsuperscript{4} As a result, less accommodative convergence is available, the measured exophoria is large, and a greater demand is placed on positive fusional vergence.\textsuperscript{4} The differential diagnosis of true convergence insufficiency vs pseudoconvergence insufficiency has been addressed in literature.\textsuperscript{30} Typically, such patients will also have a receded near point of convergence because of the reduced amplitude of accommodation and the lack of accommodative convergence.\textsuperscript{4} This case is purely functional in origin, with no underlying debilitating systemic disease or diseases;\textsuperscript{4} the classification and diagnostic clinical signs are thus purely descriptive.\textsuperscript{1}

**Systemic convergence insufficiency, associated with subnormal accommodation**

First mentioned by Duane in 1916,\textsuperscript{2,40} Brown later in 1962 described it following some systemic diseases.\textsuperscript{22,41} Brown stated that this condition considerably differs from functional convergence insufficiency, because symptoms are more severe and the condition cannot easily resolve. Von Noorden and colleagues in 1973 also reported cases of this condition among patients who were adolescents and young adults, who apart from one, did not benefit from conventional orthoptic exercises.\textsuperscript{2,24} The onset of this condition can be gradual over many years or suddenly after an accident.\textsuperscript{22} The only difference between this condition and pseudoconvergence insufficiency is that, this is not functional in origin; there is always an underlying systemic disease.\textsuperscript{2,22}

**Conclusions**

Despite the interaction between the accommodative and vergence systems, nonstrabismic binocular vision anomalies are classified as either accommodative anomaly or vergence anomaly. However, specific common interactions between these accommodative and vergence anomalies have been identified, a common example being the interaction between accommodative insufficiency and convergence insufficiency. These accommodative and vergence anomalies are either functional in origin and their classification purely descriptive of clinical signs or non-functional in which case there is an underlying systemic disease.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline
Vergence Anomaly & Description \\
\hline
Convergence paralysis & A condition in which diplopia is present only at near with normal adduction and the inability to converge. \\
\hline
Divergence paralysis & A condition in which diplopia is present only at near with normal adduction and the inability to diverge. \\
\hline
Pseudoconvergence insufficiency & A condition where there is an association between accommodative and the vergence system is pseudoconvergence insufficiency. \\
\hline
Systemic convergence insufficiency & A condition where there is an underlying systemic disease etiology. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Vergence Anomalies}
\end{table}
etiology in addition to the clinical signs of binocular vision. For proper diagnosis and treatment of nonstrabismic binocular vision anomalies, investigation must be made by way of the case history or underlying systemic disease in addition to the battery of binocular vision test procedures to ascertain if the cause is purely functional (innervational) or there is a disease etiology.
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