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Summary

FederAnziani Senior Italia and SIU – Italian Society of Urology – have decided to work together to draft a document focussing on Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia (BPH), and to stress the importance of adherence with pharmacological treatment in this setting, from both a scientific and a patient standpoint.

Starting from a literature search, the two associations analysed to what extent an increase in treatment adherence amongst these patients influences hospital savings and to what extent therapy persistence levels are affected by monotherapy rather than free drug combinations. These estimates were performed only on patients taking medicinal products belonging to the 5 α-reductase inhibitors (5ARI) class that, although not indispensable, are the compounds that bring the greatest benefits, especially in the elderly and for which we know that every additional 30 days of therapy reduced the likelihood of acute urinary retention (AUR) and surgery by 14% and 11% respectively*.

The results show that the use of fixed combination therapy would involve an increase in persistence due to the lower rate of patients abandoning treatment over time. Each 30 day-increment of 5ARI therapy, i.e. for an expenditure of 10.6 million euros extra per year for 5ARI medication, savings of approximately 24.3 million euros in hospital costs could be achieved.
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Introduction

Treatment non-adherence can have a strong impact on health costs and on the success of the pharmacologic treatment (1). Whereas in Italy and the rest of Europe there are currently no studies on what could be the value in terms of savings obtained by better treatment adherence, in the United States it has been estimated that it may be as much as 100 billion dollars (2). The aim of this paper is to start to overcome this lack of information by proposing, in the urological setting, an economic evaluation of treatment adherence, focussing on benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) and highlighting the positive impact that fixed combination therapy may have on a patient’s therapy persistence. The elderly consume more healthcare services than younger people and consequently require special attention in that they have special needs. These needs are quite simply the result of various factors that can include the physiological changes that take place during life, the possibility of developing several diseases, the fact that the over-65 age class is often neglected in the development of new types of medicinal product and, last but not least, the complicated management, in everyday life, of the use of medicinal products. This latter factor can be caused by polydrug use, which, unless it is appropriately managed is likely to become a potential risk factor for poor adherence with the treatments prescribed.

As a solution to the polypharmacy issue, the World Health Organisation suggests the development and implementation of fixed dose combinations (FDC), which would help reduce the number of pills taken by the individual patients and consequently improve treatment adherence (3).

A literature search performed on a sample of 75 further literature reviews revealed how treatment adherence is the most recurrent condition for a better use of medicinal products. The other measures taken to improve adherence also include simplifying the ways in which medicinal products are taken, intended as combining the various active ingredients in the same drug (FDC – Fixed Dose Combination) (4, 5).

Amongst the other cases of non-adherence, the SABA - Strategic Advisory Board on Adherence, like the WHO and AIFA (Italian Medicine Agency), identified the complexity deriving from polytherapy/appropriate therapy as an area of intervention for the simplification of treatments and therapeutic continuity (6).

It would therefore appear to be a paradigm recognised by the entire scientific community that a greater number of medicinal products taken is related to poorer treatment adherence (7), especially in elderly patients with cognitive impairment. Another study shows that patients taking one tablet a day have an optimum adherence of 80%, a percentage that drops rapidly if subjects need to take more than one drug or need to take the same drug several times a day; if we consider that this value drops to 50% for medicinal products taken 4 times a day, it is easy to postulate that 75% of all patients and 50% of chronic patients are
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unable to follow the treatment regimen prescribed (2, 8).
FederAnziani Senior Italia involved Agenzia Italiana del Farmaco (Italian Medicines Agency) (AIFA), Federation Italiana dei Medici di Medicina Generale (Italian Federation of General Practitioners) and Federfarma in drawing up a joint document on the importance of treatment adherence in today’s society: “Adoziont alla terapia: leva per la salute e la sostenibilità nel futuro” (Treatment adherence: a lever for health and sustainability in the future) (9).
This document identifies treatment adherence, especially when appropriate, as the first step towards a global management of patients by the main players in the healthcare supply chain: doctors, pharmacists, patients and institutions.
“FederAnziani is firmly convinced that we need to use all possible strategies to solve the problem of poor treatment adherence” (R. Messina, chairman of FederAnziani Senior Italia). It is not merely patient associations such as FederAnziani Senior Italia that are sensitive to these issues, other associations, such as some pharmacists’ associations, also believe that “patient management is a priority objective of pharmacy: accompanying patients throughout their treatment programme improves treatment adherence by chronic patients. Greater adherence with therapy means more health for citizens and a better use of the public and private resources available, thereby avoiding relapses and restricting the number of re-admissions” (Annarosa Racca, chair of Federfarma) or that “for some time now, treatment adherence has been the main front in improving the population’s health conditions and the sustainability of health services. Our profession has taken this pathway by implementing field trials studying how pharmacists can assist patients and general practitioners and promoting training on all levels” (Andrea Mandelli, chairman of FOFI - Federation of Italian Pharmacists Associations).
For general practitioners “generating significant growth in treatment adherence” means “increasing the efficacy of community treatment for citizens’ health” (Giacomo Milillo, national secretary of the FIMMG - Federazione Italiana dei Medici di Medicina Generale (Italian General Practitioners Federation)).
As regards treatment adherence, the Ministry of Health states that the elderly are “scared they will forget to take their medicines” (Marcella Marletta, director general of the Ministry of Health’s medical devices and pharmaceutical service).

**Materials and methods**

This paper evaluates to what extent a one-month increase in persistence in BPH can weigh in economic terms. The analysis is conducted on 5 α-reductase inhibitors (5ARIs) alone as they are the drivers of poor adherence and for the reduction in hospitalisation, in this area of urology.

To do so, the authors first attempted to perform a literature review, where it would appear that most articles agreed in highlighting the importance of fixed combinations for adherence to treatment.

Secondly, an economic evaluation of the cost of BPH patients in Italy was obtained by multiplying the condition’s prevalence rate for the various age ranges by the absolute number of male inhabitants of Italy in 2014 (most recent ISTAT data available).

The total number of patients was then multiplied by those seeking treatment (55%) (10) and, of these, those who are effectively treated (78% of those seeking treatment) (11). The number of males not on treatment was estimated by subtraction. Table 1 summarises the results of this first investigation.

Compared to the total number of people being treated, we know from the pharmaceutical market (13) that the drug prescription percentages are 65% for alpha blockers (ABs), 20.11% for 5ARIs and 14.9% for free combinations; therefore, by dividing the absolute number of patients treated per age range with these percentages, we obtain the exact number of subjects taking a given type of medicinal product. In order to understand the economic value related to hospital admissions for BPH, we calculated the hospitalisation rate without surgery of those taking 5ARI as both monotherapy (23.6 every 1,000 patients) and combination therapy (17.5 every 1,000 patients), and the hospitalisation rate with surgery (23.4 every 1,000 patients for 5ARI monotherapy and 17.3 every 1,000 patients for free combinations (14). By estimating an average cost for both surgical DRGs of € 3,126 and non-surgical diagnosis-related groups (DRG) of € 1,788 (15), we estimated the hospital cost for patients treated with 5ARI as both mono- and combination therapy (CT), as being equal to 200.9 million euros (Table 2).

By weighing the economic value of one-month’s consumption of 5ARI in Italy, by multiplying this value by the total number of those taking 5ARIs in Italy, it was possible to estimate the total cost of one month therapy with this type of products.

The main results of this analysis are provided below.

### Table 1

**BPH - Epidemiological situation – Italy 2014.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age range</th>
<th>Prevalence %</th>
<th>Males in Italy</th>
<th>Prevalence of BPH</th>
<th>Patients seeking treatment</th>
<th>Patients treated</th>
<th>Not treated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>4,534,581</td>
<td>27,207</td>
<td>14,964</td>
<td>11,672</td>
<td>3,292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>4,742,341</td>
<td>213,405</td>
<td>117,373</td>
<td>91,551</td>
<td>25,822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>3,699,097</td>
<td>739,819</td>
<td>406,901</td>
<td>317,383</td>
<td>89,518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-74</td>
<td>42.0%</td>
<td>3,067,186</td>
<td>1,288,210</td>
<td>708,515</td>
<td>552,642</td>
<td>156,873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-84</td>
<td>51.6%</td>
<td>2,008,867</td>
<td>1,036,575</td>
<td>570,116</td>
<td>444,691</td>
<td>125,426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;85</td>
<td>50.3%</td>
<td>601,001</td>
<td>302,304</td>
<td>166,267</td>
<td>129,688</td>
<td>36,579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tot</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18,653,053</td>
<td>3,607,521</td>
<td>1,984,136</td>
<td>1,547,626</td>
<td>436,510</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Authors’ processing of data provided by Thales (10), Geodemo (11), Spatafora et al. (12).
Table 2. Cost of admission per type of treatment subdivided by age range. Values expressed in euros.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age range</th>
<th>Cost of non-surgical hospitalisation x 1,000 (AUR*)</th>
<th>Cost of surgical hospitalisation x 1,000**</th>
<th>TOT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SARI</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>TOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>£ 319</td>
<td>£ 236</td>
<td>£ 555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>£ 2,500</td>
<td>£ 1,852</td>
<td>£ 4,353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>£ 8,667</td>
<td>£ 6,422</td>
<td>£ 15,089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-74</td>
<td>£ 15,092</td>
<td>£ 11,182</td>
<td>£ 26,274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-84</td>
<td>£ 12,144</td>
<td>£ 8,998</td>
<td>£ 21,142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85 and over</td>
<td>£ 3,542</td>
<td>£ 2,624</td>
<td>£ 6,166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tot</td>
<td>£ 42,264</td>
<td>£ 31,315</td>
<td>£ 73,579</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* AUR = ICD9CM 788.20 acute urinary retention; ICD9CM 599.6 urinary obstruction.
** BPH-related surgery = ICD9CM 57.0 bladder stone or blood clot drainage; ICD9CM 57.91 endoscopic incision of the neck of the bladder; ICD9CM 57.94 bladder catheterisation; ICD9CM 60.21 transurethral prostate ablation procedure; ICD9CM 60.29 transurethral prostate resection procedure; ICD9CM 60.3 adenoscopy; ICD9CM 60.4 adenectomy

Source: Author’s processing of data from Spatalina (10), Thales (11), Gesedemo (12), MS (13), ARNO 2013 (16), Nonis M. et al. (16).

Results

Literature agrees in stating that the prevalence for BPH increases with age, and consequently the number of subjects affected is on the increase due to the ageing of the population (15, 17, 18).

However, the number of subjects in whom BPH becomes symptomatic, i.e. it actually causes discomfort, is approximately half. Environmental components have been excluded from the condition's aetiology, and it is thought that a role may be played by hereditary factors, given the increased risk amongst the family members of subjects affected by the condition (19).

As is the case for all chronic illnesses, BPH treatment warrants the best results when taken constantly. The patient does not usually perceive the gradual improvements made possible by therapy as, from a subjective point of view, the disease is only considered resolved once urinary symptoms have improved. This is one of the causes, possibly the most important, of the poor persistence of treatment in BPH therapy (19, 20).

66% of patients with BPH in Italy present comorbidities and consequently are treated with a number of various drugs (21).

Lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) determine a gradual impairment in quality of life (social relationships, leisure activities and relations with partners); however, less than 50% of men with LUTS visit their doctor, in general the first consultation of men with their doctor occurs about two years before the manifestations of symptoms, the main reasons for this being: lack of knowledge about prevention (22), embarrassment when talking about symptoms, the idea that these symptoms are “normal” and the fear of surgery (10, 19).

This patient reluctance calls for a pro-active approach by the doctor in identifying patients with LUTS secondary to BPH, although doctors often tend to consider BPH more a “syndrome” than a chronic invalidating condition (23).

The European D-Impact study, which also involved Italy, showed that approximately 3 out of 4 patients with lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) who go to their general practitioners practice have an enlarged prostate. Men presenting with a greatly enlarged prostate are more likely to develop acute urinary retention (AUR) and undergo surgery than subjects with a smaller prostate (23). BPH also has a very high social and health-related impact: BPH is responsible for over 68 thousand hospital admissions per year; 35,000 of which undergo full surgery; whereas more than 32 thousand are merely admitted (24). In Italy, BPH accounts for over 320 million euros spent for pharmacological treatment and 74,834 days off work (19).

The most recent OsMed report (for 2014) indicates that the majority of patients (approximately 70%) are treated with alpha blockers that have a prevalently symptomatic action and do not affect the natural history of the underlying condition. Approximately one third of patients is treated with 5ARI, which by reducing the volume of the prostate, can affect the mechanisms underlying BPH and reduce disease progression and related complications. The greater prescription of alpha blockers is presumably due to the fact that the patient hopes to resolve the symptoms as quickly as possible (25).

According to current treatment options, approximately one fifth of symptomatic patients who go to their doctor will experience a gradual progression of symptoms and related discomfort with a consequent increased need for admission for surgery or Emergency Department/day hospital appointments for the introduction of a catheter to resolve situations of AUR (19).

In order to obtain a maximum benefit from pharmacological therapy, all the most important guidelines recommend, particularly in patients with a greater risk of disease progression, the use of a combination of an alpha blocker and a 5ARI (18, 26, 27).

To provide further support to the above, we can quote the study analysing the prescription of drugs for BPH and the corresponding hospitalisation rates for LUTS. The results showed that over the years in which the study was conducted (2004-2008) AB and 5ARI prescriptions dropped, whereas prescriptions for CT increased by 5.4%. Over the same period, both medical and surgical hospitalisation rates, per 1000 patients, related to BPH dropped, showing a greater reduction for medical DRGs than surgical ones from 4.9% in 2004 to 3.6% in 2008 and 5.5% in 2004 to 5.1% in 2008, respectively. This shows that the use of fixed combinations would appear to be more efficient in
the treatment of BPH-related complications (28). In general, BPH treatment persistence is approximately 30% per year; this is related, on one hand, as mentioned previously, to patients’ tendency to discontinue treatment and, on the other, to doctors’ general trend of not recommending continuous chronic therapy and not monitoring BPH appropriately over time (29).

In one recent study on patients with newly diagnosed BPH, it was observed that most patients suspended their BPH therapy early: about one third of patients discontinued treatment after 3 months, and 64% in one year. There are a number of reasons why patients suspend medication early: some because they felt better, some due to a lack of efficacy on symptoms, others due to the side effects (19).

It goes without saying that an early discontinuation of therapy does not make it possible to obtain and maintain all the benefits for which it was prescribed.

It is interesting to note that in the 36% of patients who took medication for more than one year, the authors suggested they had a good relationship with their doctor, thanks to which patients were well informed about the risk factors of BPH progression (increased prostate volume and high PSA levels). This may have meant that patients took their condition more seriously and took the treatment for a longer period. The various treatments available for this condition achieved different adherence levels in the different patients taking them (19).

One Italian study conducted on a sample of 1.5 million male patients with BPH analysed the effects that monotherapy and free combination therapy (concomitant taking of two tablets) have on treatment persistence. The study states that, although the alpha blocker-5ARI combination is beneficial in the treatment of BPH in terms of both control over symptoms and disease evolution, in real life the persistence of patients treated for BPH varies according to the medicinal product taken: in particular, it was seen that patients treated with free combinations abandon therapy more frequently than patients treated with monotherapy.

The same study group showed, in a previous study, that the shares of patients who continued taking treatment in the subsequent 12 months were 35%, 18% and 9% respectively for alpha blockers, 5ARIs and free combination therapy. As mentioned previously, on average 29% of patients continues therapy for at least one year. These percentages dropped in the subsequent 5 years to 15%, 8% and 3% respectively, i.e. 13% overall (30).

At equal conditions, there is an increase in adherence in the switch from extemporaneous combination (2 tablets) to monotherapy (1 tablet) in a potential 9%-26% range in the first year and 5%-12% in the fifth year (30).

The assumptions that are considered from here on show an improvement in both economic terms and treatment persistent terms that would be gained if fixed combination therapy were introduced instead of treatment with free combinations (3). It is plausible to suppose that the added value of fixed combination therapy is 2-fold: the increase in adherence obtained with the alpha blocker (35%), which acts on symptoms; the overall efficacy of 5ARIs (18% adherence).

5ARIs achieve a lower hospitalisation rate but also poorer adherence; alpha blockers have a higher level of adherence because, as said previously, they are symptomatic but also have a higher hospitalisation rate; whereas CT (free combinations) show intermediate hospitalisation rates compared to those for ABs and 5ARIs, but also low adherence levels as they bring together a number of different medicinal products and therefore the patient abandons therapy more quickly (29).

In the knowledge that every 30 extra days of 5ARI treatments reduce the probability of the onset of acute urinary retention and need for surgery by 14% and 11% respectively, thereby reducing health care costs by 15% (31), by estimating the cost of one month’s treatment with 5ARI in Italy (13), for both free combination therapy or monotherapy, the savings obtained by using therapy for this condition for 30 extra days were calculated.

30 extra days of treatment with 5ARIs cost the system 10.6 million euros. It is therefore possible to postulate that with a one-month increase in therapy, in one year it is possible to save approximately € 243.5 million. This sum is calculated using the 14% reduction applied to the cost of non-surgical hospitalisations and the 11% reduction applied to the cost of surgical hospitalisations.

**Conclusions**

Literature shows that combination therapies can improve adherence levels and therefore reduce the medical costs of relapses. However, given the lack of studies on this topic, it is extremely difficult to estimate to what extent these combinations would have a positive impact on any increase in adherence. In this study, the authors merely quantified the savings obtained with 30 days of extra treatment with 5ARIS in one year, when administered both in combination and as monotherapy.

A number of critical aspects of the management of patients with BPH were also observed: these undoubtedly included patient empowerment, a correct and updated training to healthcare professionals, intended as both urologists and general practitioners practitioners, that can contribute to the development of an appropriate pathway along which to guide patients.

The authors performed projections using data from countries whose healthcare systems have different characteristics, as well as different intrinsic characteristics of the populations analysed (habits, lifestyle, diet).

The estimates presented in this paper were calculated using the hospital expenditure for patients taking medication, a measurable estimate. However, it is possible to postulate that medical expenditure and the corresponding savings are greater due to the health costs incurred for patients not taking medication, but that in any case have to be hospitalised for complications related to their condition and that cannot therefore be included in the analyses. Furthermore, in order to simplify the analyses, the paper only considered the market shares of alpha blockers, 5ARIs and free combinations; all other types of drugs were intentionally excluded as the lion’s share of the market is occu-
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