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Abstract

Intra-pleural foreign bodies occur due to thoracic trauma or iatrogenic. Extraction of an intra-pleural foreign body is done either by thoracotomy or Video-Assisted Thoracoscopic Surgery (VATS). A 58-year-old woman presented with a right pleural effusion. Ultrasonography (USG)-guided right pleural fluid aspiration complicated as the needle broke down in the pleural cavity. Computed Tomography (CT) of the thorax documented the needle in the muscular plain between intercostal muscles and the pointing edge in the pleural cavity. A surgical exploration of the muscular plane to retrieve the needle was unsuccessful. The needle was successfully extracted by semi-rigid thoracoscopy under local anesthesia without any complications.
Introduction

Foreign bodies lodged within the tracheobronchial tree and gastrointestinal tract are relatively common, contrasting with intra-pleural foreign bodies, which typically result from trauma or iatrogenic causes.\textsuperscript{1} Needle thoracentesis serves as a standard diagnostic procedure for identifying the underlying cause of pleural effusion.\textsuperscript{2} However, despite the safety and simplicity of the procedure, complications such as needle breakdown within the pleural cavity can occur. Extraction of intra-pleural foreign bodies traditionally requires thoracotomy or Video-Assisted Thoracoscopic Surgery (VATS).\textsuperscript{3} We present a case of successful intra-pleural foreign body retrieval using semi-rigid thoracoscopy under local anesthesia. Additionally, we systematically review the existing literature on the efficacy of semi-rigid thoracoscopy for extracting intra-pleural foreign bodies.

Case Report

This case report details the management of a 58-year-old woman diagnosed with diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and chronic kidney disease, who presented with moderate right pleural effusion. An Ultrasonography (USG)-guided attempt to aspirate the pleural fluid using a 22G needle resulted in the patient experiencing syncope, causing the needle to break in the pleural cavity. Subsequent
Computed Tomography (CT) thorax imaging revealed the needle lodged in the muscular plane between intercostal muscles, with one end penetrating into the pleural cavity (Figure 1a-c). Despite surgical exploration of the area, the needle remained unretrieved (Figure 1d), prompting referral to our center for further intervention.

On presentation, the patient had stable vital signs but had undergone prior surgical exploration, evidenced by multiple sutures in her lateral chest wall. Despite efforts to visualize the needle using USG, it remained elusive. A trocar was inserted, and under local anesthesia with 4% lignocaine, a semi-rigid thoracoscope (Model LTF 160; Olympus; Tokyo, Japan) was introduced through the trocar.

The semi-rigid thoracoscope facilitated visualization of the needle embedded in the lung tissue (Figure 2a), with a portion protruding into the pleural cavity. With fenestrated forceps, the needle was grasped and successfully removed under direct vision (Figure 2c). The embedded length of the needle in the lung was approximately 3.5 cm (Figure 2d). Post-procedure, a 28-mm F thoracostomy tube was placed in the pleural cavity and removed after 24 hours, with no complications reported.

**Discussion**
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Thoracentesis is a commonly performed low-risk procedure in both inpatient and outpatient settings for diagnosing pleural effusion. Complications of thoracentesis may include pneumothorax, puncture site bleeding, hemothorax, chest wall hematoma, and re-expansion pulmonary edema. Needle breakdown inside the pleural cavity during thoracentesis has been rarely reported in the literature.

Semi-rigid thoracoscopy serves as a diagnostic tool for evaluating undiagnosed pleural effusion, and its therapeutic role in adhesiolysis and pleurodesis is well-established.

Foreign bodies in the thoracic cavity can be removed either by thoracotomy or VATS. Weissberg et al. demonstrated retrieval of foreign bodies by thoracotomy, rigid thoracoscopy, VATS, and simple incision in 21 patients. The index case highlights the successful use of a semi-rigid thoracoscopy in the removal of an intra-pleural sharp foreign body.

To gather additional insights, we conducted a systematic review using the mesh terms “Pleura,” “Foreign bodies,” “Thoracic disease,” “Case Studies,” “Systematic reviews,” “Thoracoscopy,” and “Medical Thoracoscopy” on PubMed and Google Scholar databases. From the 192 articles initially identified, 8 case reports were included in the final evaluation after applying exclusion criteria (Figure 3). The retrieved information included reference/journal name, year of publication and country, patient's age and sex, type and cause of foreign body, attempts at local extraction, instrument used, use of USG for screening or localization, type of forceps, anesthesia and sedation.
procedure complications, and duration after which the patient was discharged post-procedure (Table 1).

Several foreign bodies in the pleura have been described in the literature, such as pigtail catheter,\textsuperscript{8} pleural catheter,\textsuperscript{9} Self-Expanding Metallic Stent (SEMS),\textsuperscript{10} needle,\textsuperscript{5} sewing needle,\textsuperscript{2} surgical blade.\textsuperscript{3,11} Most of the foreign bodies described are iatrogenic, with few resulting from trauma.\textsuperscript{2}

Semi-rigid thoracoscopy has shown successful retrieval of foreign bodies under local anesthesia without complications. The first successful medical thoracoscopy to remove a surgical blade was done by bronchoscope through a thoracostomy tube.\textsuperscript{11}

A semi-rigid thoracoscope under local anesthesia has been used successfully by Cheng WC et al.\textsuperscript{10} to remove SEMS from the thoracic cavity, which migrated into the thoracic cavity due to Bronco-Pleural Fistula (BPF). Gupta Re et al.\textsuperscript{12} removed a broken needle successfully, and the patient was discharged after the third day. In 2023, Bajad et al.\textsuperscript{8} used a semi-rigid thoracoscopy under general anesthesia and extracted a broken pigtail catheter from the pleural cavity, and the patient was discharged after 24 hours. Tie et al.\textsuperscript{2} used a semi-rigid thoracoscope in 2012 to retrieve a sewing needle; however, the author had to extend the incision to insert rigid bronchoscopy forceps through the side of the trocar. The procedure was complicated as the needle broke down into 3 pieces, but they could extract the parts safely. In the index case, semi-rigid thoracoscopy was used to extract the broken needle under local anesthesia with minimal sedation, and the patient could be discharged after 24 hours of the procedure with successful removal of the intercostal tube.
As in the index case, surgical exploration of the site of thoracentesis has been done in literature to retrieve the needle. However, it went in vain.\textsuperscript{2,5} It only complicated the procedure as it further led to the displacement of the needle. Hence, surgical exploration should be avoided.

In the current era, semi-rigid thoracoscopy may be used as an initial procedure to retrieve the foreign body from the pleural cavity, but if the foreign body is complicated by adhesions, hemothorax, or if the foreign body is large, VATS or open thoracostomy should be done to extract the foreign body.\textsuperscript{13} CT of the thorax should be done in all cases of foreign body in the pleural cavity to ascertain that the foreign body is not involving any vascular structures or not invading the mediastinum because in those cases VATS or open thoracostomy should be preferred without attempting semi-rigid thoracoscopy as it may complicate the procedure. USG should always be done before performing semi-rigid thoracoscopy as it may guide the path to insert the trocar and help localize the foreign body.\textsuperscript{14}

This review also highlights complications of common pleural procedures like thoracentesis, intercostal drainage, and pigtail insertion. Though rarely occurring, these complications can be devastating and hence should be kept in mind. Any delay in extraction may either lead to adhesions and fibrosis or may lead to empyema, hemothorax, pericardial tamponade, diaphragmatic injury, BPF, and pericarditis requiring thoracotomy for retrieval.\textsuperscript{13}

Conclusions
An intra-pleural foreign body is rare. It should be removed as soon as possible to prevent adhesions and fibrosis and avoid a potential thoracotomy for extraction. Semi-rigid thoracoscopy is safe, can be done under conscious sedation and local anesthesia, and may be used as an initial procedure to extract an intra-pleural foreign body. However, proper case selection and adequate training are essential for a better outcome.
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Figure 1. **a)** A foreign body in chest radiography (blue thick arrow); **b)** shows an axial Computed Tomography (CT) of the thorax image with the needle in the pleural cavity and head in muscular plain (thick red arrow); **c)** shows sagittal section of the CT with needle embedded in lung with head in the muscular plain (thin blue arrow); **d)** shows scar and sutures post-surgical exploration of muscular plain (thin red arrow).
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Figure 2. a) shows the needle embedded in the lung (white thick arrow); b) shows the needle grasped by biopsy forceps (thick black arrow); c) shows the successful removal of the needle en bloc with a semi-rigid thoracoscope (thin black arrow); d) shows needle length of approximately 3.5cm.
Figure 3. Flow chart.
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Table 1. Published literature on intra-pleural foreign body retrieval by medical thoracoscopy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Year/Country</th>
<th>Age/Sex</th>
<th>Type of FB</th>
<th>Cause of FB</th>
<th>Local surgical extraction</th>
<th>Instrument used</th>
<th>US for site marking</th>
<th>Forceps used</th>
<th>Anesthesia</th>
<th>Sedation</th>
<th>Complication</th>
<th>Discharged</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Present case</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>58/F</td>
<td>Needle</td>
<td>Breakage during thoracentesis</td>
<td>Yes, unsuccessful</td>
<td>Semi-rigid thoracoscope</td>
<td>Used</td>
<td>Fenestrated biopsy forceps</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>100 mcg fentanyl</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>After 24 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Bajad et al.</td>
<td>Egyptian Journal of Bronchology</td>
<td>India/2023</td>
<td>65/M</td>
<td>Pigtail catheter</td>
<td>Breakage of distal end during removal</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>7 mm semi-rigid thoracoscope</td>
<td>Used</td>
<td>Biopsy forceps</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Propofol</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sumalani et al.</td>
<td>Turkish Thoracic Journal</td>
<td>Rome/2022</td>
<td>22/F</td>
<td>Pleural catheter</td>
<td>Breakage during insertion</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>10 mm rigid thoracoscope</td>
<td>Used</td>
<td>Rigid forceps</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Midazolam, nalbuphine</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Narasimhan et al.</td>
<td>Journal of Bronchology</td>
<td>India/2017</td>
<td>80/M</td>
<td>Needle</td>
<td>Breakage during thoracentesis</td>
<td>Yes, unsuccessful</td>
<td>10 mm rigid thoracoscope</td>
<td>Used</td>
<td>Grasping forceps</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Midazolam and pethidine</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Case Report</th>
<th>Country/Year</th>
<th>Age/Gender</th>
<th>Tool</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Scope Used</th>
<th>Anesthesia</th>
<th>Chest Tube Management</th>
<th>Complication</th>
<th>Event Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Narasimhan et al. (^2)/Journal of Bronchology</td>
<td>India/2017</td>
<td>32/F</td>
<td>Needle</td>
<td>Breakage during thoracentesis</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>10 mm rigid thoracoscope</td>
<td>Used</td>
<td>Grasping forceps</td>
<td>Local</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Gupta et al. (^1)/BMJ Case Reports</td>
<td>India/2014</td>
<td>60/M</td>
<td>Needle</td>
<td>Breakage during thoracentesis</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Semi-rigid thoracoscope</td>
<td>Used</td>
<td>Grasping forceps</td>
<td>Local</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Tie et al. (^3)/Journal of Bronchology</td>
<td>Malaysia/2012</td>
<td>24/M</td>
<td>Sewing needle</td>
<td>Accidental fal on sewing needle</td>
<td>Yes, unsuccessful</td>
<td>Semi-rigid thoracoscope</td>
<td>Not used</td>
<td>Rigid bronchoscopy forceps along side of thoracoscope, another artery forceps passed through trocar</td>
<td>Local</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Singh et al. (^4)/Indian Journal of Fundamental and Applied Science</td>
<td>India/2012</td>
<td>27/M</td>
<td>Surgical blade</td>
<td>Blade slipped in left pleural cavity during chest tube insertion</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Rigid esophagoscope</td>
<td>Not used</td>
<td>Grasping forceps</td>
<td>General</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Imaging</th>
<th>Equipment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Beg et al.</td>
<td>India/1990</td>
<td>30/M</td>
<td>Surgical blade</td>
<td>Not mentioned</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Flexible bronchoscope through intercostal tube</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FB, Foreign Body; US, Ultrasound
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