Effects of phosphorus on the growth and chlorophyll fluorescence of a Dunaliella salina strain isolated from saline soil under nitrate limitation
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Abstract

An isolated Dunaliella salina (D. salina) KU XI from saline soils in northeastern Thailand was cultured in f/2 medium in column photo-bioreactor. The variations of the growth, chlorophyll and beta-carotene content and the maximum quantum yield of PS II photochemistry (Fv/Fm) under different NaH2PO4 concentrations were studied. Based on the results, the growth kinetics of D. salina KU XI was established, which could simulate the algae growth rate under different phosphate concentrations and temperatures. The phosphorus could significantly affect the growth and pigments accumulations of this isolated strain. Increasing NaHPO4 concentration improved the biomass, the total chlorophyll and beta-carotene content, retarded the decrease of Fv/Fm value. The optimal phosphate concentration for the growth of D. salina KU XI was above 72.6 µM. The maximum biomass and beta-carotene were 0.24 g L⁻¹ and 17.4 mg L⁻¹ respectively when NaHPO4 was 290.4 µM. The algae growth was restrained by phosphate or nitrate when NaHPO4 below 12.1 µM or above 72.6 µM. It indicated that properly supplementing nitrate in the late growth stage with high phosphate concentration was favored for enhancing the growth and biomass production.

Introduction

Dunaliella salina (D. salina) is a type of halophilic green microalgae especially found in sea salt fields, belongs to Kingdom of Plantae, Phylum Chlorophyta, class Chlorophyceae, Family Dunaliellaceae and genus Dunaliella.¹ Known for its excellent ability for accumulation of beta-carotene under stress conditions, where dry biomass can consist of up to 10% carotenoids, 40% protein and 50% lipids.²³ Hence, D. salina is widely used for producing natural beta-carotene, biofuel, biofeeds and hygienic foods.¹ Large-scale commercial cultivation of D. salina takes place in a number of countries, including Australia, Israel, the US, and China.³,⁴ For the algal production, nitrogen and phosphorus can easily become restrained factors due to these two elements being the most likely to be present at levels insufficient for plant growth in natural environments.²,⁷

Phosphorus and nitrogen participate in various metabolic processes during algal growth and development, such as photosynthesis, pigment synthesis, cell membrane structure, signal transduction and various enzyme activities etc.⁸⁻¹⁰ In stress conditions, such as high light intensity, low nutrition, high salinity etc. chlorophyll synthesis of D. salina is inhibited and carotenoids are synthesized. Meanwhile, the lipid accumulation was enhanced following the carotenoids synthesized.¹¹,¹² These series physiological changes improved the adaptability of D. salina to various adversary stress conditions.¹²,¹³ Phosphorous could significantly affect the photosynthesis rate and efficiency, regulate various physiology functions. Too high or too low phosphorus both have adverse effects on algae growth.⁷,¹⁴

Due to the different living environments of D. salina strains, their optimal conditions for growth and pigments accumulation are different.¹⁵,¹⁶ D. salina strain in this study was isolated from saline soils in northeastern Thailand where nearly 17% of this area is covered by saline-alkaline soil and unsuited for agricultural plantation.¹⁵ Developing algal industry in this area by using the local algae species would be a valid and economic strategy. Hence, it was very necessary to study the growth habits and growth kinetics of this strain.

Chlorophyll fluorescence is widely and successfully used as the non-
intrusive diagnosis tool in the photosynthesis research.\(^{17}\) The value of F\(_{\text{Fv/Fm}}\), the maximum quantum yield of PS II photochemistry, is almost a constant for most plant/algal species under non-stressed conditions and equals to 0.832.\(^{18}\) For stressed and/or damaged plants, the value is markedly reduced. Thus, it is widely used to check the stressed physiological state of microalgae.\(^{17,19}\) Most reports studied the effects of nitrate on the growth and photosynthesis of \(D.\) \(salina\), however, the study on the chlorophyll fluorescence and growth kinetics under different phosphate concentrations with nitrogen limitation are few.\(^{2,20}\) This research was aimed to provide a scientific guidance for the future commercial cultivation of this \(D.\) \(salina\) strain by studying the growth habits under different phosphate concentrations.

### Materials and Methods

Algae strain and cultivation conditions

\(D.\) \(salina\) KU XI was isolated from saline soils in Buriram, Thailand. It was also known as \(Dunaliella salina\) BuriRam KU 01 with 18S rDNA accession number of JN052202 in National Center for Biotechnology Information.\(^{21}\) The strain was cultured at 30°C in \(f/2\) medium in a column photobioreactor with a working volume of 250 mL. The salinity (NaCl concentration) was 2.5 M. The initial pH was adjusted at 7.5 by diluted HCl and the culture was inoculated 5% algae starter with the cell density around 7×10\(^6\) mL\(^{-1}\). Bioreactors were aerated with compressed air containing 5% CO\(_2\) (v/v) at approx. 0.01vvm (volume of air per volume of medium per minute) and irradiated continuously from two sides with cool white fluorescent lamps giving 54 \(\mu\)mol m\(^{-2}\)s\(^{-1}\).\(^{15}\) NaH\(_2\)PO\(_4\) concentration was modified to 0, 1/16, 1/8, 1/4, 1/2, 1/1.5, 1/1.2, 1, 2, 3, 4 and 8 times the original NaH\(_2\)PO\(_4\) concentration (36.3 \(\mu\)M) in \(f/2\) medium. The details of phosphate concentrations were shown in Table 1. NaNO\(_3\) was 1.2 mM and other chemicals in \(f/2\) medium were maintained the original concentration. Each treatment was set three repetitions. Algae were cultured 15 days.

Cell density and dry biomass weight

Cell density was checked by daily counting in a haematocytometer and the raw data was processed with the method of sigmoid curve fitting by the software of Table Curve 2D v5.01 for calculating the specific growth rate (\(\mu\)). 50 mL sample was centrifuged at 3000 g for 5 min and washed by distilled water, the pellets were dried in oven at 60°C for two days. Finally the dry biomass weight and specific growth rate were calculated by following equations: \(DW=SW/V; \mu=ln\left(\frac{N_2}{N_1}\right)/(t_2-t_1);\) Where \(DW\) was dry biomass weight (g \(L\)^{-1}); \(SW\) was sample dry weight (g); \(V\) was sample volume (L); \(\mu\) was specific growth rate (day\(^{-1}\)); \(N_2\) or \(N_1\) was cell density at different day of \(t_2\) and \(t_1\) in exponential growth stage.

Pigments extraction and measurement

Five mL sample was centrifuged at 3000 g for 5 min. The pellets were dissolved into 1 mL cold methanol with 0.1% (v/v) butylated hydroxytoluene and sonicated for 10 min. The pellets were extracted by acetone (90%) for 30 min. The optical densities of supernatants were examined by ultraviolet spectrophotometer. All procedures were conducted under dim laboratory light to prevent pigment photo-oxidation.\(^{4}\) The chlorophyll contents were calculated from the equation: \(\text{Chl}=\left(8.02 \times \text{OD}_{545}+20.21 \times \text{OD}_{663}\right) \times V/N;\) Where Chl is the chlorophyll content (mg \(L\)^{-1}); \(\text{OD}_{545}\) and \(\text{OD}_{663}\) are the absorbance at 645 and 663 nm; \(V\) and \(N\) was volume of extract and culture sample respectively (mL).\(^{15,22}\) The beta-carotene content was found from the standard curve, which was determined by the known standard beta-carotene sample weight and the value of optical density at 450 nm. The regression equation used was \(y=0.9971x+2.9832\) where \(y\) is beta-carotene content (mg \(L\)^{-1}) and \(x\) is the \(\text{OD}_{450}\) value.

### Chlorophyll content and chlorophyll fluorescence

Chlorophyll fluorescence was measured by Water-PAM Chlorophyll Fluorometer (Waltz, Effeltrich, Germany). Two mL fresh algae samples was placed in darkness for 20 min and checked the basal fluorescence \(F_0\) with the measuring light (0.01 \(\mu\)mol m\(^{-2}\)s\(^{-1}\)). The maximum fluorescence \(F_m\) was measured after the sample excited by high intensity of saturation pulse (4000 \(\mu\)mol m\(^{-2}\)s\(^{-1}\)) for 0.8 s. The maximum quantum yield of PS II photochemistry \(F_{\text{Fv/Fm}}=(F_m-F_0)/F_m\). The instant fluorescence value was positive related with the chlorophyll content in a certain range;\(^{21}\) therefore, the chlorophyll content could be obtained by the calibrated curve of fluorescence value with chlorophyll content. In order to reduce errors, the relative chlorophyll content was used in this study, namely the value of daily measured chlorophyll content from the Chlorophyll Fluorometer divided by the beginning chlorophyll content.

Data process

All data were processed by the software of Microsoft Excel 2010, Origin8.0Pro and TableCurve 2D v 5.01, analyzed by SPSS 16.0 with the method of ANOVA and multiple comparisons (Duncan’s New Multiple Range Test).

### Results

#### Cell growth under different phosphate concentrations

ANOVA and multiple comparison results showed that phosphorus could significantly affect the cell growth (\(F=54, P<0.01\)). Cell density, biomass and the specific growth rate were significantly restrained when NaH\(_2\)PO\(_4\) concentration below 12.1 \(\mu\)M and rapidly improved following the increase of NaH\(_2\)PO\(_4\) concentration (Figure 1 and Table 1). However, the final biomass was not significantly different when NaH\(_2\)PO\(_4\) concentration above 72.6 \(\mu\)M (Table 1). It implied that the growth would be restrained by nitrate when phosphate concentration above 72.6 \(\mu\)M.

![Cell growth of Dunaliella salina KU XI under different NaH\(_2\)PO\(_4\) concentrations.](image)

**Figure 1.** Cell growth of \(Dunaliella salina\) KU XI under different NaH\(_2\)PO\(_4\) concentrations.
Effects of NaH$_2$PO$_4$ concentrations on the chlorophyll fluoresence

The maximum quantum yield of PS II photochemistry was significantly affected by phosphorus ($F=53$, $P<0.01$). $F_v/F_m$ values under different NaH$_2$PO$_4$ concentrations were all decreased following the culturing time and decreased slowly in the high phosphate concentration (Figure 2). It suggested that the nutrient could be supplied to the medium in the late growth stage for maintaining the continuous high growth conditions. $F_v/F_m$ values dramatically decreased after the second day when NaH$_2$PO$_4$ concentration below 12.1 µM (Figure 2). Above results were highly consistent with the algae growth conditions under different NaH$_2$PO$_4$ concentrations. It indicated that the parameter of the maximum quantum yield of PS II photochemistry ($F_v/F_m$) could reflect the algae growth conditions.

Effects of NaH$_2$PO$_4$ concentrations on the chlorophyll and beta-carotene accumulation

The conditions of chlorophyll accumulation were consistent with the cell growth (Figures 1 and 3). The chlorophyll rapidly increased to the maximum at the seventh day and gradually decreased following the cultivation time (Figure 3). However, the total beta-carotene content was rapidly increased after seven days and the maximum beta-carotene content appeared in the highest NaH$_2$PO$_4$ concentration. To the contrary, the highest carotenoids/chlorophyll ratio was shown when phosphate concentration below 12.1 µM (Table 1). Due to the high cell density, high content of beta-carotene was accumulated although the low content per cell (Table 1). Hence properly improving phosphate concentration was favored for improving the total beta-carotene yield.

Table 1. Biomass and beta-carotene production of Dunaliella salina KU XI under different NaH$_2$PO$_4$ concentrations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NaH$_2$PO$_4$ content (µM)</th>
<th>Specific growth rate (µ, day$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Dry biomass weight (DW, g L$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Beta-carotene content per volume (mg L$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Beta-carotene content in cell (pg)</th>
<th>Ratio of carotene/chlorophyll</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.13±0.01a</td>
<td>0.01±0.01a</td>
<td>0.91±0.09a</td>
<td>8.21±0.21d</td>
<td>0.62±0.15c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.14±0.01a</td>
<td>0.01±0.01a</td>
<td>1.12±0.13a</td>
<td>8.41±0.26d</td>
<td>0.61±0.18bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.16±0.01a</td>
<td>0.02±0.02a</td>
<td>1.15±0.13a</td>
<td>7.61±0.18c</td>
<td>0.55±0.12bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>0.33±0.01b</td>
<td>0.02±0.02a</td>
<td>1.21±0.13a</td>
<td>6.21±0.21c</td>
<td>0.48±0.13bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>0.40±0.02c</td>
<td>0.03±0.02a</td>
<td>2.36±0.24ab</td>
<td>6.31±0.17c</td>
<td>0.33±0.13c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>0.45±0.04d</td>
<td>0.07±0.02ab</td>
<td>4.36±0.38bc</td>
<td>6.34±0.15c</td>
<td>0.32±0.15c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>0.46±0.02d</td>
<td>0.07±0.03ab</td>
<td>4.93±0.35cd</td>
<td>6.41±0.13c</td>
<td>0.33±0.16c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>0.45±0.03d</td>
<td>0.1±0.03b</td>
<td>6.43±0.68cd</td>
<td>6.26±0.18c</td>
<td>0.33±0.11c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>0.49±0.02c</td>
<td>0.11±0.01b</td>
<td>6.67±0.12d</td>
<td>6.37±0.19c</td>
<td>0.34±0.13c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72.6</td>
<td>0.57±0.02c</td>
<td>0.2±0.04f</td>
<td>14.9±1.61c</td>
<td>7.21±0.21b</td>
<td>0.35±0.09c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108.9</td>
<td>0.55±0.03b</td>
<td>0.21±0.05c</td>
<td>16.1±2.02d</td>
<td>7.41±0.25bc</td>
<td>0.37±0.12ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145.2</td>
<td>0.57±0.03c</td>
<td>0.23±0.05c</td>
<td>17.4±2.27f</td>
<td>7.41±0.26bc</td>
<td>0.36±0.1ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>290.4</td>
<td>0.53±0.02f</td>
<td>0.24±0.04e</td>
<td>17.4±2.4f</td>
<td>7.21±0.27b</td>
<td>0.39±0.13ab</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DW, dry weight. The strain was cultured in f/2 medium with 0.1 g L$^{-1}$ NaNO$_3$. All data were collected on the 15th day and presented by the average value±standard deviation. Duncan’s New Multiple Range Test was used to compare the mean differences and the different alphabet letters shown in the same column denote significant difference effects between each other ($P<0.05$).
Growth kinetics of *Dunaliella salina* KU XI based on different phosphate concentrations

The growth rate of microalgae was dependent solely on the concentration of a particular limiting nutrient. Thus, the Monod model was described as:

\[ \mu = \frac{\mu_{\text{max}} S}{K_s + S} \]  

(eq. 1)

in which \( \mu \) was specific growth rate, day\(^{-1} \); \( \mu_{\text{max}} \) was maximum specific growth rate, day\(^{-1} \); \( S \) was limiting nutrient concentration, mg L\(^{-1} \); \( K_s \) was half saturation coefficient.\(^{7,21} \)

Lineweaver–Burk plot was applied to the Eq.1, 1/\( \mu \) and 1/S were dependent variable and independent variable respectively, and a linear equation was obtained, namely 1/\( \mu \) = (K\(_s\)/\( \mu_{\text{max}} \))·(1/S)+1/\( \mu_{\text{max}} \). Thus, the slope from this equation was K\(_s\)/\( \mu_{\text{max}} \); intercept in y axis and x axis was 1/\( \mu_{\text{max}} \) and -1/ \( \mu_{\text{max}} \), respectively. In this study, S was phosphate concentration, the linear equation was obtained, namely \( y = 14.085x + 1.6902, R^2 = 0.93 \), and the slop in x axis was -0.12, hence, \( K_s = 8.33 \). Therefore, eq. 1 was changed as:

\[ \mu = \frac{\mu_{\text{max}} S}{(8.33 + S)} \]  

(eq. 2)

The maximum growth rate as defined by the Monod relationship was still a function of other environmental variables. When light intensity was held constant, it is possible to describe the maximum growth rate solely as a function of temperature by applying the Arrhenius equation:\(^{23} \)

\[ \mu_{\text{max}} = Ae^{-E/RT} \]  

(eq. 3)

in which \( A \) was constant; \( E \) was activation energy, cal mol\(^{-1} \); \( R \) was universal gas constant, cal K\(^{-1}\)mol\(^{-1} \); and \( T \) was temperature, Kelvin scale, K. According to the growth rate of *KU XI* at different temperature,\(^{15,16,24} \) an equation could be obtained: \( \mu_{\text{max}} = 1.26 \times 10^{9}e^{-44197/T} \). Thus, eq.4 could be as follows:

\[ \mu = 1.26 \times 10^{6}e^{-44197/T} \left( \frac{S}{(8.33 + S)} \right) \]  

(eq. 4)

Eq. 4 was the model incorporates the combined effects of temperature and phosphate concentration on the growth of *D. salina* KU XI. The average relative standard deviation of \( \mu \) between the value calculated from the Eq. 4 and the measured value was 5.44% and it has higher fitting accuracy for this *D. salina* strain.

**Discussion**

Present studies showed that light-saturated photosynthesis rate was decreased under phosphorus limitation. Concentration of phosphorus in microalgae was dropped resulted phosphophorylation, ATP synthesis, Calvin cycle efficiency and regenerative cycle of NADP\(^+\) and NADPH being retard or restrained. While NADP\(^+\) was the final electron acceptor in the photosynthetic chain and it’s inevitable to cause PS II function declined that resulted the efficiency and quantum yield of PS II photochemistry decreased.\(^{18,25} \)

The value of F\(_{v}/F_{m}\) was rapidly dropped after two days when the phosphate concentration decreased and this trend was significant when Na\(_2\)HPO\(_4\) concentration was below 12.1 µM. This could be explained that microalgae could accumulate PO\(_4^{3-}\) in cytoplasm to form polyphosphoric particles which could be functioned as a store to provide necessary phosphorus to maintain the physiology metabolism.\(^{20} \) Hence, it did not show significant growth restriction under low phosphate concentration at the beginning days. Obviously, high phosphate concentration could retard the decrease of F\(_{v}/F_{m}\) value (Figure 2). In addition, by comparing our previous researches,\(^{15,24,27} \) the cell densities of *KU XI* was up to 8×10\(^8\) mL\(^{-1}\) which was far higher than the result in this study when nitrate above 0.5 g L\(^{-1}\). Thus the transition of restrained algae growth was changed from phosphorus to nitrogen when NaH\(_2\)PO\(_4\) concentration was lower 12.1 µM or higher 72.6 µM (Figure 1). This results was in agreement with conclusion that no multiplicative or additive effect between nitrogen and phosphorus limitation of microalgae growth.\(^7 \)

Phosphorus is important component of nucleic acid and necessary element for cell metabolic and energy conversion.\(^{11,28} \) Efficiency of Calvin cycle of photosynthesis in microalgae was decreased under low phosphorus, which retarded or ceased chlorophyll synthesis and cell division.\(^{3,25} \) Studies reported that the alga was in the conditions of absent phosphorus if KH\(_2\)PO\(_4\) below 1.5 µM and also the growth was restrained when KH\(_2\)PO\(_4\) was above 600 µM. The suitable concentration of KH\(_2\)PO\(_4\) for algae growth was 30-120 µM.\(^{2,25} \) In this study, the growth of *D. salina* KU XI was generally consistent with above reports. The cell density was significantly low when NaH\(_2\)PO\(_4\) was below 12.1 µM and rapidly increased when the concentration was above 72.6 µM (Figure 1). Moreover, the maximum cell densities were not significantly different after 10 days when phosphorus was above 72.6 µM. This could be related with the high phosphorus concentration in this study was either lower than the maximum P-limited concentration or insensitive for algae growth.\(^7,14 \) However, high phosphorus concentration could limit the growth of *Chaetoceros gracilis*.\(^{17,26} \)

Nitrogen and phosphorus in the sea were ranged at 0.1-50 µM and 0.1-2 µM, far lower than the optimal concentration for the planktonic microorganism. Some studies reported that 5mM or 10 mM nitrate was suited for biomass production of *D. salina*.\(^{16,24} \) *D. salina* could produce beta-carotene and lipid under nutrition limitation.\(^1 \) Therefore, the nitrate concentration was often limited below 3 mM in the actual algal cultivation.\(^{28} \) In this study, nitrate concentration was 1.2 mM, which was reasonable for the study of the algae growth.

The growth kinetics (eq. 4) well described the specific growth rate and predicted the growth conditions of *D. salina* XI. However, this equation strengthened the specific growth rate under different phosphate concentrations. Hence, the growth kinetics of *D. salina* XI could not predict the final or maximum biomass. The maximum biomass depends on the cultivation conditions.\(^{1,6} \) Obviously, the algae strains with high specific growth rate could rapidly reach the maximum cell density in short time. Considering the requirements of actual biomass or beta-carotene production, we suggested the phosphate should be higher 72.6 µM although the specific growth rate was also high when phosphate above 18.2 µM.

Chlorophyll is important pigment for photosynthesis in phytoplankton. Chlorophylls synthesis was declined under nutrient limitation thereby caused the decrease of photosynthesis and biomass accumulation. The variation of chlorophyll content could indicate the photosynthesis and growth conditions of microalgae.\(^{15} \) In this study, the chlorophyll content in high phosphate concentration was significantly higher than that in low phosphate concentration and a positive correaltive relation was shown between the cell density and chlorophyll. Beta-carotene content in a cell was gradually increased when phosphate concentration decreased (Table 1). However, the highest beta-carotene content per volume appeared in the highest phosphorus concentration. This result was related with the high cell densities leading to high volumetric carotenoids accumulation. Moreover, chlorophyll content in this research was checked by the chlorophyll fluorometer. This method possesses merits of requiring rapid, few samples and freeing the cell from injury. However, this method should be calibrated by the measured value from the traditional ways (UV spectrophotometry, HPLC method, etc.). In this study, the relative chlorophyll content was adapted due to that the relative content could express the effects of environmental factors on the algae growth and avoids the calibration errors.
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Conclusions

Phosphorus could significantly affect algae growth and pigments accumulation. Increasing NaH₂PO₄ concentration improved the biomass, the total chlorophyll and beta-carotene content of *D. salina* KU XI, and retarded the decrease of the maximum quantum yield of PS II photochemistry (Fv/Fm). The optimal phosphate concentration for the growth of *D. salina* KU XI was above 72.6 μM. The algae growth was restrained by phosphate or nitrate when NaH₂PO₄ below 12.1 μM or above 72.6 μM. The growth kinetics of *D. salina* KU XI was established. It could simulate the algae growth rate under different phosphate concentrations and temperatures.
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