Presbyphagia and dysphagia in old age
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Abstract

Although presbyphagia is a clinically relevant problem among elderly population, possibly leading to dysphagia in old age, it remains a still underestimated health condition. The present review analyzes swallowing related anatomical and functional changes during aging, both in healthy people and in those affected by dysphagia. First of all, dysphagia in old people must not be confused with presbyphagia. To distinguish these two different conditions, a correct definition of both should be considered. Subsequently, a comprehensive evaluation including instrumental analysis should be carried out. The aim of this narrative review is to analyze the current knowledge of this clinical condition and to provide the state of art to clinicians. A systematic PubMed research on dysphagia in the elderly was conducted and most relevant and most recent references were manually screened and selected. The aim of a correct diagnosis is to enable the choice of a correct intervention in order to prevent and treat complications of dysphagia, such as ab ingestis pneumonia and malnutrition. Moreover, the assessment, diagnosis and therapy of dysphagia/presbyphagia should include the intervention of different specialists.

Changes in swallowing in old age

Aging is associated with multifactorial changes of swallowing physiology for which the term presbyphagia has been coined. Doty and Bosma were among the first to note swallowing changes in old age when they studied electromyographic responses during deglutition in young and old patients. They proposed that swallowing, like locomotion, was subject to different levels of arousal and pharyngeal stimulation, which diminishes as the person ages. Overall, few clinically significant abnormalities arise during the oral phase, and feeding performance does not significantly worsen with age in otherwise healthy patients. These are the result of generalized age-related changes in skeletal muscle strength, namely, sarcopenia: increased connective tissue within the body of the tongue restricts bolus control, requiring multiple tongue movements that hold the bolus more posteriorly and allow the bolus to enter the vallecula prematurely. These changes lead to a diminished lingual pressure reserve necessary to drive pharyngeal swallowing. Among healthy community-dwelling older adults, a positive correlation between posterior tongue strength (swallowing strength) and hand grip strength (muscle strength) was observed. Although feeding performance does not seem to be significantly affected by changes in oromotor skills, oral-phase problems are common because difficulty ingesting, controlling, or delivering the bolus relative to swallowing initiation is noted in 63% of healthy older adults. Like other functions in old age, we may call it homeostasis in swallowing. Particularly, pharyngeal-phase abnormalities reduce the swallowing reserve, a measure of strength and coordination in excess of that needed to prevent aspiration. Manometric studies have demonstrated that the amplitude of pharyngeal pressures, duration of pressures, and rate of propagation are preserved in older patients. Despite the preservation of muscular activity, pharyngeal swallowing is delayed in healthy older adults relative to younger persons and they frequently require multiple swallows to effectively clear a bolus from the pharynx. For these reasons, older adults are three times more likely to have inspiration rather than expiration after swallowing and have more laryngeal penetration as evidenced by coughing and polyphasic laryngeal movements. Coupled with deficits in pharyngolaryngeal sensory discrimination that occur with age, this reduction in pharyngeal reserve may lead to silent aspiration in the otherwise healthy person, as demonstrated by Smith et al. in patients older than 90 years of age. There are contradictory studies regarding normal changes in upper esophageal sphincter (UES) function as we age. Although Ekberg and Feinberg reported defective opening of the cricopharyngeus muscle in 39% of elderly patients, subsequent manometric studies have demonstrated either no change or decreased resting tone in the UES of elderly patients. Delayed egress of material from the pharynx may still occur, despite normal cricopharyngeus muscle tone, as a result of increased connective tissue in the UES and decreased cross-sectional area of the esophageal inlet.

In many studies, age is the single variable significantly associated with risk for
Dysphagia

Dysphagia is a subjective sensation of difficulty or abnormality of swallowing. Six to ten million Americans currently report some degree of swallowing difficulty. Its prevalence in the general population ranges from 2% to 16%, values that can be higher than 40% in hospitalized patients. Dysphagia is currently still associated with decreased quality of life, aspiration pneumonia, dehydration, malnutrition and social isolation. In hospital settings, dysphagia is also associated with longer length of hospital stay, higher costs, and higher mortality risk. Dysphagia is common among older people and it is associated with malnutrition, sarcopenia, dehydration, aspiration pneumonia, choking, and reduced ability to perform activities of daily living (ADL). Several factors explain the occurrence of poor muscle strength in older adults and how they may create a vicious cycle resulting in malnutrition. Reduced physical activity and functional decline, commonly observed in older adults, contribute to muscle atrophy and poor muscle strength. In addition, malnutrition promote body weight loss, particularly muscle mass loss and performance, including the deglutitive muscles, which consequently increasing swallowing problems and hence malnutrition risk. The prevalence of dysphagia in community-dwelling older people (>60 years) ranged from 5% to 72% according to one systematic review, whereas another review reported that frequency of dysphagia in older people receiving long-term care ranged from 7% to 40%. Dysphagia is associated with malnutrition and reduced ADL in older persons receiving long-term care. Pneumonia, mainly aspiration pneumonia, is a common cause of death among older people.

There are some different definitions of dysphagia based on both anatomical and functional problems. A traditional classification divided dysphagia in oropharyngeal dysphagia, characterized by difficulty initiating a swallow, and esophageal dysphagia, characterized by difficulty swallowing several seconds after initiating a swallow and a sensation of food getting stuck. Sarcopenic dysphagia is characterized by a decrease in the mass and loss of function in both whole body and swallowing muscles and is common in older people with sarcopenia and aspiration pneumonia.

Diagnosis

Despite a greater understanding of swallowing physiology and advances in dysphagia evaluation, disorders of swallowing and feeding remain underappreciated by both the general public and physicians. Dysphagia evaluation, disorders of swallowing and feeding remain underappreciated by both the general public and physicians. Depression, cognitive dysfunction, and behavioral changes may delay the recognition of dysphagia in older adult patients by their providers. In addition, swallowing disorders are often insidious in their onset and may not manifest clinically for years to decades. Most abnormalities frequently lie clinically silent because the patient effectively compensates by changing diet consistency and feeding duration. In clinical practices we need simple, inexpensive, secure and fast tests to diagnose dysphagia. Evaluation of swallowing disorders in elderly patients begins with a comprehensive history and physical examination: careful questioning regarding eating habits, duration of feeding, diet, frequency of meals, and weight changes is essential. Clinical guidelines recommend early identification of dysphagia risk and, in this sense, the instrument for its screening represents a practical alternative of low cost that enable to identify early cases in which a detailed evaluation is required. Eating Assessment Tool (EAT-10) is a screening tool developed in the United States in 2008 using information collected from 482 patients. The EAT-10 is considered a valid and solid self-assessment tool to measure dysphagia risk and identifies individuals that need early multidisciplinary intervention (Figure 1). The instrument has ten simple questions and provides information of functionality,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Circle the appropriate response</th>
<th>0 = No problem 4 = Severe problem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. My swallowing problem has caused me to lose weight.</td>
<td>0 1 2 3 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. My swallowing problem interferes with my ability to go out for meals.</td>
<td>0 1 2 3 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Swallowing liquids takes extra effort.</td>
<td>0 1 2 3 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Swallowing solids takes extra effort.</td>
<td>0 1 2 3 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Swallowing pills takes extra effort.</td>
<td>0 1 2 3 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Swallowing is painful.</td>
<td>0 1 2 3 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. The pleasure of eating is affected by my swallowing.</td>
<td>0 1 2 3 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. When I swallow food sticks in my throat.</td>
<td>0 1 2 3 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. I cough when I eat.</td>
<td>0 1 2 3 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Swallowing is stressful.</td>
<td>0 1 2 3 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total EAT-10:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. EAT-10. Adapted from: Belafsky et al., 2008.

Table 1. Cerebral areas involved in swallowing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bilateral cortex</th>
<th>Maintains facial tone and prevents spillage of oral content, modulate patterned responses to govern mastication and bolus control during the oral phase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Precordinal and inferior frontal gyrus</td>
<td>Involved in facial, tongue, and masticatory coordination, pharyngeal phase activities such as hyoid elevation and vocal fold closure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regions adjacent to the Sylvian fissure and lateral precentral cortex</td>
<td>Evoke swallowing responses associated with orofacial movements and salivation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
emotional impact and physical symptoms that a swallowing problem can bring to an individual’s life.\textsuperscript{41} Deglutition challenge at the bedside is actually debated, studies have demonstrated that bedside swallowing assessment fails to identify 33\% to 50\% of patients with significant aspiration on videofluoroscopy.\textsuperscript{42-44} Numerous noninvasive procedures, including respiratory pattern monitoring, pulse oximetry, cough reflex, ultrasonography, and acoustic monitoring, have been developed to assist the physician in identifying patients with swallowing incompetence.\textsuperscript{43} Whereas dysphagia has been associated with aspiration following acute stroke,\textsuperscript{46} wet vocal quality alone failed to demonstrate significant association with aspiration on videofluoroscopy.\textsuperscript{45} Therefore, the first step in the systematic evaluation of dysphagia is a clinical swallowing evaluation. Patients that show any sign of dysphagia are referred for instrumental assessment if their condition allows it and if there is potential for change in the clinical management of the patient.\textsuperscript{47} The simplest evaluation of upper airway anatomy is barium swallow. During this study the patient swallows a suspension of barium with concurrent radiography of the neck and thorax. By varying the consistency of the barium suspension, one can evaluate swallowing competence and identify aspiration through laryngeal penetration of contrast agent. The addition of air-contrast granules may be used to distend the pharyngeal and esophageal lumen, providing visualization of subtle mucosal and submucosal lesions.\textsuperscript{1} The most important modification of the original barium swallow is the addition of videofluorography. Defined as the gold standard for swallowing evaluation and identification of aspiration, the videofluorography swallowing study (VFSS) provides a dynamic view of deglutition from the oral cavity to the lower esophageal sphincter and substantially extends the diagnostic capability of the study.\textsuperscript{1} Together with the VFSS, fiberoptic endoscopic evaluation of swallowing (FEES) is today the most commonly chosen method of swallowing assessment.\textsuperscript{48} In terms of day-to-day practice, the merits of FEES are that it can be performed at the bedside, thus facilitating examination of severely motor-impaired, bedridden or uncooperative patients; follow up examinations can be performed at short notice and, if necessary, frequently, and oropharyngeal secretion management and efficacy of cleaning mechanism, such as coughing and throat clearing, can be assessed simply and directly. Despite the numerous possible applications of FEES and undisputed need for qualified dysphagia assessment in this area of expertise, this technique is rarely taught systematically.\textsuperscript{47} Main issues against this technique are that it needs trained operators and the high cost of the device. Other swallowing studies are available to address specific abnormalities noted by radiographic imaging. Abnormalities in the region of UES or lower esophageal sphincter (LES) may be studied using manometry.\textsuperscript{49} Electromyography may also be useful in delineating the functional UES and has been described in the performance of percutaneous chemical myotomy using botulinum toxin.\textsuperscript{50}

Clinical management of dysphagia

Dysphagia management is an important current and future public health issue in a society in which the average life expectancy is increasing. People with severe dysphagia who receive nil by mouth have a lower quality of life.\textsuperscript{16} The first approach in older persons is to avoid as many as possible conditions that may lead to dysphagia. The number of both prescription and nonprescription medications that have the undesirable effects on swallowing is large and spans several classes of pharmacological agents (Table 2). The most common cause of medication-induced dysphagia is xerostomia. Because saliva production is controlled by parasympathetic stimulation of muscarinic acetylcholine receptors, xerostomia results from all medications with significant anticholinergic activity. These effects are dose-dependent and may worsen with age despite stable dosing, because of age-related changes in drug metabolism and clearance.\textsuperscript{51} Common classes of drugs with anticholinergic side effects are antihistamines, tricyclic antidepressants, neuroleptics, antiemetics, atropine-containing antiarrhythmic agents and anti-Parkinson medications.\textsuperscript{52} In addition to direct cholinergic interference on saliva production, diuretics frequently worsen xerostomia indirectly through dehydration. Many medications that alter or depress central nervous system activity may cause dysphagia in older adults, because of maintaining an individual’s level of arousal is important to the muscular tone and coordination, which includes swallowing ability. Anxiolytics such as benzodiazepines and alcohol may be associated with dysphagia. Anticholinergics, phenothiazine-based antiemetics, anticonvulsants, antipsychotics, opiates, and lithium also impair cognitive function and level of alertness. Treatment of schizophrenia in the geriatric population presents additional difficulties with swallowing dysfunction. In addition to variable anticholinergic and central nervous system depressant activity, neuroleptic medications may cause extrapyramidal symptoms manifesting as hyperfunctional involuntary movements of the oral or pharyngeal musculature.\textsuperscript{53} Rigidity and spasm

Table 2. Drugs associated with dysphagia in old people.

| Medication altering cognitive function/alertness | • Antiepileptics | • Antianxiety | • Antihypertensives, especially centrally acting | • Antihistamines | • Alcohol |
| Medications producing xerostomia | • Anticholinergics (e.g., sedating antihistamines, medication used for Parkinson’s Disease) | • Antipsychotics | • Antidepressants | • Opiates | • Retinoids |
| Medications associated with esophagitis | • Antibiotics | • Steroids | • Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs | • Biphosphonates | • Other (e.g., warfarin, diazepam, phenobarbital) |
of the pharyngeal musculature may also result because these neuroleptics block dopamine receptors and may induce a drug-induced Parkinsonism in 12% to 45% of patients.44 Such side effects are more common in older adults45 and may lead to aspiration through bolus misdirection during oral and pharyngeal transport. Therefore, also in dysphagia, wise deprescription is often the right solution.46,57

Poor oral hygiene is a risk factor for pneumonia, and aspiration of saliva, whether or not it is combined with food or fluid, can increase the likelihood of infection. Therefore, patients should be encouraged to perform oral hygiene several times a day and undergo periodic dental examinations. Furthermore, products to relieve oral dryness, as well as alcohol free mouth care products, can be recommended. Treatment for senescent dysphagia is usually compensatory, rehabilitative, or a combination of the two approaches. Compensatory interventions avoid or reduce the effects of impaired structures or neuropathology and resultant disordered physiology and biomechanics on bolus flow. Rehabilitative interventions have the capacity to directly improve dysphagia at the biological level.58 Postural adjustments are relatively simple to teach to a patient, require little effort to employ, and can eliminate misdirection of bolus flow through biomechanical adjustment. A general postural rule for facilitating safe swallowing is to eat in an upright posture (90° seated) so that the vertical phases (pharyngeal) of the oropharyngeal swallow as well as esophageal motility capitalize on gravitational forces (Figure 2). Upright posture also can assist in precluding early spillage of food or liquid from horizontal oral phase into the regurgitation. A less obvious postural adjustment is useful for patients with hemiparesis. For this group of patients, a common strategy is a head turn toward the hemiparetic side, effectively closing off that side to bolus entry and facilitating bolus transit through the non-paretic pharyngeal channel. If the pathophysiologic condition is the uncoupling of the oral from the pharyngeal phase of the swallow indicated by a delay in onset of airway protection, a simple chin tuck (45°) reduces the speed of bolus passage, thereby giving the neural system the time it needs to initiate the pharyngeal and airway protection events prior to bolus entry. Eating an adequate amount of food becomes a challenge not only because of the increased time required to do so but also because fatigue frequently becomes an issue. To promote a safe, efficient swallow in most individuals with swallowing and chewing difficulties, some recommendations are useful: eat slowly with intent to implement control of bolus flow and allow enough time for a meal, do not eat or drink when rushed or tired, take small amounts of food or liquid into the mouth (use a teaspoon rather than a tablespoon), concentrate on swallowing only (eliminate distractions like television), avoid mixing food and liquid in the same mouthful (single textures are easier to swallow than multiple textures), avoid powders and dry foods in order to avoid small particles from entering the airway. The most common compensatory intervention is diet modification, a totally passive environmental adaptation. Withholding thick liquids such as water, tea, or coffee, which are most easily aspirated by older adults, and restricting liquid intake to thickened liquids is almost routine in nursing homes in an attempt to minimize or eliminate thin-liquid aspiration, presumably the precedent to the long-term related outcome, which is pneumonia. Increasing the viscosity of liquids using thickener additives decreases the rate of flow allowing patients more time to initiate airway protection and help to prevent or decrease the risk of aspiration. Despite the relevant impact these seemingly unappealing practices may have on the patient’s quality of life, they have been commonly implemented in the absence of efficacy data. The American Dietetics Association (ADA) proposes to standardize dysphagia diets by modifications in the texture of solids foods and/or increased viscosity of liquids49 (Table 3). The ADA divided the texture of the food into 4 levels. Level 1 or the pureed diet includes cohesive foods that do not require bolus formation, controlled manipulation or chewing; it is indicated for individuals who have moderate to severe dysphagia and reduced ability to protect their airway. Level 2 or the mechanically altered diet includes foods that are moist, soft-textured, and easily formed into a bolus; this diet is a transition from the pureed textures to more solid textures and chewing ability is required. Level 3 or dysphagia advanced includes moist foods of nearly regular textures with the exception of hard and sticky foods; this diet is a transition to a regular diet and is appropriate for individuals with mild oral and/or pharyngeal phase dysphagia.60 Small frequent meals are often used for individuals with dysphagia, although, recent data suggests five daily feedings does not improve energy intake when compared with three feedings.61 In the end, the goal is to maintain an adequate nutrition and hydration, and the diet should be individualized, even with the help of the nutritionist. A better nutritional status correlated independently with an improved swallowing function. Maintaining an adequate nutritional status in patient at an acute hospital was reported to be associated with

Figure 2. Correct position during the meal and positions to avoid in order to prevent food penetration and aspiration.
better outcomes for dysphagia rehabilitation.6,23 Rehabilative exercises are, by nature, more active and rigorous than alternative interventions for dysphagia. Research on the benefits of lingual resistance exercise suggests that strength-building exercises for the tongue increase lingual muscle strength and mass and improve the timing of the swallowing components in healthy older adults, with implications for greater gains and carryover into swallowing-related outcomes in elderly dysphagic patients.9,64 Many studies describe benefits with isotonic and isometric resistance neck6,66 and tongue67 exercises, involving muscles that participate to the deglutition, such as mylohyoid and geniohyoid muscle groups, digastric muscle, tongue and the whole oropharyngeal musculature. Such findings suggest that older, dysphagic individuals are able to benefit from rehabilitative exercises focused on bulbar-innervated head and neck musculature. Dedicated and trained professional people, logopedists, should run these exercises in order to have the maximum benefit.

### Tube feeding

Oropharyngeal dysphagia is potentially life threatening. In older population, crucial decisions often must be taken that influence the patient’s safety, health and quality of life. Among these perplexing issues is the question of continuing oral intake or providing non-oral enteral nutrition. Percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy (PEG) tubes were first introduced into practice in 1980 with the purpose of providing enteral nutrition in children and young adults.6 They are currently the preferred method of delivering long-term nutrition when oral intake is deemed inadequate; PEG tube placement rates have risen steadily over the last twenty years from 15,000 in 1989 to 121,000 in 1995 and to more than 216,000 in the year 2000.6,70 While PEG tube placement is a relatively easy procedure with low procedure-related mortality (approximately 1% to 2%),71,72 it is not without risks. Reviewing the literature provides a list of adverse events related to this procedure that can include local complications (such as wound infection, bleeding at the insertion site, leakage around the site, tube occlusion, erosion of the bumper into the abdominal wall, abdominal wall abscess, necrotizing fasciitis, colocutaneous fistula), gastrointestinal complications (ileus, diarrhea, nausea, vomiting, increased reflux), and other complications such as aspiration pneumonia, metabolic or electrolyte disturbance with refeeding, need for restraint use, loss of pleasure of eating, and loss of the social interaction with feeding.6,69,71,73,74 Among older adults requiring tube feeding, the most well studied subgroup are patients with dementia. Approximately 30% of all PEG tubes are placed in patients with dementia and as many as 10% of institutionalized older adults are being tube fed.75 Indeed, in the main studies evaluating survival in patients affected by dementia with or without PEG, there is no significant difference between the two groups.75 Furthermore, there are no evidences that it improves the quality of life.76

### Conclusions

In conclusion, dysphagia is often an underestimated problem, expanding together with the aging of the population, and which is often diagnosed late, when the consequences can already be irreparable and fatal. The anatomical distinction between oropharyngeal and esophageal dysphagia, which can be useful from a surgical point of view, does not reflect the alterations that occur at the level of all stages of swallowing in neurogenic or functional dysphagia, in contrast with mechanical dysphagia, which often has reversible causes and can occur in younger patients. The strategies to be implemented cannot disregard a collegial management of the patients with dysphagia, where several health figures and different specialists collaborate, starting from primary care because the intervention is more effective the earlier the problem is diagnosed.
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