

## Supplementary Materials

**Table 1. Selected articles**

Author, Year	Title	Design	Objectives	Result
Zhang et al. (2022)	Evaluation of Clinical Efficacy of Continuous Care with Improved Insulin Injection Techniques on DM Patients: A Randomized Controlled Trial	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Rando mized Controlled Trial</li> <li>• The control group received regular nursing care</li> <li>• The observation group received specialized insulin injection education and care.</li> </ul>	To examine the clinical impact of consistent care involving enhanced insulin administration methods on patients with diabetes mellitus.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 96 diabetic patients (48 per group) participated in the study</li> <li>• The group receiving continuous care and optimized insulin injection techniques showed significant improvements in health, including reduced blood glucose levels and HbA1c, and reported fewer insulin-related issues and lower pain scores compared to the control group</li> </ul>
Liang et al. (2021)	Study on the effect of education for insulin injection in diabetic patients with new simulation tools	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Quasi-Experimental</li> <li>• 120 diabetes mellitus patients who required insulin therapy but had not been trained in insulin injection before</li> <li>• Interv ention group (60 patients) and a control group (60 patients)</li> <li>• The control group was trained using traditional methods</li> <li>• The intervention group was trained using an improved simulation tool</li> </ul>	To examine the impact of standard training on the self-administration of insulin among diabetic patients.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The intervention group had a shorter training time and a higher success rate for the first subcutaneous injection</li> <li>• Higher scores for injection skills and pre-discharge scores in the intervention group</li> <li>• Lower incidence of subcutaneous fat hyperplasia in the intervention group</li> <li>• The control group had a higher incidence of hypoglycemia, but the difference was not statistically signifi</li> </ul>
Chen et al. (2021)	Injection Technique Education in Patients with Diabetes Injecting Insulin into Areas of Lipohypertrophy : A Randomized Controlled Trial	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Rando mized Controlled Trial</li> <li>• The intervention (IT-education group) received comprehensive IT education, with a strong emphasis on injection techniques and insulin dose management, ensuring thorough patient care.</li> <li>• The control group received their usual care.</li> </ul>	To evaluate the effects of offering intensive education on injection techniques (IT) to patients who regularly inject insulin into areas affected by lipo hypertrophy (LH).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A study compared the effects of IT education on diabetes management in two groups.</li> <li>• Both groups had similar demographics and baseline IT behaviour.</li> <li>• HbA1c reduction was similar in both groups in the intention-to-treat analysis but significant in the per-protocol analysis.</li> <li>• Total daily dose (TDD) insulin changes were more significant in the IT education group</li> <li>• Some control patients showed "contamination" of IT education behaviours, decreasing HbA1c.</li> </ul>

Author, Year	Title	Design	Objectives	Result
Louzolo-Kimbembe et al. (2021)	Insulin Injection A Non-Trivial Act with Sometimes Serious Consequences: Major Role of Therapeutic Education	Case Report, 2 cases	To report case involving infectious cellulitis of the abdominal wall and necrotizing fasciitis of the thigh after an insulin injection	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Negligent insulin administration can have serious consequences, and documented errors have led to complications.</li> <li>Proper injection techniques and education on insulin storage, injection sites, and complications are essential to prevent skin complications and infectious complications.</li> <li>Patients often lack knowledge of proper insulin injection procedures, leading to errors such as injecting in the same site, reusing needles, and not mixing cloudy insulins.</li> <li>Healthcare providers must continuously assess patients' understanding of insulin administration and use techniques like the teach-back method to confirm comprehension.</li> <li>Active patient engagement in insulin therapy education and ongoing support from healthcare providers can help prevent complications and ensure successful diabetes management.</li> </ul>
Adhi et al. (2023)	Effectiveness of Rotation Scheme for Insulin Injection on Blood Sugar Control of Diabetes Mellitus Patients in the Polyclinic of North Lombok District Hospital	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Pre-experimental design with a static-group comparison</li> <li>52 participants were selected (26 in the intervention group, 26 in the control group) using accidental sampling</li> </ul>	To assess the efficacy of insulin injection rotation scheme for managing blood sugar levels among Diabetes Mellitus (DM) patients	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Most participants in both groups were aged between 46 and 55.</li> <li>The intervention group consisted of well-educated individuals, while the control group had more participants with no formal education and mostly unemployed.</li> <li>Both groups had a substantial history of insulin use, with all participants having used insulin for at least two years.</li> <li>The control group showed an average decrease of 36 mg/dl in blood sugar levels with a rotation scheme of 128 mg/dl.</li> <li>The research findings revealed a p-value of 0.003 (<math>p &lt; 0.05</math>), indicating that the rotation scheme for insulin injection effectively controlled blood sugar levels.</li> </ul>
Lukman et al. (2023)	Implementation Of Nutrition Management In Nursing Care For Type II Diabetes Mellitus With Nutrition Deficit Nursing Problems	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Case Study of nursing care for Type II Diabetes Mellitus patients focused on nutritional management</li> </ul>	To conduct a comparative analysis of nursing care approaches for patients with type II diabetes mellitus, focusing on nutritional management and the issue of nutritional deficit as primary interventions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The study evaluated two patients, aged 39 and 67 years, with body weights of 47 kg and 48 kg and BMIs of 18.3 and 18.7, respectively.</li> <li>Nutritional deficiencies were addressed through nutritional status evaluation, oral hygiene interventions, and education on a diabetes-specific diet.</li> <li>Patients experienced an improvement in body weight and BMI as a result of the intervention.</li> </ul>
Pangaribuan & Wahyu, (2023)	The Effect Of Diet Compliance Education On Blood Sugar Levels In Type Ii Diabetes Mellitus Patients In The 7th Floor Inpatient Care,	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Quasi-Experimental design with a single-group pre-post test.</li> </ul>	To assess the impact of dietary education on blood glucose levels in individuals with Type II Diabetes Mellitus.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>70.5% of patients had poor blood sugar levels before the educational session.</li> <li>47.7% showed improvement after the session, indicating a shift to moderate levels.</li> <li>A significant association between dietary adherence and blood</li> </ul>

Author, Year	Title	Design	Objectives	Result
	Murni Teguh Hospital, Medan			sugar levels in type II DM patients, supported by a p-value of 0.001, demonstrating an educational impact.
Sitorus et al. (2023)	Knowledge and Compliance of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Patients with Diabetic Nutrition Management.	Descriptive Analysis	To assess knowledge and adherence to nutritional management among type 2 diabetes mellitus patients.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>75.91% demonstrated good knowledge of diabetic nutrition management</li> <li>81.48% exhibited compliance with diabetic nutrition management</li> </ul>
Momongan et al. (2021)	Diet Education With Booklet Media In Improving Diet Compliance And Blood Sugar Levels In Type II DM Patients	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Pre-Experimental design with one group pre-test-post-test approach.</li> </ul>	To assess the impact of diet education using booklet media on adherence to dietary guidelines and blood glucose levels in patients with type II diabetes mellitus	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Significant differences in adherence to dietary guidelines and blood glucose levels were observed before and after the implementation of diet education.</li> <li>The statistical analysis using the Paired t-test demonstrated a significance level 0.000 (<math>p &lt; 0.05</math>).</li> </ul>
Isaksson et al. (2021)	Effects of nutrition education using a food-based approach, carbohydrate counting or routine care in type 1 diabetes: 12 months prospective randomized trial.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rando mized controlled study</li> <li>3 parallel: food-based approach (FBA), carbohydrate counting (CC), and routine care (RC)</li> <li>Primary endpoint: variance in glycated hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) over 12 months</li> </ul>	To evaluate the effects of different nutritional education initiatives on glycemic control, cardiovascular risk markers, quality of life, dietary habits, and food preferences among people with type 1 diabetes.	<p>The study included 159 patients with varying distribution in three groups</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>After three months, FBA and CC groups improved HbA1c compared to the RC group</li> <li>No significant differences in HbA1c at 12 months between FBA and RC, CC and RC, and FBA and CC</li> <li>At 12 months, FBA showed better intake of legumes, nuts, and vegetables than CC and RC</li> <li>FBA reported a higher intake of certain fats and dietary fibre than RC and CC</li> <li>No significant differences were observed in blood pressure levels, lipids, body weight, or quality of life</li> </ul>
Hashim et al. (2021)	Effectiveness of simplified diabetes nutrition education on glycemic control and other diabetes-related outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rando mized controlled trial.</li> <li>The intervention group received weekly diabetes nutrition modules and usual care</li> <li>The control group received only usual care.</li> <li>HbA1c and diabetes-related outcomes were rigorously measured at baseline, 12 weeks, and 22 weeks, demonstrating the rigour of our study.</li> </ul>	To assess the impact of Simplified Diabetes Nutrition Education (SDNE) on glycemic control and other diabetes-related outcomes in individuals with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>After 22 weeks, the intervention group showed significant improvement in HbA1c levels (-1.7%) compared to the control group (+0.01%) (<math>p &lt; 0.001</math>).</li> <li>The intervention group significantly improved metabolic parameters more than the control group (<math>p &lt; 0.05</math>).</li> <li>Significant enhancement in dietary intake and physical activity levels was observed in the intervention group compared to the control group (<math>p &lt; 0.05</math>).</li> <li>Health beliefs, diabetes knowledge, and health literacy experienced significant enhancements in the intervention group compared to the control group (<math>p &lt; 0.05</math>).</li> </ul>

Author, Year	Title	Design	Objectives	Result
Bross et al. (2022)	Barriers to Healthy Eating and Diabetes Diet Education: Divergent Perspectives of Patients and Their Providers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A survey of diet self-management</li> </ul>	To examine perceived barriers to diet self-management among low-income minority patients with type 2 diabetes and their health care providers within a single ecosystem, to test whether providers understood patient barriers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Providers have divergent perceptions of patients' barriers to healthy eating</li> <li>Patients show positive indicators for self-management, such as regular blood glucose monitoring and interest in diet education</li> <li>Providers cited a lack of time for diet discussions and preferred others to handle teaching</li> </ul>
Mehrabbeik et al. (2022)	Investigation of Association Between Insulin Injection Technique and Blood Glucose Control in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A survey of insulin injection technique</li> </ul>	To explain the correlation between proper insulin use with glycemic control in type 2 diabetes patients.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Injective pain, needle reuse, and improper injection site rotation were common issues.</li> <li>Participants with lower injection scores had higher fasting blood sugar and HbA1c levels.</li> <li>A strong negative correlation between insulin injection scores and glycemic control markers.</li> </ul>
Abujbara et al. (2022)	Effect of Insulin Injection Techniques on Glycemic Control Among Patients with Diabetes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A survey of insulin injection practices</li> </ul>	To evaluate insulin injection practices among diabetes patients and their impact on glycemic control.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rotation of insulin injection sites, absence of lipohypertrophy, and a total daily insulin dose of <math>\leq 50</math> units were associated with improved glycemic control.</li> <li>Proper insulin injection techniques are essential for managing diabetes and improving glycemic control</li> </ul>
Bari et al. (2023)	Addressing Insulin Injection Technique: A Follow-up Study of Canadian Patients with Diabetes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A survey on patients with diabetes who used insulin pens and pen needles; and physicians without support from diabetes educators</li> </ul>	To assess the current insulin injection technique employed by patients and investigate the impact of feedback and education on enhancing their proficiency.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Physicians need help educating and providing patient feedback due to limited time and resources.</li> <li>Patients improved their injection technique during follow-up visits, addressing factors such as injection force, needle retention time, needle reuse, injection area size, and injection angle.</li> <li>Common initial errors included selecting a smaller injection area than recommended and not paying attention to injection force.</li> <li>On their second visit, patients, on average, reduced one error in their injection technique.</li> </ul>
Klarskov et al. (2021)	A New Medical Device for Improved Rotation of Insulin Injections in Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus: A Proof-of-Concept Study	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>12-week trial testing a new device for rotating insulin injections</li> <li>1-week baseline data collection followed by 12 weeks of device-guided insulin rotation</li> </ul>	To evaluate the effectiveness of the medical device in reducing the frequency of insulin injections within the same subcutaneous area compared to standard insulin injection techniques without assistance.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A new automatic insulin injection log device with an algorithm improved most users' injection rotation in the abdominal area.</li> <li>The device showed potential in reducing lipo hypertrophy lesions and promoting better glucose control through enhanced rotation.</li> <li>Although no significant changes in HbA1c levels or hypoglycemic incidents were noted, the device helped reduce glycemic variability for better diabetes management.</li> <li>The device is designed to streamline insulin injections, especially for newly diagnosed T1DM or type 2 diabetes patients.</li> </ul>

