Oxygen therapy practices in the acutely ill medical patients: A social media-based nationwide study of clinicians’ preferences and summary of current recommendations
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Abstract

Oxygen is the most commonly used drug in emergency medicine. The aim of this study was to identify healthcare professionals’ preferences regarding oxygen therapy in common medical emergencies. An online 9-part-questionnaire was distributed through Facebook to doctors and nurses working in Greek hospitals. The questionnaire included background information of the respondents and addressed individual preferences regarding best oxygenation parameter and oxygen targets in specific acute settings. We received 678 responses and we included 663 in our analysis. We found significant differences between doctors’ and nurses’ attitudes towards oxygenation targets in ARDS, sepsis, acute coronary syndrome, and post cardiac-arrest patients. Nurses preferred a more conservative oxygen strategy compared to doctors. Furthermore, nurses favor SaO2 as the best oxygenation parameter, while doctors prefer PaO2. In our survey, the type of hospital and department of the respondents did not affect the preferred oxygen strategy. Social media-based survey research is feasible and effective. In this single country study, doctors showed a tendency to liberally administer oxygen in acutely ill medical patients. On the other hand, Greek nurses preferred a more conservative approach.

Introduction

Oxygen therapy represents a central focus of emergency care. Nevertheless, the debate among healthcare professionals about the optimal targets of oxygenation is constant. Supplemental oxygen is universally administered to acutely ill patients to treat life-threatening hypoxia, but it is now well documented that it may impose potential harmful effects. These include direct cellular damage by reactive oxygen species, pulmonary absorption atelectasis, lung inflammation/injury, and hyperoxemic vasoconstriction. Sometimes the benefits of attempting to fully reverse arterial hypoxemia may be outweighed by the risks associated with high oxygen concentrations. However, it is not known whether the primary culprit is the fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO2) itself or the systemic effects of hyperoxemia.

Although recommendations for oxygen therapy in the adult acutely ill patients do exist, the optimal disease specific targets have not been established. Arterial blood gases (ABGs) and partial pressure of oxygen (PaO2) have been historically considered the gold standard for assessment of oxygenation. Measurement of PaO2 though, requires an arterial puncture, can be challenging in some patients and it is not ideal for monitoring. In the new era, oxyhemoglobin saturation measured by pulse oximetry (SpO2 or SaO2) has become a useful adjunct for assessment of oxygen status and it is uniformly used for non-invasive monitoring at the bedside.

Currently, the liberal versus conservative approach in oxygen therapy has been a matter of controversy. Data from randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have been inconclusive. A recent meta-analysis of 25 RCTs concluded that liberal oxygen therapy increased mortality in acutely ill adults. However, these results were disputed on the basis of poor fragility index of the included studies. In this setting, clinicians’ preferences and actual clinical practice regarding oxygen therapy are of significant interest.

We conducted a national survey seeking to explore the attitudes and practices of doctors and nurses working in Greek hospitals. The goal of the study was to quantify current practice by clar-
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ifying opinions on PaO2 versus SaO2 as a preferred oxygenation parameter and preferences of oxygen therapy targets in specific acute clinical scenarios. We also reviewed the current international guidelines about oxygen treatment in these scenarios.

Material and Methods

We produced a 9-part-questionnaire in Greek regarding oxygen administration in acutely ill adults. The questionnaire consisted of two sections. The first section (Q1 to Q3) included background information of the respondents, namely role (specialized doctor, resident doctor, nurse), department (internal medicine section, surgical section/operating room, intensive care unit/coronary care unit, emergency department), and type of hospital (university, public, private). The second section (Q4 to Q9) addressed individual preferences regarding best oxygenation parameter (PaO2 or SaO2) and oxygen targets in terms of SaO2 range in specific acute settings, namely COPD exacerbation, ARDS, sepsis, acute coronary syndrome, and post-cardiac arrest.

The questionnaire was pilot-tested in ten healthcare professionals (five doctors and five nurses) to ensure understanding and correct interpretation (face validity). Two questions needed revision and were then approved by all the pilot participants.

From 29 June to 20 July 2019 the web-based questionnaire (SurveyMonkey®, Dublin, Ireland) was distributed through Facebook social media platform. The link to the survey was posted to the two largest Facebook groups for doctors and nurses working in Greece. The title of the questionnaire was “Oxygen therapy targets in the emergency department, the intensive care unit and the ward”. A brief description was posted along with the link: “The target population of the survey is doctors and nurses working in Greek hospitals and it is intended to assess individual preferences and practices regarding oxygen therapy and not knowledge of guidelines. This is an anonymous questionnaire and average completion time is 1 minute”. A reminder post was uploaded to each group 10 days after the original post. The survey was closed on 20 July 2019.

No specific ethical permissions were required for this survey. Participation was voluntary and completion was regarded as informed consent. All collected data were anonymous. The IP address of the respondents was processed by the website (SurveyMonkey®) to exclude duplicate answers. We did not have any access to the IP addresses of the respondents.

Results were analyzed using descriptive statistics. Nominal/ordinal variables are expressed in absolute and percentage value (%). Differences in oxygenation target (i.e. 88-92 vs 92-96 vs 96-100%) overall and in terms of specific clinical situations among subgroups of interest, including role, department, and type of hospital, were assessed by the non-parametric chi-squared test. Results are provided as percentages per row and column and illustrated with bar charts. Post hoc analysis indicated that our sample size had adequate power (more than 80%) to detect differences equal or more than 10% in percentage scale, corresponding to a small to moderate effect size equal to 0.2 according to Cohen’s conventions, in target oxygenation preference among different groups. Power considerations were performed with GPower 3.1.9.4. Statistical analysis was conducted with STATA package, version 11.1 (StataCorp, College Station, Texas USA). The level of statistical significance was set at p<0.05.

Results

We received 678 responses. Fifteen of them were not full (respondents skipped one or more questions) and were excluded from the study. We analyzed the responses of 663 healthcare professionals. Of them, 392 were nurses (59.13%) and 271 doctors (40.87%). Doctors were further divided in specialized doctors (149, 22.47%) and residents or doctors in training (122, 18.40%). The majority of the respondents (38.01%) work in internal medicine departments and in public hospitals (58.82%). The summary of all survey data is depicted in Figure 1.

The preferred oxygenation parameter is PaO2 for 55.05% of the respondents and SaO2 for 44.95%. However, in the subgroup of nurses 42.86% prefer PaO2 whereas 57.14% prefer SaO2. This difference was statistically significant (p<0.001). Between group comparisons of the respondents’ background with respect to the preferred SaO2 target in specific acute settings (COPD exacerbation, ARDS, sepsis, acute coronary syndrome, and post-cardiac arrest) were made. There were no differences in the answers between type of hospital subgroups (university, public, private). The department (internal medicine section, surgical section/operating room, intensive care unit/coronary care unit, emergency department) did not affect significantly the preferred oxygenation targets, with the exception of ARDS. Indeed, respondents working in the intensive care unit/coronary care unit and the emergency department were more likely to prefer SaO2 targets (p=0.036).
department preferred a more conservative approach \( (p=0.041) \) in the ARDS patient. Interestingly, when the analysis took into consideration the role of the respondents (specialized doctor, resident doctor, nurse) the preferred oxygenation targets differed significantly in all clinical scenarios. Nurses’ responses showed a preference for lower SaO₂ targets overall compared to doctors. In Figure 2 we present the comparisons by role.

**Current recommendations regarding oxygen therapy**

Oxygen is the most commonly used drug in acute medicine. Yet prior to 2008, there was no formal guidance available for the safe use of oxygen. Ambulance staff and emergency department physicians often failed to optimize oxygen therapy, rather by using a “one size fits all” approach.⁹ According to British Thoracic Society (BTS) guidelines for emergency oxygen use in adult patients, a target saturation of 94-98% is recommended for most acutely ill patients and 88-92% for those at risk of hypercapnic respiratory failure.¹⁰ In Table 1 we summarize the latest international recommendations for oxygen therapy targets in common medical emergencies such as COPD exacerbation, ARDS, acute coronary syndromes, stroke, sepsis/septic shock, post-cardiac arrest.¹¹⁻¹⁵

**Discussion**

This nationwide survey showed that nurses prefer SaO₂ as the target oxygenation parameter, while doctors prefer PaO₂. In addition, our survey demonstrated that nurses target lower oxygen saturations in acute illness, thus showing a preference for a more conservative oxygen therapy approach compared to doctors. For instance, in ARDS and sepsis, only 9.44% and 23.98% of nurses respectively, would target an SaO₂ ≥96%.

In the majority of hospital settings worldwide, nurses frequently manage oxygen therapy but they report lack of initiative to routinely escalate it without a doctor’s request or in the absence of specific medical orders.¹⁶ It seems that nurses in some settings are less likely to independently titrate oxygen to their own SaO₂ target, but more likely to independently treat a falling SaO₂.¹⁷ Furthermore there are practical issues and difficulties with changing long established behaviors in a given healthcare system. For instance, in the Greek interprofessional culture the role of the physician is more dominant in the decision-making process of patient’s care.¹⁸ These might be some possible explanations for our findings in the group of nurses. However, in a corresponding study, the majority of nurses (76%) at a district UK hospital “always” felt comfortable administering oxygen therapy while only 33% of them were aware of the consequences for patients if target SaO₂ was above the prescribed limit.¹⁹ It is therefore reasonable to suggest that the setting, local/cultural aspects and the level of training...

![Figure 2. Comparison by role.](image)

**Table 1. Emergency oxygen use guidelines in adults.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Target SaO₂</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Society</th>
<th>Level of evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COPD exacerbation</td>
<td>88-92%</td>
<td>Pending blood gas results</td>
<td>BTS</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARDS</td>
<td>≥88%</td>
<td>Evidence of harm for targets 97-100%</td>
<td>ATS/ESCM/SCCM</td>
<td>Conditional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute coronary syndromes</td>
<td>≥90%</td>
<td>Hyperoxia might increase myocardial injury</td>
<td>ESC/AHA</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>≥85%</td>
<td>Hypoxia should be avoided because it may amplify ischemic brain damage</td>
<td>ESO/EAN</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sepsis/septic shock</td>
<td>94-98%</td>
<td>Oxygen consumption is impaired in the face of adequate oxygen delivery</td>
<td>BTS</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-cardiac arrest</td>
<td>94-98%</td>
<td>Hypoxia is associated with worse outcomes</td>
<td>ILCOR</td>
<td>Very low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

of healthcare professionals can affect their oxygen preferences and practices.

Interestingly, the vast majority of doctors (74.9%) value PaO₂ as the most important parameter of oxygenation and therefore, from the physicians’ point of view, the validity of SaO₂ in the emergency setting is being challenged. As previously reported, arterial blood gas confirmation of SaO₂ is considered the standard of care by ICU doctors. 20 Physicians tend to be more aware of the several limitations of pulse oximetry, e.g. in low perfusion states. Junior doctors consider frequent reassessment of arterial blood gases an essential part of oxygen therapy monitoring in acute patients. 21, 22 Moreover, in our study the group of resident doctors demonstrated the highest preference for PaO₂. We compared PaO₂ versus SaO₂ as the preferred parameter in the evaluation of oxygenation among healthcare professionals from different departments. We did not assess the ratio of arterial oxygen partial pressure to the fraction of inspired oxygen (PF ratio) as a parameter of oxygenation. The PF ratio represents a reliable clinical indicator of hypoxaemia in the ICU setting, mainly used in the evaluation of ARDS severity as part of the Berlin definition. 23 Our survey was designed to involve healthcare professionals of different backgrounds and level of training (e.g. junior doctors). Eventually, the majority of respondents (77.22%) reported to work outside the ICU, and therefore PF ratio might not be relevant to their everyday practice.

In our study, it was apparent that healthcare professionals in Greece are now well aware of the potential harm from targeting inappropriately high oxygen saturations in patients with an acute exacerbation of COPD. 24 Indeed, 60.94% of the respondents favored an SaO₂ of 88-92% and only 3.17% preferred a target ≥96%. On the other hand, we found that acute coronary syndromes trigger a response for high oxygen saturations. The 44.80% of respondents preferred an SaO₂ target of 96-100%. This was even more prominent in the group of doctors, despite the fact that recent guidelines raise concerns about association of hyperoxia and increased myocardial injury. 25 Classic medical teaching used to favor the mnemonic MONA (morphine, oxygen, nitrates, aspirin) as the mainstay of acute coronary syndrome management in the emergency setting. Nowadays, there are concerns that this approach might be obsolete and inappropriate. 25 A similar approach, warranting high SaO₂ targets, was also evident in the current survey for patients in the early period post-cardiac arrest.

Looking at the guidelines regarding oxygen therapy in the acutely ill, the quality of evidence is low and recommendations are mainly based on observational data and consensus of experts, with the exception of patients at risk of hypercapnic respiratory failure (e.g. COPD). 26 A recent meta-analysis of 25 RCTs concluded that liberal oxygen therapy in sepsis, critical illness, stroke, trauma, myocardial infarction and cardiac arrest, defined as SaO₂ range 94-99%, increased in-hospital mortality without improving other patient-important outcomes. 5 Moreover, conservative oxygenation strategy may also be appropriate and feasible in the group of mechanically ventilated ICU patients. 27 Despite the emerging evidence favoring conservative oxygen therapy, there is a longstanding cultural norm among healthcare professionals to promptly provide supplemental oxygen to acutely ill patients, regardless of oxygen saturation values at presentation. Our study reflects this kind of liberal oxygen mentality especially among Greek physicians and calls for a change in current practice.

The widespread use and popularity of social media platforms such as Facebook, Twitter, LinkedIn among healthcare professionals offers a great opportunity for online survey research. As previously reported, these free and widely accessible websites can be used to target and recruit specific patient or healthcare professional populations. 28, 29 In the present online survey we managed to rapidly engage our target participants and efficiently collect data with minimal resources. Interestingly, nurses were more eager to participate in our survey compared to doctors, 59.13% versus 40.87% of respondents respectively.

The main limitation of this study is its local character, as it involved a large sample of doctors and nurses from a single European country. Furthermore, the population of the study was somewhat heterogeneous. The respondents came from different type of hospitals and different departments. In addition, the years of clinical practice of each participant were not taken into account.

In summary, we detected significant differences in oxygen therapy practices between doctors and nurses working in the Greek healthcare system. In the acutely ill medical patient, nurses showed preference for a more conservative oxygen strategy compared to doctors. Moreover, nurses considered SaO₂, the most important parameter of oxygenation in contrast to doctors who preferred PaO₂. To the best of our knowledge this is the first study to compare doctors’ and nurses’ attitudes towards oxygen therapy in common medical emergencies.

Conclusions

We report a national survey on healthcare professionals’ self-reported preferences for targeting oxygenation in acute illness. Doctors overall favored higher SaO₂ targets, thus a more liberal approach, despite the accumulating evidence of harm in the literature. This might have implications in clinical training and future practice. On the other hand, nurses have chosen a more conservative approach towards oxygen titration. Whether this is the effect of education and good clinical practice or a mere reflection of indecision in the absence of a specific medical order, remains unclear and warrants further evaluation. The current study confirmed again the feasibility and reliability of online survey research through social media platforms. Given the local distribution of the survey, our results need to be investigated in other healthcare systems.
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