Menisco-ligamentous injuries of knee joint: Can ultrasonography serve as an effective screening modality?
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Abstract

The aim is to calculate sensitivity, specificity and diagnostic accuracy of Ultrasonography (USG) as a screening modality in evaluation of Menisco-Ligamentous injuries of knee joint with Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) as gold standard for comparison. Patients with clinically suspected Menisco-Ligamentous injuries were evaluated by USG initially followed by MRI on the same day. A total of 60 patients (50 males, 10 females) underwent USG and MRI. USG was done using high frequency probe (9-14 Hz) and all the injuries noted. USG of the normal knee was done for comparison. MRI with trauma protocol sequences was done on the same day. The accuracy of USG and MRI in diagnosis of menisco-ligamentous injuries was compared. Majority of the patients (50%) belonged to age group of 21-40 years. Most common injuries seen were medial meniscal tear followed by medial collateral ligament injury. The strength of agreement between USG and MRI was good with Diagnostic accuracy of USG ranging from 83.3% to 95% for different meniscal/ligamentous injuries. USG is an effective imaging modality with high accuracy in diagnosing menisco-ligamentous injuries. USG can act as an effective screening modality in closed knee trauma for evaluation of menisco-ligamentous injuries especially in resource constrained regions owing to its easy availability, portability and lower cost. MRI can be reserved for patients with suspicious USG and clinical findings.

Introduction

The knee joint is a compound synovial joint that consists of hyaline cartilage articulations between femur, tibia and patella. The major stabilizers of the knee joint include its ligamentous structures and injury to these supporting structures is quite common. Knee injuries are commonly sports related.1

The knee joint is stabilized by a number of ligaments. Medially, the medial collateral ligament extends from medial femoral condyle to the tibia in the coronal plane. The lateral or fibular collateral ligament originates from lateral femur and extends over the popliteus tendon to insert on the lateral aspect of fibula with the biceps femoris tendon. The anterior and posterior cruciate ligaments within the intercondylar notch extend from femur to the proximal tibia as intra-capsular but extra-synovial structures. The menisci are C-shaped fibrocartilagenous structures present between femur and tibia acting as shock absorbers.2

Clinical evaluation and localization of knee injuries is quite difficult. Imaging plays a pivotal role in management of knee injuries. While radiographs are limited to bony injuries, USG and MRI have been widely used in the past for assessing ligaments, menisci and soft tissue injuries. MRI provides multiplanar imaging capabilities, is non-invasive and lacks radiation. It provides a wide range of information in cases of knee injury ranging from ligamentous injuries to bone and meniscal injury. The disadvantage, if any, is lack of availability, cost of the study and few contraindications like claustrophobia and metallic plates common in trauma patients.3,4 Arthroscopy is considered as the gold standard and has the advantage of being both diagnostic and therapeutic. However it is invasive and associated with complications like deep vein thrombosis, pulmonary embolism and infections.5,7

High resolution Ultrasound (USG) has emerged in the recent decade as an easily available modality for evaluation of knee trauma. Although the sensitivity and specificity might not be better than MRI, USG has shown to be comparable to MRI in diagnosing certain injuries including joint effusion, muscle and tendon injury and meniscal injuries. Nerves and vessels around knee joint can also be reliably assessed. The advantages of USG include its availability, possibility of bedside use and use in patients with contraindications to MRI. Comparison of the injured knee with the normal side is also possible.6

The role of USG therefore needs to be evaluated vis-a-vis knee injuries. Our study aims at assessing HRUSG as an initial screening modality in patients with menisco-ligamentous injuries of knee and along with clinical examination decide whether further evaluation in the form of MRI and/or arthroscopy is required or not.

Materials and Methods

The prospective study was conducted at Sher-i-Kashmir Institute of Medical Sciences, Srinagar over a period of two years (2018-2020). Sixty patients clinically suspected of having knee ligamentous or meniscal injuries were included in the study. Patients with poly trauma with hemodynamic instability, open wounds around the knee and those in whom fractures had been fixated were excluded from the study. All the patients underwent USG of the injured knee as well as normal knee using
GE Logic P5 high resolution USG machine and MR of the injured knee on the same day. Image interpretations were done by radiologists with more than 5 years experience in musculoskeletal radiology. The findings of USG and MRI were kept mutually blinded for the radiologists. All the patients were clinically examined by an orthopaedic with more than 15 years of experience and a clinical diagnosis was made based on various clinical signs which again were not revealed to the radiologist.

**Ultrasound technique**

All USG\textsuperscript{9,10} examinations were performed using LOGIC GE P5 machine equipped with high frequency linear probe (7-15 Hz). Menisci were examined in partially flexed knee along lateral and medial aspects. Normal meniscus was described as a triangular shaped hyperechoic structure located at the joint space while meniscal tears were suggested by appearance of hypoechoic or anechoic clefts within the structure. PCL was evaluated in prone position from popliteal fossa with the knee fully extended. Normal PCL appeared homogenously hypoechoic with a well defined posterior border, while torn PCL is heterogeneously hypoechoic with increased antero-posterior diameter and/or indistinct or wavy posterior margins. Direct visualization of ACL being extremely difficult, ACL injuries were indirectly diagnosed by haemarthrosis seen as a fluid collection in intercondylar space when knee is examined in full flexion together with anterior translation of knee on dynamic USG. MCL and LCL are examined in semi-flexed (30-50 degrees) position of knee along medial and lateral aspects respectively. The torn MCL was diagnosed in the form of thickening and heterogeneously hypoechoic change of the ligament on sonogram. LCL is usually depicted as a hypoechoic thin band like structure; however its oblique orientation makes assessment difficult owing to anisotropic effect (Figure 1).

**MRI technique and protocol**

A careful trace of the ligament from its distal insertion in the fibular head to the proximal femoral insertion was done for complete evaluation. Note was made of any additional feature including effusion, tendon or muscle injury and patellar fracture. MRI was carried out using 1.5T MR system (Magnetom Avanto, Siemens Medical Systems, Erlangen, Germany) using the set protocol in our institution (Table 1). Meniscal tears on MRI were diagnosed by abnormal meniscal morphology and intra-substance high signal intensity on T2W and PD images. Ligament tears were seen as high signal intensity on T2W and PD images and interruption or disruption of fibres.

**Table 1. MRI protocol for knee trauma at our institution.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>TR</th>
<th>TE</th>
<th>FOV read</th>
<th>FOV phase</th>
<th>Slice thickness</th>
<th>Flip angle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1- Coronal</td>
<td>3650</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>90.6</td>
<td>3.5mm</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1 - TIRM- coronal</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3.0mm</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD- FS- axial</td>
<td>3320</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3.0mm</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD- FS- coronal</td>
<td>3320</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3.0mm</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD- FS- sagittal</td>
<td>3320</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3.0mm</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2-MEDIC- axial</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3.0mm</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


**Statistical analysis**

Collected data was evaluated using SPSS 22.0. Continuous variables were expressed as Mean$\pm$SD and categorical variables were summarized as frequencies and percentages. Sensitivity, specificity and diagnostic accuracy of USG was calculated keeping MRI as standard for comparison. The sensitivity and specificity were calculated using formulas (Sensitivity = True Positive / True Positive + False Negative) and (Specificity = True Negative / False Positive + True Negative) respectively with MRI acting as gold standard. Accuracy of USG was obtained by using the formula (Accuracy = True Positive + True Negative / True Positive + True Negative + False Positive + False Negative). Table 1 shows the MRI protocol for knee trauma at our institution.

**Figure 1. Normal morphology of menisco-ligamentous component of knee joint on USG.**

a) Medial meniscus; b) Posterior cruciate ligament; c) Medial collateral ligament; d) Anterior cruciate ligament.
Results

Patient profile
We studied 60 patients suspected of having menisco-ligamentous injuries of knee joint with a mean age of 35±8 years (range 17-59 years), majority belonging to the age group of 21 to 30 years (n=37, 62%). Male to female ratio was 4:1 and right knee (n=45, 75%) was more commonly involved than left knee (n=15, 25%). Sports related injuries (n=38, 63%) followed by road traffic accidents (n=17, 28%) were the main causes of knee joint injuries.

Evaluation of ACL
Out of 60 cases, 11 (18%) cases were positive by USG and clinical examination. Only 09 of these were proved to be positive on MRI, thus resulting in 09 true positives and 02 false positives.

Out of 60 cases, 49 cases were negative on USG and 46 proved to be negative on MRI resulting in 46 true negatives and 03 false negatives. The clinical examination was negative in 40 cases only thereby over diagnosing ACL tear.

Evaluation of PCL
PCL injury was diagnosed on USG in 15 (25%) cases. MRI in these cases was suggestive of PCL injury in 7 cases resulting in 7 true positives and 8 false positives.

Out of 60 cases, 45 cases were negative on USG and 43 proved to be negative on MRI resulting in 43 true negatives and 02 false negatives.

Evaluation of menisci
For Medial meniscal tear, USG was consistent with MRI in 53 (88%) patients consisting of 20 true positives, 33 true negatives, 3 false positives and 4 false negatives.

For Lateral meniscal tear, USG was consistent with MRI in 50 (83%) patients consisting of 5 true positives, 45 true negatives, 06 false positives and 04 false negatives.

All meniscal tears (n=25) that were detected by USG were high grade tears (grade 2 and 3) on MRI (Figure 2) and meniscal tears (n=8) which were missed by USG belonged to grade 1 category on MRI.

Discussion
USG in menisco-ligamentous knee injuries has remained an enigma over many decades. With variable results in many previous studies its role remains ambiguous. Our study aimed at setting out clear guidelines vis-a-vis use of USG in knee ligaments and meniscus injuries. We included a total of 60 patients with a mean age of 35.7 years with male predominance (83%). The results we obtained were quite similar to those of Nasir et al.11 indicating the increased incidence of knee injuries in young males owing to more participation in contact sports and outdoor work compared to females in our part of the world.

We assessed the accuracy of USG in regards to closed knee injuries with clinical suspicion of menisco-ligamentous injuries taking MRI as the gold standard. We found USG to be consistent with MRI in 55 (91.67%) out of 60 patients. Sensitivity, specificity and accuracy of ultrasound in detecting ACL injury was 75%, 95.8% and 91.67% respectively. Our results were concordant with the studies done by Friedlet al.12 (Sensitivity 70% and specificity 98%), Ptasznik et al.13 (Sensitivity 91% and specificity 100%) and Monem et al.14 (Sensitivity 91% and specificity 98%).
81% and specificity 84%) showing comparable statistics. The lower sensitivity of USG in diagnosing ACL injuries is probably because of dependence on indirect signs for diagnosis and lack of direct visualization. However, indirect signs of ACL tear on USG together with clinical suspicion of ACL injury should serve as an indication for MRI of the injured knee (Figure 3). For Posterior Cruciate Ligament tears (Figure 4), ultrasound was consistent with MRI in 50 (83.3%) out of 60 patients having a sensitivity, specificity and accuracy of 77.7%, 84.3% and 83.3% respectively. The diagnostic accuracy of our study vis-a-vis PCL tears was comparable to previous studies conducted by Wang et al.15 (Sensitivity 83.3%, specificity 87.0% and an accuracy of 85.7%) and Bhanupriya et al.16 (Sensitivity 75%, specificity 93% and accuracy 92%). The overall diagnostic accuracy of USG in cruciate ligament injury can be regarded as acceptable and in resource constrained regions like ours, USG together with clinical examination can help guide MRI examinations. Also the injuries which remained elusive on USG were mostly grade 1 and 2 injuries which are otherwise also managed conservatively.

The menisci are important components of knee joint function. Tears of menisci can be assessed on HRUSG and appears in the form of hypoechoic area within the meniscus substance. USG in our study helped in the diagnosis of medial meniscal tears with a sensitivity, specificity and accuracy of 83.3%, 91.67% and 88.3% respectively. Lateral meniscal tears on the other hand had a sensitivity, specificity and accuracy of 55.5%, 88.23% and 88.33% respectively. The results match those described by Monem et al.,14 Bhanupriya et al.16 and Attya.17 Bhanupriya et al.16 demonstrated sensitivity, specificity and accuracy of 83.8%, 89.4% and 86% for medial meniscal tears and 40%, 91% and 78.3% for lateral meniscal tears. The diagnostic accuracy for lateral and medial meniscal tear assessment by USG in the study by Monem et al.14 was 83% and 76% while that of Attya.17 was 88% and 73% respectively. Majority of the meniscal tears detected by USG in our study were high grade tears on MRI and all located in peripheral red zone or red-white zone of the meniscus. The other associated finding we observed were para-meniscal cysts seen in 10(30%) of our patients with meniscal tear (n=33). The disadvantages were lack of classification of meniscal tears and inability to identify displaced meniscal fragments as well as variants like discoid meniscus, which can be a predisposing factor for tears. The classification of meniscal tears was well established on the MRI with 17(52%) horizontal type, 10 (30%) radial tears, 4(12%) bucket handle type and 2(6%) flap type.
The predominant medial meniscal tears is probably due to more sports related injuries in our study especially the twist injuries owing to the bad quality grounds in our part of the world. The role of USG in meniscal tears can be termed as an initial imaging modality owing to its easy availability and ready use in any environment. Also meniscal tears detected on USG tend to be major tears in nature and hence arthroscopy can be directly advised to confirm the diagnosis and therapeutic intervention in the same go. However in USG negative cases with strong suspicion of meniscal tear, a MRI should be the answer.

The collateral ligaments are well visualized on USG owing to their superficial location and are lateral stabilizers of the knee joint (Figure 1). In our study, the sensitivity, specificity and accuracy of ultrasound for detection of MCL injury was 78.9%, 100% and 93.3% and for LCL injury was 70%, 100% and 95% respectively. Our results are corroborated by the study done by Amandeep et al. who observed a sensitivity, specificity and accuracy of 84.6%, 100% and 96.6% for MCL injury and 84.6%, 97.8% and 95% for LCL injury. Bhanupriya et al. recorded diagnostic accuracies of 96% and 94% for MCL and LCL respectively. The high specificity and diagnostic accuracy makes USG a potent imaging modality as far as collateral ligament injury is concerned (Figure 5). The increased number of MCL tears (n=15) in comparison to LCL (n=7) again goes with increased sports related injuries in our study group as a part of the unhappy triad (medial meniscus tear + medial collateral ligament tear + ACL tear). Therefore a MCL injury on USG should prompt the radiologist to look for other injuries and thereby guide management.

The limitations of the study included the lesser number of patients probably owing to the current COVID-19 pandemic. A larger study is warranted to obtain further statistical correlations. Arthroscopy was not done in all patients and hence confirmation of MRI and USG findings were not possible. However, considering high diagnostic accuracy of MRI, role of USG can be assessed based on MRI findings as standard.

Conclusions

USG is an effective screening modality for menisco-ligamentous injuries of the knee. Collateral ligament injuries can be completely assessed by USG. Major meniscal and cruciate ligamentous tears can be diagnosed on USG, however grades 1 and 2 injuries, deep injuries and classification is not possible. Indirect signs like haemarthrosis, paramenal cysts and muscle/tendon tears together with clinical suspicion can serve as guides for further imaging in the form of MRI. A wide availability, lower cost and fair reliability makes USG a modality of first choice for evaluation of knee injuries in resource constrained countries with MRI being reserved for patients with suspicious USG results.
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Figure 5. 22 year old male with injury to right knee. a) Longitudinal USG image showing mildly bulky hypochoic MCL (yellow arrow) with no frank disruption of fibers suggestive of MCL sprain; b) PD coronal image depicts hyperintense signal in the bulky MCL with maintained fiber continuity (cursor). Contusions involving the medial femoral and tibial condyles are also noted.

