Low QRS voltage and atrial fibrillation precluding implantation of a subcutaneous implantable cardioverter-defibrillator in a patient with arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy
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Abstract

Arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy (AC) is a rare mostly hereditary disease, in which fibro-fatty tissue replaces cardiomyocytes. Typically, the first alterations of the disease can be encountered in the epicardium of the right ventricle in adolescent patients. From there, the disease usually progresses over time. Besides the development of heart failure, the clinical significance of the disease is determined by the predisposition to potentially lethal ventricular arrhythmias. Hence, a majority of patients with AC require an implantable cardioverter-defibrillator (ICD) to be protected from sudden cardiac death. A recently developed alternative to transvenous systems are subcutaneous ICDs (S-ICDs), associated with a lower risk of device-related complications such as endocarditis since no foreign material is implanted within the heart and vascular system. In this report, we describe and discuss our experience with the implantation of a S-ICD in a patient with AC, who had low QRS voltage and persistent atrial fibrillation precluding successful S-ICD implantation, as well as the challenges encountered during subsequent transvenous lead implantation.

Introduction

The term arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy (AC) describes a phenotype of progressive fibro-fatty infiltration of the heart muscle, which typically starts in the right ventricle (RV), but may also involve the left ventricle. 1 The underlying process is generally considered to be genetically determined and several causative mutations have been identified over the recent years, most of them in genes coding for proteins of the intercalated disc. 2-3 In addition, epigenetic and environmental factors have reported to contribute significantly to disease progression and severity. 4-6 For example, extensive endurance exercise may enhance adverse remodeling of the ventricular myocardium, predominantly of the RV, through increased workload of the myocardium. 5-7 The progressive cardiomyocyte loss and infiltration by fibro-fatty tissue translates into an increased risk of developing potentially lethal ventricular arrhythmias and heart failure. In fact, a large proportion of AC patients is only identified after presentation with palpitations, (pre-)syncope or aborted sudden cardiac death (SCD). Besides diagnostic work-up and medical management of heart failure, prevention of SCD is of utmost importance in these patients. In clinical practice, transvenous implantable cardioverter-defibrillators (TV-ICDs) are implanted in the majority of AC patients that are at increased risk of developing life-threatening arrhythmias. 1 However, implantation of subcutaneous ICDs (S-ICDs) may also be considered in individual cases, particularly due to the potentially lower risk of device-related complications such as endocarditis, since no foreign material is implanted within the heart or vascular system. In this report, we describe our experience in a patient with AC and persistent atrial fibrillation, in whom S-ICD implantation was unsuccessful, as well as the challenges of subsequent T-ICD implantation.

Case description

In this patient, who had been a competitive endurance athlete since adolescence, a diagnosis of definite AC according to the 2010 Task Force Criteria was made at the age of 52 years. He had no history of syncope or chest pain, but a positive family history for SCD (uncle). His chief complaint at presentation was a recently manifested exercise intolerance after his only competitive event. ECG showed atrial fibrillation, in whom S-ICD implantation was unsuccessful, as well as the challenges of subsequent T-ICD implantation.
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next-generation-sequencing did not reveal any pathogenic mutation.

**Electrical phenotype**

Upon first presentation to our clinic, the patient presented with AF (which may have been the reason for his chief complaint at presentation) and the typical ECG changes suggestive of AC described above. At rest, he had sinus bradycardia (min. 34 bpm on 48 h Holter-ECG), but responded adequately to exercise (max. 177 bpm). Exercisetesting revealed pleomorphic ventricular premature beats and non-sustained ventricular tachycardia (VT; up to 9 beats) from the inferior RV (left-bundle branch block morphology, superior axis) at maximal intensity and during the early recovery period. Repeated 48h Holter-ECG revealed at least four foci of PVC (PVC burden 4%) along with a few runs of non-sustained VT. During invasive electrophysiological study, pleomorphic non-sustained VTs, including one with left-bundle branch block morphology and superior axis were induced. Endocardial voltage mapping revealed a bipolar low voltage area/scar (cut-off <1.5 mV) with fractionated potentials in the subtricuspid region, which was well in line with the echocardiographic and MRI findings. Abnormal unipolar voltages (cut-off <5.5 mV) were identified in the subtricuspid region and in the anterior RVOT, implying a larger epicardial substrate as compared to the endocardial one. A voltage map of the right atrium confirmed severe dilatation of this chamber (450 mL), but bipolar voltages (cut-off <0.5 mV) were surprisingly normal, which well corresponds to the large P waves visible during sinus rhythm and AF on the surface ECG (Figure 1A).

**ICD selection and implantation procedure**

Based on the clinical findings and a risk for major adverse cardiac events between 1-10% per year (intermediate risk non-sustained VT, FAC of 22%) according to a recent position paper (class IIA recommendation), the decision for primary prophylactic ICD implantation was made. Because there was no documentation and no inducibility of monomorphic sustained VT requiring anti-tachycardia pacing (ATP), no pacemaker dependency (normal chronotropic competence during exercise testing), and no need for cardiac resynchronization, this relatively young patient (52 years at implantation) opted for a S-ICD.

Further arguments included the potentially reduced risk of endocarditis with a subcutaneous device, as well as a potentially lower rate of lead failures due to a more robust lead design without an inner lumen of the S-ICD lead and fewer shear forces as compared to a transvenous lead in the beating heart. Manual screening for eligibility during AF with the Boston Scientific® Programmer revealed at least one out of three ECG vectors to be suitable in all postural positions (Figure 1D, asterisk indicates an acceptable vector).

S-ICD implantation (Boston Scientific® EMBLEM) was performed under general anesthesia in our electrophysiological laboratory under standard sterile conditions. To ensure a correct positioning of the generator and the electrode, a dummy was placed on the skin surface under guidance of anatomical landmarks and the position confirmed via fluoroscopy prior to the actual intervention. The S-ICD implantation was performed following the standard operating procedure with the device placed between the serratus anterior and latissimus dorsi muscles using a 2-incision technique tunneling the electrode left parasternal from the xiphoid area towards the substernal notch using an 11F peel-away sheath. Intraoperative testing of the device during AF only revealed a single vector to be suitable for QRS detection (secondary vector). However, unexpectedly, we observed significant oversensing of atrial...

---

**Figure 1. Phenotypical findings in this patient with AC.**

A) ECG changes typical for right-dominant AC include negative T-waves in V1 to V4 as well as flattened T waves in the limb leads. The patient presented in atrial fibrillation. B) Transthoracic echocardiography demonstrated a severely dilated RV with wall motion abnormalities, along with severe dilation of the right atrium. Systolic function was reduced in both ventricles. C) MRI found late gadolinium enhancement (arrowheads) in the basal portion of the RV free wall. D) Manual screening for S-ICD implantation, including for a right parasternal position of the device, revealed at least one possible detection vector (green arrows) when the patient was lying, sitting or standing. E) Chest X-ray (a.p.) of the final position of the implanted transvenous device illustrates the position of the single-coil ICD electrode high in the right ventricular septum.
activation during atrial fibrillation by the device (red box in Figure 2A). In an attempt to test the sensing properties of the S-ICD during tachycardia, since arrhythmias in AC usually occur during exercise, isoproterenol was started. This improved the discrimination between QRS complexes and AF (Figure 2B). Next, a periprocedural device defibrillation test was performed by induction of ventricular fibrillation (VF) using a 50 Hz burst through the S-ICD. VF was correctly identified by the device (green box in Figure 2C). However, the VF episode terminated spontaneously. At this point, with the S-ICD being more sensitive after previous detection of a shockable rhythm (VF), atrial activity during AF was inappropriately oversensed again, initiating tachycardia detection, and the S-ICD started charging for shock delivery, which was manually aborted. Therefore, due to the high risk of oversensing of atrial activity during AF and misclassification as QRS complexes, due to suboptimal screening of the right parasternal position, and the risk of inappropriate shocks with the S-ICD, we decided to remove the S-ICD including the lead, and to implant a conventional single-lead single-coil transvenous ICD (Boston Scientific® Autogen). As expected, positioning the RV lead was difficult due to the extensive RV fibro-fatty substrate. Finally, a high septal RV position was achieved with acceptable parameters (Figure 1E).

Discussion

Indications for ICD Implantation in patients with AC

Although current guidelines recommend ICD implantation in AC patients after aborted SCD, hemodynamically unstable VT or VF (class I recommendation), primary prevention of SCD in AC patients with a lower risk profile is somewhat controversial. Based on the most current international consensus statement, severe dysfunction of the RV and/or LV are also considered as class I indications for ICD implantation. ICD implantation should also be considered in patients with an intermediate risk (estimated annual event rate between 1 and 10%), when at least one of the following factors is present: hemodynamically stable sustained VT or non-sustained VT, history of syncope or moderate RV and/or LV dysfunction (class IIa). Furthermore, implantation may be considered in patients with minor risk factors including male gender, younger age, certain ECG abnormalities or electrophysiological inducibility of VT/VF during electrophysiological studies (class IIb). Implantation should be avoided in asymptomatic patients without risk factors. In conclusion, the decision for ICD implantation in this patient without a history of aborted SCD, sustained VT or history of syncope was based on reduced ventricular function, the documentation of spontaneous non-sustained VT along with the inducibility of non-sustained VT upon electrophysiological investigation, and a family history for SCD (class IIa recommendation).
Arguments favoring implantation of a S-ICD in patients with AC

The general advantages of S-ICDs over traditional TV-ICDs also apply to patients with AC: morbidity is lower due to the absence of life-threatening complications such as pericardial tamponade or haemato- pneumothorax during the implantation procedure. Device-related complications over the long-term are probably higher in TV-ICDs as they are mainly lead-related. The S-ICD lead has a different structure as compared to TV-ICD leads with no central lumen resulting in a greater tensile strength and higher robustness. Additionally, the subcutaneous as opposed to intracardiac localization exposes the lead to less physical stress. Recent observational data have confirmed the low risk of lead malfunctions with only 0.8 % over 5 years (as compared to 15 % in the matched TV-ICD cohort). With regard to this, the necessity of lead extraction is probably lower with the S-ICD system, and the extraction procedure itself by far less invasive than that of transvenous leads. The complete extravascular localization makes the system less prone to systemic infection and eliminates the risk of device-related endocarditis. Indeed, the risk for device-associated infection in the pooled EFFORTLESS and IDE registries was low with 1.7%. Of note, all infections were local and no case of endovascular infection has been documented so far.

In our case, the decision to implant a subcutaneous system was mainly based on these arguments. Additionally, positioning of the ICD electrode within the dilated and dysplastic RV of AC patients may be difficult (as then observed in the subsequent TV-ICD implantation in the same patient). On the downside, the advantages of transvenous devices over S-ICDs may be required, in patients with AC, i.e. the ability of anti-tachycardia pacing (ATP) as well as anti-bradyarrhythmia pacing. In this patient, we concluded that ATP was unlikely because no spontaneous sustained VT had been documented so far, and no sustained VT could be induced during electrophysiological testing. Despite a rather low heart rate at rest, Holter ECG and stress testing documented adequate increases in heart rate upon physical exercise in this athletic patient. Therefore, we concluded that anti-bradyarrhythmia pacing was also very unlikely to be required.

Arguments against the implantation of an S-ICD in patients with AC

As illustrated by this case, progressive right and left ventricular loss of cardiomyocytes in AC results in reduced voltages of the surface QRS complexes. This may significantly hamper arrhythmia detection by the S-ICD, since its arrhythmia detection algorithm is based on the analysis of the surface QRS complexes. At the same time, the dilation of the atria enhances the risk of AF. In this patient, the right atrium was severely enlarged, but bipolar voltages were normal, which well corresponds to the large P waves visible during sinus rhythm and atrial activity during AF on the surface ECG (Figure 1A). These circumstances increased the difficulty for the device to discriminate between atrial and ventricular complexes. As illustrated by this case, misclassification of AF waves as QRS complexes can lead to an increased risk of inappropriate shocks. This electroanatomical feature of patients with AC, which can be aggravated as the disease progresses over years, may be a main argument against S-ICDs in AC.

Conclusions

Implantation of ICDs is commonly performed in patients with AC that are at an increased risk of SCD. Although implantation of a S-ICD may be a viable option due to a lower risk of endocarditis and lead malfunction in this relatively young patient population, the usefulness of S-ICDs may be limited by the electroanatomical features of this progressive disease - low voltages of the QRS complexes and increased risk of AF - predisposing these individuals to an increased risk of inappropriate shocks.
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