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Abstract

During the past decades there was an increasing predominance of chronic disorders, with a large number of people living with chronic diseases that can adversely affect their quality of life. The aim of the present paper is to study quality of life and especially Health-related quality of life (HRQoL) in chronic diseases. HRQoL is a multidimensional construct that consists of at least three broad domains – physical, psychological, and social functioning – that are affected by one’s disease and/or treatment. HRQoL is usually measured in chronic conditions and is frequently impaired to a great extent. In addition, factors that are associated with good and poor HRQoL, as well as HRQoL assessment will be discussed. The estimation of the relative impact of chronic diseases on HRQoL is necessary in order to better plan and distribute health care resources aiming at a better HRQoL.

[«All the people perceive the concept of living good or being well, that is the same as being happy». (Aristotle. 384-322 BC. Ethica Nichomachea)]

Quality of life

The World Health Organization (WHO) defines health as not merely the absence of disease or infirmity, but a state of complete physical, mental and social well being.¹ The definition of Quality of Life (QoL) is more complex. According to WHO, QoL is defined as individuals’ perceptions of their position in life in the context of the culture and value systems in which they live and in relation to their goals, expectations, standards and concerns.² QoL is the feeling of overall life satisfaction, as determined by the mentally alert individual whose life is being evaluated.³ This appraisal is subjective, and encompasses all domains of life, including elements of a biopsychosocial/spiritual model.⁴ The use of the term subjective has different connotations to different people and can be perceived as not reliable because it is not objective. Subjective can be synonymous with self-perceived meaning that a person primarily gives information about himself.

Other definitions of QoL suggest that it is a global personal assessment of a single dimension which may be causally responsive to a variety of other distinct dimensions: it is a unidimensional concept with multiple causes.⁵ Therefore, it encompasses the entire range of human experience, states, perceptions and spheres of thought concerning the life of an individual or a community. Both objective and subjective QoL can include cultural, physical, psychological, interpersonal, spiritual, financial, political, temporal and philosophical dimensions. QoL implies a judgment of value placed on the experience of communities, groups such as families or individuals.⁶

Finally, it is suggested that QoL can theoretically encompass a wide ranging array of domains and components. These involve functional ability including role functioning (functional ability in different roles like in physical activities and achievement beliefs), the degree and quality of social interaction, psychological well-being, somatic sensations, happiness, life situations, life satisfaction and need for satisfaction.⁷ It also reflects life experiences,⁸ significant life events and the current phase of the life and the factors defining QoL in this respect further include sex, socioeconomic status, age and generation.⁹ QoL is thus a complex collection of interacting objective and subjective dimensions: encompasses the individual’s perspective, is assessed through the eye of the experiencer,¹⁰ and is likely to be mediated by cognitive factors.¹¹

Health related quality of life

Patrick and Erickson (1993) define health-related quality of life (HRQoL) as the value assigned to duration of life as modified by the impairments, functional states, perceptions and social opportunities that are influenced by disease, injury, treatment or policy.¹² A main topic in HRQoL includes patients’ appraisal of their current level of functioning, as well as satisfaction with it, compared to what they believe to be ideal. An important aspect in HRQoL study is how the manifestation of an illness or treatment is experienced by an individual. Patients’ health status assessment includes personal experiences which are affected by health care interventions as well as changes over time with a chronic disease and no particular treatment. For example, evaluation of HRQoL over time after disease such as stroke, for individuals who have completed treatment and rehabilitation and are living with the effects of this disease.¹³ It is generally accepted that HRQoL is a multidimensional construct that consists of at least three broad domains – physical, psychological, and social functioning – that are affected by one’s disease and/or treatment. Physical functioning is usually defined as the ability to perform a range of activities of daily living, as well as physical symptoms resulting from the disease itself or from treatment. Psychological functioning ranges from severe psychological distress to a positive sense of well-being and may also encompass cognitive functioning. Social functioning refers to quantitative and qualitative aspects of social relationships and interactions and societal integration.¹⁴

A model of HRQoL might lead to a better explanation of the previous statements. Wilson & Cleary (1995) describe a conceptual model of HRQoL that provides a theoretical approach to conceptualizing HRQoL as a multidimensional construct and integrates biological and psychological aspects of health outcomes.¹⁵ This model consists of five different levels namely, physiological factors, symptom status, functional health, general health perceptions and overall QoL. It has been widely applied to different populations, including patients with cancer, arthritis, Parkinson’s disease and HIV. It is indicated that symptom status, functional health, general health perceptions, and overall QoL are dimensions of HRQoL (Figure 1).¹⁶

Figure 1 depicts the hypothesized linkages between the dimensions. The model suggests that physiological variables influence symptom status, symptom status influences functional health, functional health influences general health perceptions and general health perceptions influence overall QoL. The evaluation of physiological variables focuses on cells, organs, and organ systems, though the assessment of symptom status shifts to the organism as a whole.¹⁷ Functional health is defined as the ability of an individual to perform and...
Health related quality of life in chronic diseases

During the past decades there was an increasing predominance of chronic disorders, as a result of improved living conditions, better prevention, infectious diseases management, medical technological improvements and overall aging of the population. Therefore, an increasing number of people live with chronic diseases that can adversely affect their HRQoL. In general, chronic diseases are slow in progression, long in duration, and they require medical treatment. The majority of chronic diseases hold the potential to worsen the overall health of patients by limiting their capacity to live well, limit the functional status, productivity and HRQoL and are a major contributor to health care costs. Among these diseases are cancer, heart diseases, stroke, diabetes, HIV, bowel diseases, renal disease and diseases of central nervous system.

Devin et al. (1983), claim that chronic disease disrupts an individual’s life and that this disruption may be interpreted in terms of its impact on well-being, or QoL. Psychosocial well-being is compromised by two limitations: by reducing positively reinforcing outcomes of participating in valued activities and feelings of personal control and by limiting the ability to obtain positive outcomes or avoid negative ones. They have further suggested that this impact can be assessed in terms of QoL domains. The literature in health psychology generally supports the hypothesis that most patients do compare themselves with those patients who are better off (upward comparisons). This positive focus on limitations may be responsible for the better psychological adjustment to illness among this group, in comparison with those who make downward comparisons. Patients tend to make downward comparisons of themselves with patients worse off with them, only when experiencing difficulties and make upward comparisons with people healthier than themselves when setting standards for their recovery.

In the context of chronic diseases study, HRQoL is studied as a primary or secondary outcome. HRQoL is an important measure to evaluate the impact of a disease and the effects of medical intervention, thus, an improvement in HRQoL is considered to be an essential primary outcome and determinant of therapeutic benefit. While, it is found more usually to be the secondary outcome that provides the researchers with hypothesis-generating data. In some cases the outcomes of interest may involve only certain domains such as physical functioning or emotional functioning. Information on the impact of chronic diseases on HRQoL can make health services more patient-centred.

Conclusively, as the number of people with chronic diseases is increasing it is necessary for them to gain an optimal HRQoL. To achieve this, a study of HRQoL is used to evaluate the impact of a disease and the effects of medical interventions. This study would provide information so that the patients’ voice should mainly be considered.

Health related quality of life assessment in chronic disease

HRQoL can be assessed either by interview or questionnaire. Interview methods use open-ended or semi-structured methods, are useful for initial creation of items to be used subsequently in questionnaires to discover issues and to describe the experiences of the patients.

As regards questionnaires two main types are used: 1) generic HRQoL questionnaires, which are used to evaluate HRQoL in different populations and ii) specific HRQoL questionnaires. The development of questionnaires is aimed to be patient-centred.
naires, which are used to evaluate HRQoL in patients with specific conditions and are claimed to be more responsive, as they include items relevant to the designated patient population. Both generic and specific instruments have pros and cons and must be estimated within the context of the particular study.8

Generic instruments allow comparisons between across conditions and interventions, but usually do not focus on a specific intervention adequately. These measures can provide population norms of healthy HRQoL, to which HRQoL in disease states can be compared and admit comparisons across different diseases and conditions and across interventions. Generic health status measures differentiate groups on important dimensions of overall health and functioning and can also be more sensitive to comorbid conditions.9,10 They are also useful for policy analysis and health care decision making and because of their broader range of assessment they may yield unexpected findings (regarding comparisons to different groups of health conditions). This means that they are used to assess the efficiency of the chosen treatment by considering the patients’ perspectives. It becomes necessary to have assessment methods that are able to verify the fact that although the treatment used does not completely restore health, it at least restores QoL to acceptable levels.

On the other hand, specific instruments are usually more comprehensive and provide greater precision and sensitivity to clinically important changes that might be missed by broader assessment approaches. In addition, they are more responsive to change, but are not inclusive and may not be available for certain populations, since such measures are designed to access specific patient population and disease states.9,10 There are instruments designed to assess specific conditions or symptoms, such as pain, fatigue and depression that may occur in many different kinds of diseases. Some measures assess those symptoms and concerns that occur in association with particular treatments, but which may be common to several diseases. Specific measures have the advantage of being more closely related to physical findings and disease correlates, but their major disadvantage is that they are often limited to particular populations or interventions.8

In parallel, there are some characteristics for desirable measures. The data must be based on patients’ opinion, changes in priorities with increasing age and understandable language must be used. They must have reliability, validity (content validity), sensitivity to change/responsiveness, if the measure is to be used to evaluate change over time and provide quantifiable results with clinical and statistical significance. Additionally, they should be multidimensional, attainable to patients, being short and usable in a busy clinical setting.10

Finally, some of the measures that are commonly used in studies of chronic disease are the Medical Outcomes Study 36-Item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36),11 the Nottingham Health Profile (NHP) and the EuroQol (EQ-5D).22 These instruments are translated in many languages and are used in many countries. An example of specific instrument is the Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy-General (FACT-G) that can be used as generic by deleting those questions that ask about one’s specific condition.5,24

A methodological issue: the issue of response shift

HRQoL assessment includes also the difficulties of comparing people because of varying standards for comparison as well as shifting standards over time.25 The issue of response shift refers to a phenomenon that can occur in any field where self-report data are collected and is an important methodological issue. The concept of response reflects the fact that patients make an assessment, judgment, or rating of a health state. The notion of shift implies change; more specifically a change in the patient’s response. Response shift is a change in the meaning of one’s self-evaluation of a target construct as a result of a change in the respondent’s internal standards of measurement or scale recalibration. Another reason is a redefinition of the target construct or concept redefinition or a change in the respondent’s values or the importance of component domains representing the target construct.26

While response shift is not new from a clinical perspective, it is a relatively new phenomenon from a methodological viewpoint. Assessments, completed over time may be incomparable due to shifting internal criteria values.25 Response shift describes changes in certain dimensions of HRQoL while other dimensions remain stable. Some measures of functioning are concrete and clearly defined, such as basic activities of daily living (for example someone can or can not walk up a flight of stairs) and are unlikely to undergo response shift. The factors that do undergo response shift with high susceptibility are general health perceptions and overall QoL, with a wide variety of determinants.26 Wilson (1999) reports that the more specific and the discrete the concept being measured, the less the likelihood that response shift will occur. Respectively, the broader the concept measured, the more likely is that response shift will occur. Conclusively, in case there is a change in health away from the homoeostatic state, people immediately begin, in most cases, the process of response, readjustment and coping with short term or long term efforts. The concept of response shift potentially gives new insights into some uncomfortable clinical problems.10 Assessing response shift may therefore be needed to obtain a valid and sensitive assessment of change over time. Sprangers (2002) suggests that the most established method is the comparison of the baseline and retrospective measure that would provide a denotation of the amount and direction of response shift effects.11

Factors associated with health related quality of life in chronic diseases

There are a large number of publications that study HRQoL in chronic disease therefore the relatively recent ones (from 1997 to 2012) that cover a wide range of chronic diseases, were selected for the present study. In agreement with Wilson and Cleary model, factors that were found to be associated with poor and good HRQoL, will be presented.

Factors associated with poor health related quality of life in cancer

Richardson, Wingo, Zack, Zahrani & King (2008) examined HRQoL of breast cancer survivors between ages 20-64 and found that patients who reported being limited by cancer primarily and had unhealthy behaviours, showed lower HRQoL.27 HRQoL of breast cancer patients is associated with more limitations in activities of daily living especially in a great amount of patients aged 45-60 years (55%) and 18-44 years old (39%).28

Among factors that play an important role in HRQoL of breast cancer patients are psychosocial factors. Specifically, psychosocial factors such as problematic partner relationship, sexual functioning and body image as well as less adaptive coping strategies (e.g. lack of positive cognitive restructuring) were associated with impaired HRQoL. In addition patients under 50 years old were at risk for impaired HRQoL several years after diagnosis.29

Another factor found to have an influence in HRQoL of breast cancer patients is the type of surgery. Oshumi et al., (2009) found mastectomy surgery, to be associated with worse HRQoL than breast conserving treatment.30 Therefore, Montazeri (2008) presented an extensive bibliographic review (between 1974 and 2007) of breast cancer publications and concluded that treatment related side-effects negatively affect HRQoL and adherences to therapy.31

A type of cancer with survival rate at approximately 50% is head and neck cancer. Llewellyn, McGurk & Weinman, (2005) under-
took a systematic literature review and focused on psychosocial and behavioural factors. They concluded that lack of social support and satisfaction with information, depressive symptoms and behavioural factors (alcohol, smoking abuse) are associated with impaired HRQoL.

Heart diseases and stroke

A number of publications, study HRQoL in patients with heart failure. Patients with heart failure have significant impairment of all aspects of HRQoL, not simply physical functioning. The physical (role and functioning) health burden is significantly greater than that suffered in other serious common chronic disorders, whether cardiac or other systems. Patients with heart failure that underwent a Left Ventricular Assist Device (LVAD) in situ, showed poorer HRQoL and psychological functioning compared to transplanted and explanted patients. A LVAD is an acceptable alternative therapy in selected patients who are not candidates for cardiac transplantation. More importantly, HRQoL is a predictor of mortality and morbidity after cardiac procedures. Presence of symptoms, such as chest pain, fatigue, and shortness of breath affect HRQoL when patients recover acute cardiac events or procedures (Table 1).

Moreover, in coronary artery disease (CAD) patients, depressive symptoms and type D personality are independent predictors of poor HRQoL. People who show type D personality, experience negative emotions and inhibit the expression of emotion/behaviour. Type D personality is associated with vulnerability to chronic emotional distress and an increased risk for cardiac events in patients with CAD. Subjective (perceived) cognitive impairment in CAD patients is associated with poor HRQoL. While, neurocognitive functioning 5 years after coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG), is found to have a strong connection with decreased HRQoL.

There are a number of papers that study HRQoL in stroke. Their findings suggest that factors such as hemispheric localization of the lesion, paresis, coordination disturbances and especially subjective tendency to depression are highly correlated with poor HRQoL. In addition, poststroke disability is a stronger predictor of low HRQoL than depression 1 year after stroke with patients with severe/moderate disability to have lower HRQoL than depressed patients. Handicap, anxiety, institutionalization and dementia are independently associated with HRQoL. Other investigators reported that cognition is an important factor that influences HRQoL. Finally, self-care and self-efficacy (the confidence a person has in his or her ability to perform relevant self-care activities) are related to HRQoL and depression after stroke.

In primary care practice, insomnia seems to be one common complaint in patients with chronic diseases and has been associated with decline in physical and psychological health as well as increased mortality. Insomnia is found to be independently associated with worsened HRQoL to almost the same extent as chronic conditions such as congestive heart failure and clinical depression.

Diabetes, hepatitis C, HIV

Among a variety of chronic diseases (Table 1), poor HRQoL is associated with a number of factors such as coexisting chronic diseases, with greater number coexisting chronic diseases a person has the more likely to report impaired HRQoL. Adverse health risk behaviours, such as smoking, obesity, physical inactivity and heavy drinking are associated with decreased HRQoL in patients with asthma and diabetes. Additionally, in diabetes having multiple complications is clearly associated with decreased HRQoL.

Depressive symptoms in hepatitis C patients were found to be connected to poor HRQoL. In HIV, individuals with asymptomatic HIV disease enjoy a physical HRQoL similar to that of their non infected counterparts. In contrast, emotional well-being is considerably worse for those with HIV infection than for those without HIV or with other chronic diseases. HRQoL had the strongest association with suicidal ideation among psychiatric patients with HIV and can potentially serve as a screening variable to identify patients particularly at risk.

Bowel disease, renal disease, multiple sclerosis

Continuing, other factors that were found to be connected with poor HRQoL are symptomatic activity and the need for hospitalization in inflammatory bowel disease. Sociodemographic variables, like being female, older, less educated and divorced/widowed, are related to poor HRQoL in patients with end-stage renal disease (kidney function 5-10% of capacity). Women report lower psychological health, a more negative perception on different aspects of their environment and a stronger dissatisfaction with their finances and opportunities for recreation and acquiring new skills. Finally, subjective (perceived) cognitive impairment in multiple sclerosis (MS) was found to be connected with poor HRQoL.

Transplanted patients

Kidney transplanted patients: end-stage renal patients undergo kidney transplantation and they are the vast majority of transplanted patients. The HRQoL of these patients is similar to that of the general population and higher than that of haemodialysis patients. However gender and educational level influence HRQoL, meaning that females and people with lower educational level show impaired HRQoL.

Kidney, liver and heart transplanted patients: overall, transplanted patients, show satisfactorily HRQoL with no differences in experienced HRQoL, 2 years after transplantation between kidney, liver, and heart transplant recipients. However, fifty-three percent of all patients reported bodily pain to be an important problem after organ transplantation, affecting daily living and it limits physical function, vitality and general health.

Factors associated with good health related quality of life

Alongside, there are some factors that were found to be associated with improved HRQoL. Among these seems to be heart revascularization especially in CAD elderly patients. Elderly patients that undergo cardiac surgery, benefit from improved functional status and HRQoL. Many longitudinal studies have confirmed that HRQoL is generally improved after cardiac surgery, but most are restricted to short-term follow up, although some studies have followed up patients at three, five and 20 years after surgery. Herlitz et al. (2009) conducted a study with long-term follow up and found that despite an ongoing decline in HRQoL over the years, there is still an improvement in most its aspects 15 years after CABG compared with that before surgery. Intensified early treatment of diabetes, obesity and left ventricular dysfunction in CABG patients might allow an even better long-term HRQoL. HRQoL is reported to be a predictor of mortality following CABG. The use of an LVAD in patients with advanced heart failure resulted in a clinically meaningful survival benefit and an improved HRQoL.

Oshumi et al., (2009) found that breast conserving treatment versus mastectomy in addition with younger age and higher education, are associated with slightly better HRQoL in breast cancer patients. Montazeri, (2008) suggests that interventions in these patients have a positive effect to HRQoL, despite the negative effects of the disease. Recent findings in diabetes, suggest that pump therapy, compared to multiple daily injections, has beneficial effects on HRQoL. While, results from large studies further suggest that intensive treatment itself does not impair HRQoL. In chronic diseases self-care management has been used as the theoretical underpinning for improved HRQoL.

Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD) show a significant improvement in HRQoL after initiation of epoetin treatment in dialysis and early renal failure patients. The objective of epoetin treatment is a stable increase of haematocrit of four or more points above baseline. In addition HRQoL seems to have a strong positive correlation with haemoglobin concentration/haematocrit, higher
### Table 1. Studies associated with poor health related quality of life in chronic disease.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Studies</th>
<th>Studied chronic disease</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thommasen &amp; Zhang, 2006</td>
<td>Diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, depression/ Anxiety</td>
<td>675</td>
<td>Coexisting chronic disease leads to poor HRQoL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strine <em>et al.</em>, 2008</td>
<td>Asthma, arthritis, diabetes, heart disease</td>
<td>13,483</td>
<td>Adverse health risk behaviours (smoking, obesity, physical inactivity, and heavy drinking) lead to poor HRQoL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katz &amp; McHorney, 2002</td>
<td>Hypertension, diabetes, congestive heart failure, recent myocardial infarction, depression</td>
<td>3,445</td>
<td>Insomnia is associated with worsened HRQoL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falasca <em>et al.</em>, 2009</td>
<td>Hepatitis C patients</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Depressive symptoms are associated with poor HRQoL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haller &amp; Miles, 2003</td>
<td>HIV psychiatric patients</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>Suicidality has an association with poor HRQoL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casellas <em>et al.</em>, 2002</td>
<td>Inflammatory bowel disease</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>Symptomatic activity and the need for hospitalization are associated with poor HRQoL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vinck <em>et al.</em>, 1997</td>
<td>Multiple sclerosis, coronary artery disease</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Subjective (perceived) cognitive impairment is associated with poor HRQoL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newman <em>et al.</em>, 2001</td>
<td>Postoperative coronary artery bypass grafting</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>Neurocognitive functioning is associated with poor HRQoL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denollet <em>et al.</em>, 2000</td>
<td>Coronary artery disease</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>Symptoms of depression and type D personality are associated with poor HRQoL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theofilou 2011</td>
<td>Renal disease (end-stage)</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>Sociodemographic variables, (female, older, less educated &amp; divorced/widowed) are associated with poor HRQoL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carod-Artal <em>et al.</em>, 2000</td>
<td>Stroke patients</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Poststroke disability is associated with poor HRQoL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reboillo <em>et al.</em>, 2000</td>
<td>Kidney transplanted patients &amp; haemodialysis patients</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>Sociodemographic variables (females &amp; people with lower educational level) are associated with poor HRQoL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forsberg <em>et al.</em>, 1999</td>
<td>Kidney, liver &amp; heart transplanted patients</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Bodily pain affects HRQoL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HRQoL, health related quality of life.

### Table 2. Studies associated with good health related quality of life in chronic disease.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Studies</th>
<th>Studied chronic disease</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adegbola, 2007</td>
<td>Renal disease, fibromyalgia, AIDS, arthritis, heart disease</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>Spirituality is associated with good HRQoL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rose <em>et al.</em>, 2001</td>
<td>End-stage heart failure, (left ventricular assist device surgery)</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>Psychological functioning is associated with good HRQoL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azzopardi, 2009</td>
<td>Coronary artery disease</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting is associated with good HRQoL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herlitz <em>et al.</em>, 2009</td>
<td>Coronary artery disease</td>
<td>808</td>
<td>Early treatment of diabetes, obesity &amp; left ventricular dysfunction are associated with good HRQoL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oshumi <em>et al.</em>, 2009</td>
<td>Breast cancer</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Breast Conserving Treatment, younger age &amp; higher education are associated with good HRQoL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
People with chronic illness have identified spirituality as a resource that promotes HRQoL. Spirituality is described as an important element of life, invades all areas of life, enables the person to cope and make sense of the current situation and is studied by few authors and researchers. Spiritual care is a valid part of healthcare delivery and health care professionals should provide spiritual care. Issues of healthcare and spirituality have a common meeting place in suffering from chronic disease, because both offer deliverance and healing in varying degrees. Spirituality plays an important role in reduction of suffering well-being and enhancement of HRQoL is evident among all people and needs explanation beyond the usual ethnocentric perspective (Table 2).

Chronic diseases with impact on health related quality of life

In addition to factors that were found to be associated with poor and good HRQoL, there is the question of which chronic diseases mostly affect HRQoL, according to the literature. Depression is found to be the most disabling disease and osteoarthritis of the knee had greater impact on the HRQoL than many other chronic diseases, in Chinese patients. On the other hand, patients in Europe, who reported the poorest levels of functioning, were those with cerebrovascular/neurologic conditions, renal disease and musculoskeletal conditions, something that raises cross-cultural differences issues.

Cross-cultural differences represent the differences between cultures about health and diseases. Different cultures define health with different ways and tend to focus in different health behaviours. The chronic diseases that mostly affect HRQoL according to Asian population are not the same compared to Western population because Asian population perceive health with more holistic way. Although a major number of studies are referred to North America population, there are studies that investigate HRQoL of other cultures, too.

In contrast, symptomatic activity and sociodemographic variables such as gender and education, male with higher level of education and inactive disease, showed better HRQoL in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. Additionally, urogenital conditions, hearing impairments, psychiatric disorders, and dermatologic conditions were found to result in relatively favourable functioning.

Consequently, HRQoL assessment is used as an outcome of any therapeutic intervention, particularly when invasive procedures such as cardiac operations are performed on groups with limited life expectancy. Measures of functioning, morbidity, and mortality do not provide complete information about physical, functional, emotional, and mental well-being and can be supplemented by the patient’s perceptions of their recovery.

Interventions for chronic diseases

The research of HRQoL in chronic disease is necessary for the creation of interventions. Factors that were found to be associated with good HRQoL in chronic diseases could be used for the design of intervention programmes. Interventions would strengthen public health actions to manage chronic disease. Health interventions are very useful for the patients with different chronic diseases and medical staff too and could become medical routine in the daily care of such patients.

Interventions may include different programmes for different chronic disease. A main contribution of the medical interventions is in decision making, especially in patients with cancer. Intervention studies include physical training, relaxation training, health education and stress management programmes, very useful for CAD patients. Minimal interventions, with educational self-management skills can help patients to reduce the stigmatization related with specific diseases such as HIV and cancer. Other programmes provide psychosocial counselling and health education too.

Psychological assessment and interventions to reduce psychological morbidity and improve HRQoL will be important in patients with heart failure, particularly in view of the increasing numbers of LVADs being implanted and the possibility of their use for long-term destination therapy. Given the dramatic decline in HRQoL, heart failure healthcare interventions will improve it. Studies show that patients are satisfied with their HRQoL at 1 month after implantation of a LVAD and are optimistic about how well they thought they would do after heart transplantation. Psychological factors are considered to be the strongest predictors of satisfaction with overall QoL.

In addition, as regards enhancement of HRQoL, one intervention that used to enhance HRQoL is palliative care. Palliative care provides the patient with life enhancing (rather than life sustaining) interventions in an effort to improve HRQoL. Palliative care focuses on patients whose disease is not responsive to curative treatment and includes control of pain, other symptoms, as well as psychological, social and spiritual problems. The ultimate goal of palliative care is the best HRQoL possible and can be implemented at any point along the chronic illness trajectory and is compatible with active medical care.

Other interventions that may improve HRQoL include support and encouragement strategies, patient education, exercise programmes, employment support and active self-management. It is however useful, within the same chronic disease, to compare people at the same stage of the disease, as each disease has its own usual trajectory. Jenkins (1992) states that trajectories of HRQoL may vary between diseases and are characterized as a function of the balance between forces for improvement and for decline in the disease and in the individual including their age, social characteristics, general health and psycho-social well-being.

Besides all these, there are evidence-based interventions aimed at preventing chronic disease (as ending smoking, eating healthy food and limiting weight gain). These interventions need to be studied in people with one or more diseases to assess their effectiveness.

Discussion

In sum, QoL is inherently a dynamic, multi-level and complex concept, reflecting objective, subjective, macro-societal and micro-individual, positive and negative influences which interact. HRQoL is a multidimensional construct that consists of least three broad domains – physical, psychological, and social functioning – that are affected by one’s disease and/or treatment. Most studies in people with various chronic conditions, usually are describing HRQoL and it would be unusual to see the broader aspects of QoL included/evaluated.

Measuring HRQoL without reference to a conceptual model has constrained the development of a knowledge base for HRQoL research. A conceptual model places concepts in a context and guides the development of new theories. Using theoretically based conceptual models will enhance the applicability of the concept as a reliable and valid outcome measure.

The aforementioned Wilson and Cleary HRQoL conceptual model (1995) provides a theoretical approach to conceptualizing HRQoL as a multidimensional construct and could be used to unify the biomedical and social science paradigms. The biomedical paradigm focuses on pathological processes and biological, physiological, and clinical outcomes, while the social science paradigm focuses on functioning and overall well-being. This model could be used as a tool to assess interventions and organizational performance within the new view that emphasizes health, functioning and QoL and focuses on health care. It guides to the development of new theories, could be used as a tool to assess interventions and identify measure and improve quality care for health care providers and appropriate patient outcomes that contribute to a better quality patient care. It thereby, challenges researchers and clinicians to be responsible for the consequences of their
actions in response to changing roles and perceptions about what constitutes HRQoL.

In general, research on patients with various chronic diseases indicates that coexisting chronic diseases, adverse health risk behaviours, depressive symptoms, insomnia and cognitive impairment, are associated with impaired HRQoL. Therefore, intensified early treatment of diabetes, obesity, and left ventricular dysfunction, spirituality and interventions for reducing psychological morbidity are associated with improved HRQoL.

It seems difficult to get a coherent view of the relationship between HRQoL and chronic disease when the conditions are varying as well as the ethnic and cultural background of the population studied. The studies mentioned earlier (Tables 1 and 2) have several limitations, are heterogeneous some of them have a small number of participants and the studied patients are in different stages of different diseases. However, these studies provide with very useful findings available for testing in future research.

The estimation of the relative impact of chronic diseases on HRQoL is necessary to better plan and distribution resources for research, training and health care, to further promote living well with chronic diseases. Consequently, collaboration among different sciences could produce better treatment outcomes for people living with chronic disease, especially those who are in greatest need. An integrated framework, such as the biopsychosocial model, for healthcare would be built on a single guiding principle: that the aim of health care should include a lot of specialties besides physicians like psychologies, nurses and social workers in order to achieve the enhancement of HRQoL in patients with chronic disease.

References


