Impact of synthetic surfactants and oil dispersants on the gills of juvenile gilthead (Sparus aurata L.)

Maria Angela Masini, Paola Prato, Elisabetta Giacco, Gian Luigi Mariottini, Luigi Pane
Department of Earth, Environment and Life Sciences, University of Genoa, Italy

Abstract

Histological, scanning electron microscopic and immunohistochemical studies were performed on the gills of juvenile gilthead (Sparus aurata L.) exposed to various concentrations of three anionic detergents: sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS), safety sea cleaner 2 (SSC2), and FINASOL OSR2, in short term (96 h) and chronic (28 days) experiments. The mortality rate, median lethal concentration (LC50) and the no observed effect concentration (NOEC), were calculated. At concentrations above 10 mg/L, mortality was from 50 to 100% after exposure to SDS and SSC2, both in short term and chronic experiments. Gills were spongiotic and there were many lamellar fusions, membrane disruptions, hydropic degenerations and exfoliations of lamellar and interlamellar epithelium after 96 h of treatment and more markedly after chronic experiment. Microridges of the cell surface were less evident or absent. The enzymes of ion transport were down regulated. Exposure to FINASOL OSR2 (100 mg/L) showed no evident branchial alteration.

Introduction

Surfactants (surface-active agents) are detersive chemicals having a polar moiety (hydrophilic or lipophobic) and a non-polar moiety (hydrophobic or lipophilic). They are broadly categorized as anionic, cationic, and nonionic or amphoteric according to the nature of the hydrophilic moiety yielded in aqueous solution. Synthetic anionic surfactants have been used in increasingly high proportion as chemicals for household, personal care and industrial applications. A number of land-based waste products, notably surfactants, find their way down rivers to the seas. Furthermore, there is a heavy maritime traffic of crude oils and their products that is prone to accidents, like ship grounding or collisions, resulting in oil spillage. Oil dispersants, that contain surfactants and/or solvent compounds that break down floating oil into small droplets within the water column, are the most commonly used. Ocean pollution levels in some areas have doubled every decade for the past fifty years and antifouling paints, as well as noxious liquid substances discharged into the sea from tank cleaning, may be added to sea water pollution.

One of the most used synthetic surfactant is sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS); its advantage lies in its ability to solubilize fats and oils, lower the surface tension of aqueous solutions, and form micromolecules. Sodium dodecyl sulphate is also used in pharmaceutical industries for its ability to increase intestinal absorption or in biological and biochemical research for its ability to solubilize lipid membranes; it has also been utilized for protein identification by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE). An extended review on SDS has been offered by Singer and Tjeerdema. The possible toxicity of surfactants and oil dispersants has given rise to a number of research aiming to find new less toxic compounds; however, despite the research and the obvious usefulness of these chemicals, the doubt about a harmful effect on marine life still exists. SDS has both physical and biochemical effects on cells. Cell membranes lose their barrier capacity increasing their permeability at concentrations higher than critical micelle concentration (cmc) and cell lysis occurs. In fish branchial epithelium exposed to saponins and synthetic surfactants, vacuolations and detachments of cells have been observed. Exposure of rainbow trout to Zephiran, a cationic quaternary ammonium compound used as detergent in the treatment of fish bacterial diseases, resulted in severe branchial lesions. Similarly, the toxic effect of anionic detergents was observed in rainbow trout Salmo gairdneri now known as Oncorhynchus mykiss and in the brown trout Salmo trutta in which the gill epithelium lifts away from the underlying tissue, and lymphocytes and granulocytes invade the subepithelial spaces. Recently, the toxicity of some surfactants was assessed in the framework of studies aimed to evaluate the suitability of dispersants and sorbents to be employed for the decontamination of the marine environment after oil pollution.

The toxicity of SDS and dispersants Antipetrol AP2 (AP), safety sea cleaner 2 (SSC2) and FINASOL OSR2 was tested on copepods Tigriopus falciatus observing that SDS and SSC2, and less AP, showed high toxicity both on nauplii and adults; on the contrary, FINASOL OSR2 was toxic only for larval stages. A similar sensitivity was recorded also for barnacle nauplii (Balanus amphitrite). Surfactant toxicity in water-fowl was also described. Juvenile fish (Dicentrarchus labrax,
Sparus aurata) exposed to SDS and SSC2 showed high sensitivity, while FINASOL OSR2 did not induce mortality also at concentrations >2000 mg/L and did not cause alterations of the morphology of gills at the concentration of 100 mg/L.

The aim of the present work was to test the toxicity of different concentrations of SDS, FINASOL OSR2 and SSC2 by the evaluation of alterations induced on the gills of the gilthead Sparus aurata L., considering that the gills are one of the most important barriers encountered by pollutants in the aquatic ecosystem. The lesions at branchial tissue level were observed by histology. Immunohistochemistry was used to reveal damages to the enzymes involved in transmembrane ion transport.

Materials and Methods

Maintenance of fish

Juveniles of Sparus aurata L. (Osteichthyes, Sparidae) were purchased from an Italian fish farm (Ca’ Zuliani, Pila di Porto Tolle, Italy). Sparus aurata is a common Mediterranean species; it is euryaline and eurythermal and is found both in marine and, particularly during the early life stages, in brackish waters. It is also very sensitive to any environmental change, therefore S. aurata is useful for toxicological research.

The specimens were transported to the laboratory and maintained in tanks (150 L) containing synthetic sea water (Instant Ocean; Aquarium System, Mentor, OH, USA) where they were acclimated for 5 weeks under laboratory conditions before the start of the experiment. Tanks were individually aerated and water was filtered with a flow-through water supply. Water temperature was 20±0.5°C. Animals were fed twice a week with commercial food ad libitum. Food was withheld beginning 1 day before experimentation.

Exposure to the surfactants and oil dispersant

The effect of different concentrations of surfactants and oil dispersants on the gills was examined after short term (96 h) and long term (28 d) exposure. The different concentrations of SDS (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), FINASOL OSR2 (Total FINA ELF, Paris, France) and SSC2 (Blutec Chemicals S.r.L., Genoa, Italy) used are listed in Table 1.

Five juveniles for each chemical concentration and for the control were used in triplicate, maintained in 20 L tanks containing 10 L artificial sea water, according to the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development. Food was supplied every 48 h and after 1 h the water was changed and the chemicals were added (semistatic test). Lethal concentration 50% (LC50) was calculated using the Trimmed Spearman-Karber method. The no observed effect concentration (NOEC) was measured using the Dunnett test and statistically evaluated with ANOVA.

Histopathology

The toxic effect of tested chemicals on branchial tissue was analyzed on the survived animals that were killed by deep anaesthesia with MS 22 SANDOZ, 2-4 g/L (Sigma Aldrich). The gills were processed for light microscopy (LM), scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and immunohistochemistry.

Branchial tissue for LM was fixed in paraformaldehyde 4% (Inmunifix Biopica). Paraplast sections were cut at 5 μm, stained with haematoxylin and eosin and observed with a Leica DM light microscope (Leica Microsystems GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany). Gills for SEM were fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer, pH 7.4, for 3 h at 4°C, and then dehydrated in ethanol with increasing concentration up to 100% and critical point dried with liquid CO2 as transition fluid. They were mounted with double-sided adhesive tape on aluminium stubs and coated with a 20 nm gold layer in an argon atmosphere flow discharge sputter coating-unit (Polaron E 5100). The gills were examined in an ISI SS-40 SEM operated at an accelerating voltage of 10-20 kV.

The presence of enzyme involved in transmembrane ion transport was observed by immunohistochemistry using the following antibodies: Ab to Na+/K′ATPase (α5, monoclonal, donor animal mouse, IgG1 kappa light chain) and Na+/K′+Cl− cotransporters NKCC1-T4 (T4, monoclonal, donor animal mouse, IgG1). The antibodies were obtained from the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, created by the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development of the National Institute of Health and maintained at the University of Iowa (Department of Biology, Iowa City, IA, USA). Briefly after exposure to normal goat serum (diluted 1:50 in phosphate buffered saline (PBS); Sigma Aldrich) in a humid chamber at 20°C, the sections were incubated overnight at 4°C with the primary antisera. After PBS washing (0.01 M, pH 7.4) a second layer of fluoresceine-isothiocyanate conjugated γ-globulins (FITC), goat anti-mouse (diluted 1:100 in PBS; Sigma Aldrich) was applied for 30 min into a humid chamber, at 20°C. The slides were rinsed in PBS at 20°C for 30 min, mounted with gel-mount (Bionema Corp., Foster City, CA, USA). The specificity of the immunostainings was verified by omitting one of the steps of the immunohistochemical procedure, or by replacing the primary antibodies with PBS. As positive controls, gills sections of Pagetopsis macropterus were incubated with Ab-Na+/K′ATPase and to Ab-Na+/K′+Cl− cotransporter. Immunoreactions were visualized using a Leica DMRB epifluorescence microscope (Leica Microsystems GmbH).

Results

The two applied timeframe (short and extended) assays showed different survival of juveniles after exposure to the different concentration and the different chemical used. In all experiments the mortality in controls was less than 10%.

Sodium dodecyl sulphate exposure

The acute short term (96 h) assay showed 100% mortality at the higher concentration (20 mg/L). At the concentration of 10 mg/L the mortality was about 50%. Lower concentrations resulted in a 100% survival. The LC50 value resulted 8.6 mg/L (confidence limits 7.9-9.4 mg/L) (Table 2). At long term exposure (28 d) the NOEC (mortality) was 5 mg/L.

| Table 1. Concentrations of chemicals used in the tests on Sparus aurata. |
|-----------------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| **Test**                    | **SDS (mg/L)**   | **FINASOL OSR2 (mg/L)** | **SSC2 (mg/L)** |
| Short term (96 h)           | 1.25, 2.5, 5, 10, 20 | 10, 20, 100, 200, 500, 1000, 2000 | 0.1, 1, 10, 25, 50 |
| Long term (28 d)            | 1.25, 2.5, 5, 10, 20 | 10, 20, 100, 200, 500, 1000, 2000 | 2.5, 5, 7.5, 10, 12.5 |
| SDS, sodium dodecyl sulphate; SSC2, safety sea cleaner 2.
Safety sea cleaner 2 exposure

The acute short term exposure (96 h) to SSC2 resulted in a LC50 of 18.6 mg/L (confidence limits 17.1-20.3 mg/L), while the NOEC (mortality) after long term exposure (28 d) was 10.0 mg/L (Table 2).

FINASOL OSR2 exposure

The acute short term exposure (96 h) revealed that the LC50 was not detectable, thus the chemical, according to the Group of Experts on the Scientific Aspects of Marine Environmental Protection was not toxic. In the long term exposure the NOEC (mortality) was 1000 mg/L (Table 2).

Histomorphological results:

sodium dodecyl sulphate exposure

After exposure to a SDS concentration of 1.25 mg/L the branchial tissue appeared normal, however after exposure to a concentration of 20 mg/L the gills showed severe damages that included expansion of the lymphatic channels, cell membrane vesiculation and exfoliation of lamellar and interlamellar epithelium both in short term and chronic exposure. Blood cells were seen in the lymphatic spaces (Figure 1a,b). The SEM analysis showed disruption of the micro-ridges, cells degenerations and immature replacing sloughed ones (Figure 2a,b). The enzyme mechanisms of the

Table 2. LC50 with confidence limits (96 h) and no observed effect concentration (28 d) values calculated after exposition of Sparus aurata juveniles to sodium dodecyl sulphate, safety sea cleaner 2 and FINASOL OSR2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>LC50 (mg/L)</th>
<th>NOEC (mg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SDS</td>
<td>8.6 (7.9-9.4)</td>
<td>5 (P&lt;0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSC2</td>
<td>18.6 (17.1-20.3)</td>
<td>10 (P&lt;0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FINASOL OSR2</td>
<td>nc</td>
<td>1000 (P&lt;0.05)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LC50, median lethal concentration; NOEC, no observed effect concentration; SDS, sodium dodecyl sulphate; SSC2, safety sea cleaner 2; nc, not calculable.

Figure 1. Haematoxylin and eosin light micrographs of branchial lamellae from Sparus aurata exposed to 20 mg/L sodium dodecyl sulphate (a,b), 20 mg/L safety sea cleaner 2 (c,d), 1000 mg/L FINASOL OSR2 (e) to be compared with the control (f). Note the expansions of the lymphatic channels in a), b), c) (arrows), the hydropic degeneration and the exfoliation of lamellar epithelium in d) (arrowhead). The branchial tissue after FINASOL OSR2 exposure is not damaged (e) and is similar to the controls (f). Scale bars: a) 100 μm; b) 150 μm; c) 100 μm; d) 150 μm; e) 350 μm; f) 200 μm.

Figure 2. Scanning electron micrographs of branchial lamellae from Sparus aurata exposed in acute experiments to 20 mg/L sodium dodecyl sulphate (a,b), 20 mg/L safety sea cleaner 2 (c,d) and 1000 mg/L FINASOL OSR2 (e,f). Controls are given in g), h). Note the swollen cells in (a) as the result of spongiosis and hydropic degeneration. Note the reduced number or the absence of microridges in b) and c) (arrows), and the altered aspect of the secondary lamellae in d) (arrows). The gills of S. aurata exposed to FINASOL OSR2 show a normal aspect (e,f) as seen in the controls (g,h). Scale bars: a) 90 μm; b,c) 15 μm; d) 150 μm; e) 540 μm; f) 15 μm; g) 95 μm; h) 15 μm.
cells, as seen by the Na+/K+/ATPase and the Na+/K+/Cl− cotransporter immunoreactivity appeared to be normal after exposure to 1.25 mg/L. The exposure to 20 mg/L resulted in severe histomorphological alterations, and the enzyme-immunoreactive cells appeared to be less numerous (Figure 3a) if compared with the control (Figure 3d).

Histomorphological results: safety sea cleaner 2 exposure

Effects similar to those occurring following the SDS treatments were observed after short term exposure to a concentration of 25 mg/L of SSC2; spongiosis was associated with expansion of the lymphatic channels. Exfoliation was observed in the lamellar and interlamellar epithelium, the secondary lamellae were almost disrupted, the pavement cells showed outer membrane lesions with extrusion of cytoplasmatic material, micro-ridges were less evident and seem to be interrupted (Figures 1c,d, 2c,d, arrows). The enzyme immunoreactive cells decreased in comparison with the controls (Figure 3b).

Histomorphological results: FINASOL exposure

Exposure to FINASOL (100 mg/L) showed no evident alterations at branchial epithelium level (Figure 1e) when the tissue is observed at Light Microscope, but the SEM micrograph revealed that the micro-ridges are lower (Figure 2e,f) if compared with the control (Figure 2h). The immunoreaction for the enzymes revealed a distribution and a brightness similar to the control (Figure 3c). A far more elevated concentration (1000 mg/L) was needed to cause damages to the gills.

Controls

All histomorphological results were compared with the aspects offered by the controls (Figures 1f, 2g,h, 3d) where the branchial epithelium is composed by pavement cells (distributed both in the primary and secondary lamellae), by mucous cells and chloride cells (characterised by a high mitochondrial density), numerous in the interlamellar space of the primary lamellae; the respiratory lamellae project at regular intervals from the primary lamellae.

Discussion

The purpose of this paper was to provide evidence of potential changes in branchial tissue of the juvenile gilthead (Sparus aurata) exposed in acute and chronic experiments to various concentrations of three anionic detergents (SDS, SSC2 and FINASOL OSR2). The results of this study have confirmed the high mortality of the fish at medium and high concentration of SDS, according to what is known about the toxicity of SDS in fishes, and SSC2, as reported earlier. At branchial level it was found that the gills underwent critical changes thereby confirming severe respiratory distress. Hypoxia may therefore be the cause of mortality. The branchial architecture was disrupted, histology and SEM revealed cell membranes disorganizations. Plasma membrane however was not completely destroyed, but the hydropic degeneration and spongiosis of the lamellar and interlamellar epithelia were presumably the result of water influx caused by membrane impairment and junctional porosity. Plasma membrane damage was probably due to the breakdown of various cellular enzyme systems and of proteins, such as ATPases, associated to the plasma membrane which could be denaturated. ATPases are crucial for the active exchange of sodium, potassium and chloride, and thereby osmoregulation was impaired. Our findings are similar to those reported by Abel and Skinmore in trout gills exposed to ionic detergent.

The range of lesions reported in this study are typical of fish exposed to surfactants and oil dispersant because they have been reported previously in fish exposed to branchial irritants, cationic detergents such as Zefiran and to SDS in the gilthead Sparus aurata. On the other hand, the sensitivity of juvenile Dicentrarchus labrax and Sparus aurata to SDS and SSC2 is well known.

Gills are one of the first barriers encountered by pollutant before reaching the blood stream; therefore, gills integrity is essential to prevent contamination by different pollutants in fish organs and muscles.

Conclusions

The results of the three chemicals examined revealed that, as concerns the mortality, SDS and SSC2 are highly toxic even at low concentration. Only FINASOL OSR2, a modified molecule, seems to be non-toxic even at a high concentration; a low potential of the last substance was confirmed also for harm to gills. In conclusion, despite the indubitable usefulness of surfactants and oil dispersants, the potential toxicological effects of these chemicals on living beings must be taken into consideration, and the choice of less toxic molecules must be carefully considered.
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