Syncope and sudden death from the emergency physician’s perspective: is there room for new biomarkers?
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Abstract

Syncope is a transient loss of consciousness due to temporary global cerebral hypoperfusion characterized by rapid onset, short duration, and spontaneous complete recovery. Syncope represents 1-2% of emergency department (ED) visits and is coupled with a high risk for mortality, prolonged hospital admission, and immediate false diagnosis. Many patients who present to the ED with specific symptoms are mainly hospitalized because of diagnostic uncertainty. It is always very important to immediately distinguish syncope of cardiac and non-cardiac origins. Cardiac syncope has higher risk for mortality especially for sudden cardiac death, while non-cardiac one shows risk of repeated events of syncope with poor quality of life. Sudden cardiac death is defined as rapid and unexpected natural death due to cardiac etiology. Researchers from the GREAT Network hypothesized to evaluate some novel biomarkers in order to test acute cardiac condition that can suggest the presence of heart structural diseases, heart failure, and electrical disorders. The primary objective of this study is to test the diagnostic performance from patient history, clinical judgment, and novel biomarkers in the diagnosis of cardiac syncope in patients admitted to the ED. The trial is designed as a prospective international multicenter observational study accounting for 730 patients aged over 40 admitted to the ED with syncope within the last 12 h.

A multimarker approach combining markers of different origin and mode of relapse, should add diagnostic information to correctly identify the cardiac conditions and to therefore be pertinent in the early diagnosis of cardiac syncope and in the prediction of cardiac events including sudden death. Future data should be needed to confirm the hypothesis presented here.

Syncope

Syncope is a temporary loss of consciousness (T-LOC) due to transitory global cerebral hypoperfusion. It is characterized by rapid onset, short duration, and spontaneous complete recovery.1

The origin of syncope is very important and within many causes we can group the causes in: cardiac and non-cardiac origins.1,2 Cardiac syncope, due to electrical disorders and structural heart diseases,1,3 has a higher risk of mortality especially for sudden death. Syncope is very frequent in the emergency departments (ED) and it represents 1-2% of ED visits.4 Considering the wide variety of possible differential diagnosis and prognosis, and the fact that the reported falls are often not documented, many patients who arrive at the ED with such symptoms are hospitalized.

The following epidemiological data come out from literature: the incidence of syncope in the ED varies between 1.1-5% and 13-83% of the admitted patients.5 We know that 30% of the adult population will have at least one episode of syncope during their life and 30% of them are hospitalized since no clear causes are found. In the ED the annual cost for syncope-related hospitalization is estimated to be 2.4 billion, with a mean cost of $5400 per hospitalization.6 The ED’s evaluation of patients with syncope is problematic because patients are often asymptomatic. It is very important to identify patients with cardiac syncope early because they could have a potentially more serious outcome than patients with non-cardiac syncope.

The use of laboratory biomarkers has been proposed for the stratification of the risks in patients with syncope. Tropinin is proposed as very reliable in syncope differential diagnosis and prognosis.7 N-terminal-pro brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) and brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) are potential biomarkers proposed in differential diagnosis of syncope. It has been showed that NT-proBNP increases in patients with cardiac syncope compared to patients with non-cardiac syncope.8 Some data showed that BNP is a good marker in risk stratification of cardiac syncope in ED.9,10 An accurate diagnosis for cardiac causes of syncope is essential to reduce the risks of sudden cardiac death or the re-occurrence of syncope.12 Emergency physicians need new helpful strategies in finding the correct origin of syncope. For this reason researchers hypothesized some novel biomarkers to test acute cardiac condition and able to distinguish: heart structural diseases [as myocardial ischemia tested by high sensitive troponin (hs-cTn)], heart failure [tested by mid regional (MR) natriuretic peptide type A (Pro-ANP) and Proadrenomedullin (ProADM)], and electrical disorders [as cardiac arrhythmias tested Pro ANP and Proendothelin type 1 (Pro-ET1)]. Structural diseases and electrical disorders can be the causes of cardiac syncope. It has been showed in the literature that high sensitive troponin increases in acute myocardial infarction and it is a good marker of diagnosis.13 Recently high sensitive troponin has been hypothesized to be a good marker in patients with syncope.14 Several studies have demonstrated that MR Pro ANP increases in patients with cardiac arrhythmias and in patients with heart failure.15-16 It has been shown that Pro ADM, a potent vasodilator, increases alone and together with NT pro BNP, in acute myocardial infarction.17-18 It has also been showed that copeptin is a better marker than NT pro BNP19,20 in diagnosis and even in
Sudden death

Sudden cardiac death is defined as sudden and unexpected natural death due to cardiac etiology that occurs instantly and apparently in the absence of symptoms, or within one hour from the onset of acute symptoms, or a rapid deterioration of the clinical conditions in individuals with no known potentially fatal diseases, or in individuals with pre-existing chronic heart disease, in which death occurs suddenly and unexpectedly with respect to both time and way. The evaluation of epidemiological data about sudden cardiac death is difficult because of the different incident among populations with low or high cardiovascular risk, and among different geographical areas. In addition, we have to consider that statistical data are not unique. There are two peaks of maximum incidence: between 0 and 6 months and between 45 and 75 years. Sudden death occurs more frequently in men than in women: the average ratio is 3:1 (7:1 between 55 and 65 years and 2:1 between 64 and 75 years). Sudden death is more frequent due to ventricular fibrillation (75-80%). In the Framingham Heart Study, the 5-year cumulative incidence of sudden cardiac death was approximately 7%. Ventricular tachyarrhythmias are fatal events that usually occur during the chronic phase of the disease. The prevention of sudden cardiac death is largely a problem of primary care because less than 5% of people who experience sudden cardiac death have a history of previous episodes of ventricular tachycardia or ventricular fibrillation with syncope. So sudden death can be avoided if we detected early patients with syncope due to cardiac origins for example arrhythrias.

Conclusions

When evaluating patients with syncope, ED physicians must promptly distinguish those with high or low risk for acute events and admit only them at high risk, while patients with medium risk should be admitted to short observation unit. We can hypothesize that in patients with syncope some novel biomarkers might be clinically useful in quickly identify patients at high risk of death. A multimarkers approach, combining markers of different origin and mode of relapse, should add diagnostic information to correctly identify the cardiac conditions and therefore to be pertinent in the early diagnosis of cardiac syncope and in the prediction of cardiac events.
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