CASE REPORT

Intraperitoneal stone migration during percutaneous nephrolithotomy
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Summary Percutaneous nephrolithotomy (PNL) is the standard care for renal stones larger than 2 cm. The procedure has some major and minor complications. Renal pelvis laceration and stone migration to the retroperitoneum is one of the rare conditions. We report the first case of intraperitoneal stone migration during PNL.
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INTRODUCTION

Percutaneous nephrolithotomy (PNL) should be the first-line treatment for large or multi-calyceal stones (1). PNL is a safe treatment option and associated with low complication rate (2).

The procedure has some major and minor complications like extravasation, renal hemorrhage, colonic injury and pleural injury (3). Clayman et al. reported extravasation during PNL at a 26% rate (4). Lee et al. reported 0.9% renal pelvis laceration, 1% retroperitoneal stone migration and 0.3% urinoma in their series (5).

They conservatively treated the migrated stones and urinomas observed in their series. Segura et al reported 0.1% retroperitoneal stone migration in their series (6).

In this study, we report an intraperitoneal stone migration case that occurred during PNL procedure. To our knowledge, after revision of the literature, stone migration to the peritoneum was not previously described.

CASE REPORT

A 38 years female patient presented with right renal colic at a different center. Urogenital system examination revealed only right costovertebral sensitivity.

The patient had previously two pyelolithotomy operations. Radiological examination showed a 3 cm right renal pelvis stone (Figure 1) and PNL was performed. During the procedure pelvic rupture occurred with stone migration into the peritoneum. The procedure was terminated with placing a re-entry catheter. At postoperative first day, the patient showed abdominal distension due to free fluid in the abdomen. Vital signs and biochemical examinations of the patient were normal. The patient was followed closely and at the end of the seventh day the urinary tract was checked with antegrade pyelography that showed no extravasation and that the collecting system was intact.

The re-entry catheter was removed and the patient was discharged and referred to our clinic. At plain radiograph there was a 2 cm stone at the level of the pelvic bone (Figure 2).

CT scan demonstrated that the stone was posterior to the bladder on the right side. We planned laparoscopic exploration but intraoperatively we found many adhesions and we had to switch to open surgery.

The stone was found in the vesicouterine pouch and extracted (Figure 3). After the operation the patient was discharged without complications at the fourth postoperative day.

Figure 1.
Plain radiograph of the renal stone before PNL operation.
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DISCUSSION

The most common complications of PNL are extravasation (7.2%), transfusion (11.2-17.5%) and fever (21-32.1%) (3).

Lacerations may occur during dilatation or manipulation with nephroscope and during lithotripsy. Fluoroscopic monitoring during dilatation and gentle manipulations may reduce the risk of collecting system injury. The inflow and outflow of the irrigation fluid must be measured. If there is an inequality that exceeds 500 ml, the procedure must be stopped and a nephrostomy must be placed (3).

Stone migration in the peritoneum is a rare condition. Especially for operated kidneys PNL can be a complicated procedure and collecting system perforation with stone migration may occur like in the presented case.
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