Gene therapy in Anderson-Fabry disease. State of the art and future perspectives
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Abstract
Anderson-Fabry disease (AFD) is an X-linked lysosomal storage disorder caused by a deficiency of the lysosomal enzyme, α-galactosidase A. The inadequate enzymatic activity leads to systemic storage of glycosphingolipids, mostly globotriaosylceramide, in the lysosomes. As of now, enzyme replacement therapy is the only approved treatment for AFD. However, it does not induce a complete and lasting response in several clinical contexts. Gene-mediated enzyme replacement is an emerging approach that could overcome these limits. The single gene nature of AFD enhances the possibility to transfet and modify a small number of cells, making them capable to affect the correction of a larger number of cells. This review summarizes the history and the state of the art of gene therapy in AFD, showing potential benefits and limits.

Gene therapy in Anderson-Fabry disease
Anderson-Fabry disease (AFD) is a X-linked storage disorder caused by a deficiency of the lysosomal enzyme, α-galactosidase A (GLA) mutations lead to accumulation of several substrates involved in glycosphingolipids metabolism like Globotriaosylsphingosine (Gb3). The lyso-cosphingolipids metabolism like Gb3 and Lyso-Gb3 represent sensitive evidences of intracellular Gb3 accumulation and genetic testing with the detection of the pathological mutations. High plasma levels of Gb3 and Lyso-Gb3 represent sensitive biomarkers. The reducing of at least one third of the normal value of GLA activity is considered pathogenetic. However, lots of studies show the necessity to evaluate biological, histological and clinical alterations without a validated threshold. Low enzyme activity in plasma is often sufficient in affected males for a definitive diagnosis, whilst in women it is mandatory a genetic confirmation, because of the lyonization process. There are nearly a thousand identified mutations in the GLA gene implied in AFD, including missense, nonsense, small deletions, small insertions, splice defects and rearrangements. The wide majority are missense mutations with a single amminoacid substitution in the GLA gene. Despite this, there are a lot of mutations of unknown significance.

Cardiovascular imaging like echocardiogram and cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR) can provide important information for the correct diagnosis of cardiovascular involvement in Fabry disease. The most relevant feature on echocardiogram is the left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) with normal ejection fraction, similar to hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM). CMR can play a central role in differentiating AFD from HCM and can detect early cardiac involvement, assessing ventricular function and tissue characterization by means of late gadolinium enhancement (LGE) and T1 mapping. The diagnostic assessment for AFD is beyond the scope of this review.

Definitive diagnosis is based on dosage of plasma enzyme activity, histologic evidence of intracellular Gb3 accumulation and genetic testing with the detection of the pathological mutations. High plasma levels of Gb3 and Lyso-Gb3 represent sensitive biomarkers. The reducing of at least one third of the normal value of GLA activity is considered pathogenetic. However, lots of studies show the necessity to evaluate biological, histological and clinical alterations without a validated threshold. Low enzyme activity in plasma is often sufficient in affected males for a definitive diagnosis, whilst in women it is mandatory a genetic confirmation, because of the lyonization phenomenon. Once the diagnosis has been achieved, screening of all members of the family is recommended.

Heart involvement is one of the main complications and it emerges in adulthood, but early heart damage can be observed in young patients, like valvular disfunction, conduction abnormalities and mild LVH. Histologic appearance is typical, with a binary appearance of left ventricular endocardial border. Most of the cardiac complications emerge in adulthood, but early progressive heart damage can be observed in young patients. For this reason, ECG-Holter monitoring and a comprehensive echocardiographic and electrocardiographic evaluation is suggested during every clinical assessment.

However, the deposit of Gb3 leads to multi-organ disease. Renal impairment is often a major concern in AFD patients. The accumulation of Gb3 may occur prenatally in renal cells before any measurable abnormality in routine tests or GFR decline and albuminuria is usually the earliest pathological sign. Cerebrovascular events (stroke and transient ischemic attacks) are extremely common in adult AFD patients as they represent the second leading cause of death. The underlying mechanism is the increased release of ROS due to Gp3 accumulation with consequent inflammatory cells activation. AFD patients also suffer from neuropathic pain due to degeneration of myelinated A-delta fibers (acroparesthesias). It primarily affects feet and hands but can later progress proximally. Abdominal pain is a very common symptom in AFD patients and may mimic inflammatory bowel disease, sometimes requiring endoscopic analyses for the differential diagno-
sis. Typical and recognizable lesions are also found on the skin (angiokeratomas) and the eyes (cornea verticillata) and they can be a red flag in the diagnostic evaluation of a patient, increasing the probability of AFD.

Considering the aforementioned clinical characteristics of AFD patients, the disease should be suspected in males or females with a combination of the following clinical features: Intermittent episodes of burning pain in the extremities (acroparesthesias); cornea verticillata; abdominal pain; nausea, and/or diarrhea of unknown etiology in young adults; arrhythmias of unknown etiology, particularly in young adults; cutaneous vascular lesions (angiokeratomas); diminished perspiration (hypoa-
or anhidrosis); stroke of unknown etiology; chronic kidney disease (CKD) and/or proteinuria of unknown etiology, especially if associated with multiple renal sinus cysts discovered incidentally; LVH of unknown etiology.

AFD therapy was previously based on symptomatic drugs like analgesics, diuretics and angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors (ACE-I) and life expectancy was low, mainly influenced by cardiac involvement, with arrhythmias being the most common cause of death. The introduction of enzyme replacement therapy (ERT) in the early 2000’s has dramatically changed the outcome of AFD patients. The correct timing for ERT initiation is of mandatory importance. ERT should be considered in boys older than 8 years with classical form even if asymptomatic. Instead, AFD patients with non-classic, attenuated and late onset variants should be monitored closely and treated once symptoms appear or when there is renal biopsy evidence of disease. In fact, in classical Fabry mutations there is histological evidence of Gb3 accumulation with cellular and vascular injury in renal tissue in absence of proteinuria or other significant clinical evidences. ERT is based on the use of two recombinant GLA enzyme preparations, agalsidase alfa and agalsidase beta, produced in a cultured human cell line. They are both available in Europe. ERT leads to a not worsening or in stabilization of the disease and sometimes improves kidney and heart function, especially if it is started in an early phase. However, ERT is not defects-free. In fact, it does not achieve satisfying results when target organs are severely damaged. ERT can cause, in about 40% of all ERT-treated males, the production of neutralizing antidrug antibodies (ADAs) that limit the efficacy of the therapy. Another cause of unsatisfactory results is represented by an inadequate brain and bones drug penetration, and this is unfortunate because stroke is one of the most significant manifestations in AFD patients and represents an important cause of premature death. ERT is usually well tolerated, with no major side effects directly related to it aside from transient infusion-associated reactions (IARs). IARs are probably the result of anaphylactoid reactions (compound-mediated) and not anaphylactic (IgE-mediated type 1 hypersensitivity).

Because of ERT limits, new therapeutic strategies are being studied and tested, including stem cells therapy, chaperones therapy and gene therapy.

Pharmacological chaperones are molecules that act binding the mutant GLA enzyme, improving its stability and favoring its transfer to the lysosomes (Figure 1). Unfortunately, this therapy is very effective only in non-classical phenotypes, that are characterized by missense mutations and are thereby more sensible to this chaperoning effect. At the moment, the compound DGJ (Migalastat) is currently in Phase III clinical trial, after positive results in phase II for safety and tolerance, and the results are encouraging. Migalastat is a low molecular weight analogue of the terminal galactose residue on GL-3 that binds selectively and reversibly to the active sites of amenable mutant forms of α-galactosidase A enzyme. Once in lysosomes, migalastat dissociates from α-galactosidase A allowing the enzyme to break down GL-3. After dissociation from the enzyme, Migalastat is rapidly removed from the cell and excreted. The pharmacokinetics of migalastat are not altered to a clinically relevant extent by gender or race. The use of Migalastat has not been studied in patients with Fabry disease who have severe renal impairment, or who have hepatic impairment; however, hepatic impairment is not expected to affect the pharmacokinetics of migalastat, based on the metabolism and excretion pathways. Two randomized and multicenter phase 3 trials are in progress to prove the efficacy of Migalastat: FACETS (a placebo controlled-trial) and ATTRACT (active comparator-controlled trial). In phase 3 trials, eligible patients were required to have a migalastat-amenable GLA mutation based on the initial HEK-293 assay. Migalastat is well tolerated and mostly adverse events (AEs) are mild. Most common AEs are headache, infusion-associated reactions, nasopharyngitis, urinary tract infection and nausea. Because of its effectiveness and the possibility of oral administration, Migalastat will probably be one of the most important options for AFD treatment. The limitations of this approach are its effectiveness limited to AFD patients with missense mutations.

Figure 1. Mechanism of action of pharmacological chaperones. Pharmacological chaperones are molecules that act binding the mutant α-galactosidase A enzyme, improving its stability and favoring its transfer to the lysosomes thus increasing residual activity in lysosomes.
causing expression of misfolded proteins and the major immunogenicity and infusion-associated reactions compared to ERT. Better results are likely to be obtained by combining multiple approaches, as already demonstrated with chaperons plus ERT therapy. 

An important disease mechanism that has been recognized and identified is the pseudoxenon activation. These are instable portions of DNA that added to a single nucleotide variation could break the equilibrium between positive and negative splicing regulatory factors, modifying disease expression. The splice switching oligonucleotides (SSO) has been proposed as an alternative therapy based on the aberrant splicing mechanism. SSO acts recovering the correct splicing pattern and closing communication opportunities between splicing regulatory elements (SRE), splice-soma components and their binding sequences, restoring the expression of wild-type mRNA. This therapy has been studied in patients with C936+919G>A, a mutation that causes a premature TGA stop codon and consequently a truncated protein. Fortunately, SSO reach high concentrations in heart and kidney that are organs usually affected in patients with this mutation.

A novel approach in AFD treatment is gene therapy, with the aim of introducing a healthy copy of the GLA gene to restore normal levels of the alpha-galactosidase A enzyme. It mainly consists in gene transference that can be obtained ex-vivo and/or in vivo. In vivo strategy consists in systemic or local administration of viral vectors carrying GLA gene, while in ex vivo strategy cultures of extracted patient stem cells are transfectected using virus vectors in vitro and then reimplanted.

Vectors used for in vivo strategy are modified viruses like adenovirus or lentivirus (Figure 2). The use of this kind of therapy could lead to long term therapeutic effects, absence of adverse effects and, moreover, it appears to be more cost-effectiveness than ERT. Promising results have already been achieved in animal models, such as mice models. Several factors appear to be related to the therapeutic effect (sex of mice, type of vectors and method of administration).

Studies report a 20-35% GLA activity and Gb3 reduction in the livers of mice transfected with modified adenovirus via hepatic portal vein. No notable immune response was recorded in the transfected animals. The main disadvantage was portal vein delivery and the need of multiple injections. Another group tested a new route of administration via intramuscular injection of the AAV (adeno-associated viruses, non-enveloped single-stranded DNA viruses), reporting a complete elimination of Gb3 from body tissues. Another strategy in study is the administration of recombinant AAV driven by modified chicken beta actin promoter and CMV promoter, trying to improve the enzyme level of expression. In this context the newest strategy is characterized by AAV vector conjoint to a liver specific promoter. Two copies of a human prothrombin enhancer linked to the human serum albumin promoter (Hprt2HAS) are used. In mice subjected to this treatment, investigators found higher levels of GLA expression than other promoters. To improve transduction efficiency, pseudo-typed AAV2 and AAV2/5 vectors have been created to encode the missing lysosomal enzyme.

The use of other retroviral vectors, particularly Lentivirus, has also been investigated. Due to their capacity to infect non-dividing cells thanks to the expression of viral accessory proteins and nuclear localization signals and the excellent tissue tropism, lentivirus attracted the interest of many scientists. Human tests started in 2017 with encouraging results, and an open label phase one clinical trial (NCT02800070) is currently ongoing in Canada, while another experimental gene therapy (NCT03454893) is about to start the phase one study. They are both based on the use of a lentivirus vector, infecting the Cd34+ stem cells obtained from the patient, enabling them to express GLA. An autologous cell transplant is then performed. A small number of transduced cells can affect the correction of a larger number of non-transduced cells in vivo (cross-correction).

These studies are focusing on 18 to 50 years old male patients with AFD defined by very low or absent α-gal A activity and a classic phenotype who were on ERT prior to enrolment.

Despite the advantages they offer, virus vectors can induce inflammatory responses, possibly stimulating antibodies production and consequent decrease of efficacy.

Long term follow-up after administration of human gene therapy suggests the safety of this approach. However, the integration of the carried gene into the host genome raises the potential for malignancies due to the disruption of critical host genes at the site of integration or activation of proto-oncogenes near the integration site.

Figure 2. Lentiviral and adeno-associated viruses vector infection. Both vector systems are capable of transgene expression and endogenous gene knockdown.
Recently, mRNA-based therapies emerged as an alternative for Fabry disease as studies in Fabry mice and non-human primates were reported. The use of transcripted mRNA carries the possibility to target specific amino acid modifications, bypassing the transcriptional process.

At the same time new gene editing techniques are in development, the most interesting being the molecular scissors system known as CRISPR/Cas9 that, put into inactivated viral vectors, is then carried into the cells in order to edit their DNA. The same system could be used to create a human cell model of Fabry disease, useful as a target for testing new therapeutic strategies and medications.

Conclusions

Actually gene therapy in Fabry disease represents a promising alternative approach to enzyme replacement treatment. It consists of the introduction of a working copy of the gene encoding α-galactosidase A in the patient’s cells (fibroblasts, B lymphocytes, hepatocytes, hematopoietic cells) through lentivirus and adeno-virus, showing encouraging results in some experimental animals with an increased enzymatic activity after few months of treatment.

Other approaches like chaperones and pseudoexon activation are under investigation too.

Among viral vectors, retrovirus (particularly Lentivirus) reported the best results in studies.

In conclusion, the aim of this emerging therapy is to create a more effective therapy for Anderson Fabry disease and a better outcome for these patients. However, there are still a lot of unanswered questions concerning gene therapy such as the correct timing of first administration to prevent or stop progression of organ damage, the possible influence of epigenetic and environmental factors and the lack of large randomized controlled trials.
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