Relationship between lower urinary tract symptoms and inguinal hernia
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Summary
Aim: To determine the relationship between inguinal hernia (and inguinal hernia subtypes) and low urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) due to benign prostate hyperplasia (BPH), that could be more common than we think.

Method: The study was designed retrospectively and was done in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki, including 100 patients aged > 50 years that were divided into 2 groups: patients with BPH (BPH group) and patients with BPH and inguinal hernia (BPH-IH group). In addition, the BPH-IH group was subdivided according to 2 inguinal hernia subtypes: patients of BPH-IH subgroup A had direct inguinal hernia (n = 25) and those of BPH-IH subgroup B had indirect inguinal hernia (n = 25).

Results: There was no statistical relationship and difference in rates between IPSS scores in both groups (p = 0.659) and there wasn’t a significant correlation between IPSS symptom severity and type of hernia, based on chi square analysis (p = 0.104).

Conclusion: We were not able to prove our hypothesis that patients with inguinal hernia and BPH would have higher IPSS scores because of voiding dysfunction.
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INTRODUCTION
Lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) due to benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) are very common among elderly men. Conditions that occur more frequently with age such as atherosclerosis, obesity, and insulin resistance, can be important underlying etiological factors for benign prostatic enlargement (BPE) that is generally caused by the histopathological condition known as BPH. BPE, like BPH, is also commonly found among elderly men. BPE is the most common cause of LUTS in elderly men, occurring in 40% of men aged 50 years and in 90% of those aged 90-99 years (1). In urological practice, symptom scores are used to evaluate LUTS due to BPH. The most commonly used prostate symptom questionnaire is that which generates the International Prostate Symptom Score (IPSS), which classifies the severity of symptoms as mild (score: 0-7), moderate (score: 8-19), or severe (score: 20-35).

The prevalence of inguinal hernia increases with age, and inguinal hernias account for 75% of all abdominal hernias. It is difficult to determine the precise prevalence of inguinal hernias within the general community; however, they do occur more commonly in men with a lifetime risk of 27% (2). Multiple etiological factors are associated with hernia. Chronic cough, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, constipation, prostatism, pregnancy, ascites, and heavy lifting are known to cause inguinal hernia, via an increase in intra-abdominal pressure. Congenital diseases of the connective tissue, collagen synthesis defects, and a family history of hernia are all considered congenital causes. Moreover, inguinal hernia is more common in smokers than in nonsmokers. It has been reported that mechanisms underlying the collagen synthesis degradation pathways could cause inguinal hernia. Overall, although inguinal hernia is one of the most common surgically treated conditions, it remains to be fully understood, given not only the multiple factors associated with its etiology and recurrence, but also the wide range of treatment alternatives available (2, 3).

In urology practice, the coexistence of inguinal hernia and LUTS due to BPH is very common. Patients with inguinal hernia reportedly have higher IPSSs than those without inguinal hernia (4). The aim of the present study is to determine the relationship between inguinal hernia (and inguinal hernia subtypes) and LUTS, on account of BPH.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
This retrospective study was undertaken in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki, and involved 100 patients aged > 50 years who were divided into two groups—namely, patients with BPH ("the BPH group"; n = 50) and patients with BPH and inguinal hernia ("the BPH-IH group"; n = 50). In addition, the BPH-IH group was subdivided according to the two inguinal hernia subtypes: those in BPH-IH subgroup A had a direct inguinal hernia (n = 25), and those in BPH-IH subgroup B had an indirect inguinal hernia (n = 25). Diagnosis and subtyping of inguinal hernias were performed by a general surgeon. Patients with a history of inguinal hernia repair or of prostate surgery, BPH medication use, prostate cancer, urinary tract infection, or urethral stricture disease were excluded from this study.

No conflict of interest declared.
IPSS and a questionnaire used to measure the quality of life according to urinary symptoms (QU) were completed by the patients in the outpatient clinic prior to physical examination. Total prostate-specific antigen (T-PSA), urine analysis, and urine culture tests were performed. IPSS was used to assess the severity of the symptoms, and the duration of the symptoms was noted. Prostate volume (anteroposterior diameter × transverse diameter × longitudinal diameter × 0.52) was measured by supravaginal sonography using a 3.1-MHz superficial ultrasonic probe, and this was immediately followed by uroflowmetry (MMS, Holland).

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows v. 22 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). Descriptive statistics are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) for continuous variables with normal distribution, as median ± SD for continuous variables not normally distributed, and as number (n) and ratio for categorical variables. Data normally distributed were analyzed through the use of Shapiro-Wilk and Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests. Comparisons of two independent continuous variables were performed using the independent samples t-test and Mann-Whitney U test for normally distributed and not normally distributed data, respectively. The relationship between two categorical variables and two ratios was determined via the chi-square test. The level of statistical significance was set at p < 0.05.

**RESULTS**

In the total study population, the mean age was 61.45 ± 8.33 years, the mean IPSS was 15.24 ± 8.19, the mean peak flow rate was 13.40 ± 5.28 ml/sec, and the mean prostate volume was 44.30 ± 13.96 ml (Table 1).

### Table 1.
**Descriptive statistics.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>61.45 ± 8.33</td>
<td>60.5</td>
<td>45-87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPSS score</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>15.24 ± 8.19</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1-32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qmax (ml/sec)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>13.40 ± 5.28</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3-32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostate volume (ml)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>44.30 ± 13.96</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>15-75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mean age was 61.38 ± 9.19 years in the BPH-IH group, versus 61.52 ± 7.47 years in the BPH group; the difference was not significant (p = 0.934). The mean flow rate (Qmax) was 13.78 ± 4.28 ml/sec (median: 15; range: 6-20) in the BPH-IH group, versus 13.04 ± 6.12 ml/sec (median: 12; range: 3-32) in the BPH group; again, the difference was not significant (p = 0.175). The mean prostate volume in the BPH-IH group was 47.16 ± 13.57 ml (median: 45; range: 15-72), versus 41.39 ± 13.88 ml (median: 40; range: 20-75) in the BPH group; this difference was significant (p = 0.036). The mean IPSS in the BPH-IH group was 14.46 ± 8.64 (median: 13; range: 1-32), versus 16.02 ± 7.72 (median: 15.50; range: 5-30) in the BPH group; the difference was not significant (p = 0.348) (Table 2).

**Table 2.**
**Between-group comparisons.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>BPH-IH</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>61.38 ± 9.19</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>50-84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BPH</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>61.52 ± 7.47</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>45-87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPSS score</td>
<td>BPH-IH</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>14.46 ± 8.64</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1-32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BPH</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>16.02 ± 7.72</td>
<td>15.50</td>
<td>5-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qmax (ml/sec)</td>
<td>BPH-IH</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>13.78 ± 4.28</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BPH</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>13.04 ± 6.12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3-32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostate volume (ml)</td>
<td>BPH-IH</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>47.16 ± 13.57</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>15-72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BPH</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>41.39 ± 13.88</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20-75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*M statistically significant *P < 0.05.

Mild, moderate, and severe LUTS was observed in 10 (20%), 22 (44%), and 18 (36%) of the patients in the BPH group, respectively, versus 10 (20%), 26 (52%), and 14 (28%) of those in the BPH-IH group. There was no statistical relationship between, or difference in, the rates between the IPSSs of the two main groups (p = 0.699) (Table 3).

**Table 3.**
**The frequency and percentage of IPSS symptom severity, based on the chi-square test.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Mild n</th>
<th>Moderate n</th>
<th>Severe n</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BPH</td>
<td>10 (20%)</td>
<td>22 (44%)</td>
<td>18 (36%)</td>
<td>0.659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPH-IH</td>
<td>10 (20%)</td>
<td>26 (52%)</td>
<td>14 (28%)</td>
<td>0.659</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mild, moderate, and severe LUTS were noted in 8 (32%), 9 (36%), and 8 (32%) of the patients in BPH-IH subgroup A (direct inguinal hernia) and in 3 (12%), 16 (64%), and 6 (24%) of those in BPH-IH subgroup B (indirect inguinal hernia). There was no significant correlation between IPSS symptom severity and hernia type, based on chi square analysis (p = 0.104) (Table 4).

**Table 4.**
**The frequency and percent of IPSS symptom severity in the hernia subgroups, based on the chi-square test.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0-7 Mild</th>
<th>8-19 Moderate</th>
<th>20+ Severe</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct hernia</td>
<td>8 (32%)</td>
<td>9 (36%)</td>
<td>8 (32%)</td>
<td>25 (100%)</td>
<td>0.104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect hernia</td>
<td>3 (12%)</td>
<td>16 (64%)</td>
<td>6 (24%)</td>
<td>25 (100%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>11 (22%)</td>
<td>25 (50%)</td>
<td>14 (28%)</td>
<td>50 (100%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mean IPSS did not differ significantly between BPH-IH subgroup A and subgroup B (p = 0.763) (Table 5).

**Table 5.**
**IPSS score in BPH-IH subgroup A and subgroup B.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IPSS BPH-IH subgroup A</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>14.28 ± 9.64</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPH-IH subgroup B</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>14.64 ± 7.71</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Statistically significant *P < 0.05.
**Discussion**

As mentioned, the incidence of BPH increases with age, and BPH is the most common cause of LUTS in elderly men. Among BPH patients with pre-existing comorbidities, quality of life is further impaired. Among elderly men, the co-occurrence of inguinal hernia and LUTS due to BPH is very likely; given that the prevalence of both conditions increases with age.

LUTS can have a negative effect on quality of life in BPH patients. IPSS, prostate volume, detrusor resistance index, intravesical prostatic protrusion, capillary artery resistive index, intraprostatic pressure, post-void residual, uroflowmetry, bladder wall thickness, and pressure flow assessments are commonly used to evaluate patients with LUTS due to BPH (5). In the present study, these methods were used to evaluate patients with BPH, and those with and without inguinal hernia were compared. BPH and inguinal hernia are both associated with aging.

An earlier study reports that patients with inguinal hernia tend to have higher IPSSs than patients without it; the difference is thought to be due to an increase in intra-abdominal pressure in patients with obstructed voiding dysfunction, which can then lead to susceptibility to inguinal hernia formation (4). In the present study, there is no significant difference in IPSSs between the BPH and BPH-IH groups (p = 0.348) (Figure 1), or in those between the BPH-IH subgroups A and B (p = 0.104).

Uroflowmetry is commonly used to evaluate the strength of urinary flow in men with LUTS. The maximum flow rate is the best indicator among all parameters that can be analyzed via uroflowmetry. It is important in diagnosing BPH, estimating the severity of obstruction, and evaluating treatment; however, to date, only a weak correlation has been reported between the maximum flow rate and symptom scores. In the present study, there was no significant difference in uroflowmetry parameters between the BPH and BPH-IH groups (p = 0.175).

*Ludwig et al.* (6) report that 33% of patients scheduled to undergo radical prostatectomy have concomitant inguinal hernia. Additionally, another study that investigated the incidence of inguinal hernia following prostate surgery, reports that the incidence rate of inguinal hernia after radical prostatectomy, open prostatectomy, and transurethral resection of the prostate (TUR-P) was 23.9%, 18.9%, and 2%, respectively. It is also reported that inguinal hernia develops during the first two years post surgery in 91.9% of patients that have undergone radical retropubic prostatectomy, and in 83.3% of patients that have undergone open prostatectomy (7).

*Sanchez-Ortiz et al.* (8) report that patients with an IPSS > 15 before radical retropubic prostatectomy should be evaluated for additional surgical hernia repair. Irrespective of prostate size, patients with preoperative lower urinary tract dysfunction were reported to have a five-fold greater risk of undergoing an inguinal hernia repair procedure during radical prostatectomy. These two conditions are related to aging and commonly coexist. It has been reported that 11-30% of patients with LUTS who undergo a surgical procedure to repair inguinal hernia will develop urinary retention after surgery and require a urological intervention. General surgeons and urologists should be aware of this coexistence and inform patients about possible outcomes (4).

The general consensus is that these two surgeries should be performed simultaneously. *Bawa et al.* (9) report that there is no increase in duration of surgery or postoperative hospitalization among patients who undergo simultaneous inguinal hernia repair and TUR prostatectomy. In the present study, there was no significant difference in the prevalence of mild, moderate, or severe LUTS between the BPH and BPH-IH groups (p = 0.348). Additionally, when patients in the BPH-IH group were divided into subgroups A and B (direct and indirect inguinal hernia, respectively), the prevalence of mild, moderate, and severe LUTS did not differ significantly between the subgroups (p = 0.763).

**Conclusion**

In the present study, IPSS, uroflowmetry, and prostate volume were evaluated in BPH patients. Our hypothesis was that patients who have high IPSSs on account of voiding dysfunction would have a higher IPSSs in the presence of an inguinal hernia; our results, however, do not support this hypothesis. This unexpected outcome may have derived from the small study population. Further larger-scale studies are needed to more clearly discern whether high IPSSs are associated with inguinal hernia.
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