Seasonal variations of *Escherichia coli* contamination in clams (*Chamelea gallina*) harvested in the Adriatic Sea (San Benedetto del Tronto district, Italy)
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Abstract

In the European Union, the classification of shellfish harvesting areas depends on levels of *Escherichia coli* checked in shellfish flesh and determines whether post-harvest treatment required before shellfish can be sold for human consumption. Nevertheless, intermittent sources of contamination, such as rainfall and runoff from agricultural and urban lands, may give rise to seasonal variations of *E. coli* concentration, hence an annual classification could not be correct. In this study, we investigated the microbial trend in clams (*Chamelea gallina*) harvested from the district of San Benedetto del Tronto, Italy. The Algaeadia database, a monitoring network for the whole Adriatic area, provided results from 2005 to 2012. *E. coli* values compliant and non-compliant with food safety criteria were evaluated by graphical data analysis tools and one-tailed Fisher’s exact test. The results showed a clear general seasonal trend and, in one of the considered areas, the non-compliant values from July to February were significantly lower than those from March to June (P<0.05). These findings may scientifically support a seasonal classification.

Introduction

In the European Union, official controls on the production and distribution stages of live bivalve molluscs for human consumption include a sampling plan of production areas based on the study of contamination sources (sanitary survey), critical for the classification. The aim of the sanitary survey is the classification of areas in three classes, A, B or C. Bivalves harvested from class A areas may be placed on the market for direct human consumption; bivalves from class B areas must undergo purification; the ones from class C areas must be relayed over a long period (European Commission, 2004). Natural beds of clams (*Chamelea gallina*) in the district of San Benedetto del Tronto (South Marche), hardly exploited for harvesting, have been recently reclassified (Marche Region, 2013) and of the seven areas previously classified as class A have been reclassified as class B areas. Furthermore, in the Marche region, class A areas have been reduced from 39 to 16. Some authors focused on the intermittent faecal contamination sources that may cause a seasonal trend of *E. coli* contamination (Campos et al., 2013), depending mostly on the weather (Ritter et al., 2002), but also on the death rate of *E. coli* in sea water (Fujiooka et al., 1981; Kim and Hur, 2010; Rozen and Belkin, 2001; Troussellier et al., 1998).

Recently, in the same district of San Benedetto del Tronto, a statistically significant relationship between rainfall and *E. coli* values exceeding the food safety criteria for direct human consumption (>230 most probable number (MPN)/100 g) has been demonstrated, particularly in areas with the highest density of inhabitants (Ciccarelli et al., 2012). Nevertheless, very little is known in literature about seasonal contamination in Italy. The aim of this study was to verify, by means of a statistical test, the seasonal trend of *E. coli* contamination monitored in the natural beds of clams in the district above mentioned.

Materials and Methods

We analysed the results of the monitoring of *E. coli* levels in the classified areas of the district of San Benedetto del Tronto (Figure 1) from 2005 to 2012, provided by Algaeadia database, a monitoring network for the whole Adriatic area in order to collect and process homogeneous information on biotoxins, microbiological and phytoplankton (http://algeadia.org). Results are referred to two established sampling sites in each classified area: the first site (I) was 0.6-0.7 km offshore, 3-6 m deep; and the second site (II) was 1.2-1.3 km offshore, 6-9 m deep. In general, samples were collected quarterly, but during early years they were collected 4-monthly and later, bimonthly.

Microbial analyses were performed by the Veterinary Public Health Laboratory of Marche and Umbria, Fermo office, with the in force MPN method. By graphical data analysis tools (Helsel and Hirsch, 2002), two classes of results were recognised: compliant, if values were ≤230 MPN/100 g, and non-compliant, if values were >230 MPN/100 g, whose rates and monthly distribution were evaluated. Focusing on that (Figure 2), data were related at three different periods: January-June and July-December (combination A: 6 months and 6 months); March-September and October-February (combination B: 7 months and 5 months); March-June and July-February (combination C: 4 months and 8 months). The non-compliant rates were evaluated at each site and each seasonal combination as mentioned above (Figure 3). Furthermore, non-parametric Fisher’s exact test was applied to data from each sampling site: the columns of the 2x2 contingency tables were the compliant and non-compliant values; the lines were values in the first period of time and in the second one for each combination (Table 1). Bonferroni correction method for multiple tests was considered.

Results

Despite an irregular trend during the year, the non-compliant values of *E. coli* appeared to increase in the early half of the year, as shown in Figure 2. Indeed, the rates of non-compliant values were higher in the first period of speculated combinations than those in the second period, as in Figure 3. As regards the results of Fisher’s exact test, shown in Table 1, only for the site 19.02-I (Figure 1) P values seemingly confirmed that the rates of non-compliant values from March to June (combination C) were significantly higher than those from July to February (P=0.043). Nevertheless, if the Bonferroni correction method for multiple tests is applied, the comparisonwise rate gets P≥0.016 (P≤α/k with k=3), so none of P values rejected the null hypothesis.
Discussion

The graphical analysis revealed, first of all, that E. coli had an irregular trend during the year in general, with spikes in early months. This could be due to the local distribution of precipitations (Ciccarelli et al., 2012). In effect, by the comparison of the rates of non-compliant results in different seasonal combinations, we found that they significantly increased, in particular, for combination B, from March to September, and, for combination C, from March to June. This may allow a seasonal reclassification of the clam harvesting areas. However, only for one sampling site (19.02-I) and for combination C, the different rates appeared to have statistical significance, when the Bonferroni correction is not applied. This evidence could scientifically support a seasonal classification of production areas and could allow a more detailed classification due to the identification of the periods of time, during the year, with the lowest microbial levels.

Conclusions

Intermittent faecal contamination sources may critically affect E. coli levels in shellfish. Graphical data analysis may reveal seasonal occurrence of exceeding E. coli values. Statistical tools, such as non-parametric Fisher’s exact test, help to point out the significance of seasonal trends of E. coli contamination in shellfish. In effect, by the use of these methods, our study verified the seasonality of non-compliant values with a statistical significance. Finally, it is intended to give a new scientific based support to competent authorities for seasonal classifications of bivalve mollusc harvesting areas and place on the market shellfish products meeting the requirements for direct human consumption.
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Table 1. Outcomes of the Fisher’s exact test: P values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Combination</th>
<th>Harvesting areas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19.01-I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A)</td>
<td>0.150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B)</td>
<td>0.215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C)</td>
<td>0.171</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant difference (P<0.05) without Bonferroni correction.


