PDE-5 inhibitors in monotherapy versus combination therapy in a sample of 1200 patients with erectile dysfunction
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Summary

Objectives: To compare the effectiveness in the treatment of erectile dysfunction when using PDE-5 inhibitors (PDE5i), alprostadil (PG-E1) and testosterone (TES) in monotherapy or combination therapy.

Material and Methods: Observational multicentre retrospective study of men diagnosed and treated for ED between January 2008 and January 2014. Age, social and employment situation, pathological medical history, risk factors, usual treatments, and patients were analysed. Descriptive statistics, one-way ANOVA analysis, Chi2 for qualitative data, t-test, Fisher's exact test and Pearson's correlation coefficient were used; p < 0.05 is considered significant.

Results: Average age was 58.61 years, SD5.02, average follow-up time 48.21 months, SD 6.21, range 6-174 months. Out of the patients 76.12% were married, 9.81% divorced/separated, 10.04% single, 4.03% widowed; 85.14% of the total in stable partnership but 66.16% were not accompanied by their partners. In total 844 patients received monotherapy (397 PDE5i; 62 PG-E1; 36 TES; 27 penile prosthesis; 121 psychotherapy/alternative therapies) and 357 combination therapy (167 PDE5i+TES; 124 PDE5i+PG-E1; 66 PG-E1+TES). There was a homogeneous distribution between risk factors and medical history groups. Satisfactory response according to IIEF-5 was achieved for 72.33% of patients on PDE5i monotherapy. 46.65% of patients on PDE5i+PG-E1 combination therapy and 83.41% of patients on PDE5i+TES.

Conclusions: The best therapeutic success for ED in this series was achieved through a combination of testosterone+PDE-5 inhibitors without increasing morbidity and maintaining the response over time. Larger studies with longer follow-up will corroborate these findings.
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INTRODUCTION

Erectile dysfunction (ED) is defined as the persistent and/or recurrent inability to achieve and maintain sufficient rigidity of penis to allow satisfactory sexual performance (1), extended for at least three months, except for the cases secondary to trauma or surgery, or those cases problematically experienced by the patient (2). The World Health Organization expressly recognizes that sexual health is a basic right of the individual and that erectile dysfunction is a serious disease that changes the quality of life of those suffering it, their partners and families, and that can be framed in the group III (3, 4).

ED is currently considered a health indicator, i.e. an alarm signal that can predict the presence of serious cardiovascular diseases (5). However, most cases have a multifactorial origin and for almost all men, ED will be accompanied by some psychological disorder that is sometimes the only cause of the dysfunction perpetuation (6). The main objective of the treatment strategy for ED patients is to determine the aetiology of the disease, to treat it whenever possible and not to treat only the symptoms.

On the 1st International Consulation on ED, it was established that modifiable risk factors should be corrected for initial treatment, and that therapeutic proposals staggered from the least to the most aggressive and individualised for each patient should be provided (3). The first-line drug treatment is established with phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitors; testosterone should be used for patients with proved hypogonadism, and prostaglandin-E1 for those who don't respond or cannot take PDE5i (7).

However, we postulate that monotherapy with PDE-5 inhibitors (PDE5i), prostaglandin E1 (PG-E1) and testosterone (TES) may be less effective than combination therapy with 2 or 3 components.

No conflict of interest declared.
Materials and methods
A retrospective observational multicentre study was designed in order to assess the effectiveness of different therapeutic modalities for ED. Medical records of men diagnosed and treated for ED between January 2008 and January 2014 at the Andrology consultations of the University Hospital of Salamanca and the Hospital of Navarra were reviewed. Age, social and employment situation, pathological medical history, risk factors, usual treatments, IIEF and IIEF-5 questionnaire score at the first consultation and at first month and each 6 months follow-ups, physical examination, calculated total and free testosterone and received treatment were collected.

A descriptive study of the distribution of the frequency of every studied qualitative variable, and the corresponding descriptive statistics (central tendency and dispersion measures) of the quantitative variables included in the study (univariate analysis) was carried out for the statistical treatment of the information. A variables association study (bivariate analysis) was then performed in order to assess the relationship, statistically significant, p < 0.05, among the variables included in the study. Parametric and nonparametric tests based on the characteristics of the variables and the adequate procedures and tests have been used in this process.

The response to the 5 questions IIEF questionnaire was classified as follows:
- No response (0): score between 5 and 16;
- Partial/slight response (1): score between 17 and 21;
- Satisfactory response (2): score between 22 and 25.

Results
During the study period, 1200 men diagnosed and treated for ED were identified in Salamanca and Navarra (Spain).

The average age was 58.61, ranging between 43 and 75. The average follow-up time was 48.21 months, SD 6.21 with a minimum follow-up time of 6 months and a maximum of 174 months. Out of all the patients 76.12% were married, 9.81% divorced or separated, 10.04% single and 4.03% widowed (Figure 1).

Table 1. Distribution of patients included in each group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment received</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monotherapy</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDE5i</td>
<td>272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vardenafil 20 mg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sildenafil 25-50-100 mg</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tadalafil 10-20 mg</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alprostadil</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testosterone</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penile prosthesis</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Combination therapy</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDE5i+TES</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDE5i+PG-E1</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG-E1+TES</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In general 85.14% of the total reported having a stable partner, but 66.16% were not accompanied by their partners. Furthermore, 61.83% reported having normal ejaculations and 35.02% reported maintaining normal sexual desire. In total 844 patients were in treatment with mono-therapy and 356 with combination therapy. Eight groups were differentiated according to the drug received:
- Group A: phosphodiesterase inhibitors 5;
- Group B: alprostadil;
- Group C: testosterone;
- Group D: penile prosthesis;
- Group E: other treatments (psychotherapy, alternative therapies);
- Group F: PDE5i and testosterone combination;
- Group G: PDE5i and alprostadil combination;
- Group H: alprostadil and testosterone combination.

Table 1 shows the number of patients included in each group.

Table 2 shows a summary of the patients’ response to the IIEF-5 questionnaire in the different study groups. At the first visit, all patients have an unsatisfactory erectile function; then the percentage of intra-group patients regarding the satisfaction degree at first month and each 6 months follow-ups after establishing the different therapeutic measures is shown in percentage.

Regarding the medical history of men, 42.01% suffered from hypertension, 20.03% from diabetes mellitus, 30.42% from obesity (BMI > 30); 11.30% from some type of heart disease and 10.32% from psychiatric disorders. Furthermore 64.33% were active smokers (5-40 cigarettes/day) and 69.39% reported alcohol consumption of 20 g or higher. The patients were on polypharmacy in 70.01%: 46.38% was taking anti-hypertensive drugs, 12.24% 5α-reductase inhibitors and 10.09% antidepressant. Finally 6.52% of patients suffered from prostate cancer and 3.02% had undergone radical prostatectomy. No statistically significant differences between groups regarding these records were proved.

Satisfactory response was found for 72.33% of patients under PDE5i monotherapy, 46.65% of patients under combination therapy with PDE5i+PG-E1 and 83.41% of patients under PDE5i+TES. The mortality in the series was 2.01%, although these deaths were not related to ED. In fact there was no relation between death and erectile dysfunction or received treatment in any case. Administration of TES was stopped in two cases due to
Table 2.
Summary of the patients’ response to the IIEF-5 questionnaire in the different study groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response to IIEF-5</th>
<th>1st</th>
<th>F/U 1st month</th>
<th>F/U 12 months</th>
<th>F/U 24 months</th>
<th>F/U 36 months</th>
<th>F/U 48 months</th>
<th>F/U 72 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group A</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group B</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group C</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group D</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group E</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group F</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group G</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group H</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

the increase in packed cell volume, in one case due to PSA increase with no confirmation of prostate cancer after biopsy and in two other cases due to mood changes. The overall response to the treatment was satisfactory in 70.04%, partial in 16.09% and there was no response in 13.87%. No changes were seen in the annual follow-ups (p = 0.4836) after analysing the responses to the IIEF questionnaire.

Discussion
A number of studies have shown the importance of sexual health and its direct influence on psychological well-being of individuals and their partners (4). Society evolution is oriented towards a even greater importance of sexual health in the future (8). However, it is estimated that only 16.5% of patients with erectile dysfunction go to the doctor in order to be diagnosed and treated, mainly because both doctors and patients are still reluctant to treat sexual issues openly at the consultation.

It is estimated that over 320 million men will suffer from ED in Western countries, which means more than twice the observed prevalence 15 years ago. This invites us to reassess the therapeutic strategy of this pathology (9, 10). The main objective of the treatment strategy for patients with ED is to determine the aetiology of the disease, to treat it whenever possible and not to treat only the symptoms. It is very important to encourage changes in the patient’s lifestyle in order to decrease the incidence of cardiovascular diseases (11): doing regular exercise, stop smoking, healthy diet, drinking little alcohol. The potential benefits of lifestyle changes may be particularly important in patients with ED and selected concomitant cardiovascular or metabolic diseases such as diabetes or hypertension (12, 13). In fact, studies show that intensive changes in lifestyle not only improve erectile function, but can be also beneficial for overall cardiovascular and metabolic health (14). Once risk factors are identified and corrected, the first-line drug treatment is established with phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitors in monotherapy; with a broad safety profile even in patients with multiple pathologies, considering combination therapy as second-line (15). The main reason why a patient does not use properly PDE5i is the inadequate advice of the physician, who may encourage not using adequate sexual stimulation, not using the appropriate dose or not waiting enough time between the drug intake and the attempt of intercourse (16). A drug must be taken at least 6 times in order to test its effectiveness (17) and it must be taken at maximum dose. Furthermore, the right patient education has been found to help achieving the effective response of a PDE5 inhibitor without apparent previous response after underlining the importance of dose, the moment of intake and the necessary sexual stimulation (18, 19). A second-line setting was performed in one study and patients treated with tadalafil were advised to wait at least two hours; the patients treated with vardenafil were advised to use it fasting, rescuing patients with no apparent response (20). Chronic therapy with PDE5i is safe and is an alternative in the treatment of ED in any degree or aetiology, although no subpopulation of men with ED taking greater advantages from chronic therapy has been identified, but it is promoted as the best alternative for men who seek for more naturalness and spontaneity. Furthermore, chronic inhibition of PDE-5 might be a treatment of endothelial dysfunction. Tadalafil 5 mg is the only drug approved in this sense (21). Two non-randomized trials have showed that daily intake of a PDE5i can rescue some patients who do not respond to intermittent administration: in one study, some patients benefited from regular administration of vardenafil or tadalafil (22); in other study, daily administration of tadalafil rescued patients who had not responded to intermittent administration of a PDE-5 inhibitor (23). Tadalafil is the only drug approved for daily administration of a dose of 2.5 to 5 mg.
Sildenafil, tadalafil and vardenafil can be nowadays considered equally effective and safe, therefore it is postulated that patients should have the opportunity of trying the three drugs and then select the one that best suits their sexual habits and is most effective (24). Some authors have studied the pharmacological combination value in ED, especially in those cases refractory to conventional treatment (10, 25). In patients with testosterone deficiency, normalization of serum testosterone may improve the response to PDE5i: Shabsigh et al. (26) studied the administration of testosterone gel and sildenafil 100 mg or sildenafil 100mg in a double-blind
study with 75 hypogonadal men with ED for 12 weeks. Combination therapy group patients significantly improved the IIEF-EF domain (+4 vs. 2.1) and better but not significant scores were observed in the IIEF-Q3-Q4. One patient left the combination therapy due to adverse event. Aversa et al. (27) assessed administration of patches of testosterone 5 mg and sildenafil 100 mg versus sildenafil 100 mg in monotherapy. In this non-masking study, 77 hypogonadal men with ED were studied for one month. The group under combination therapy had a significant improvement of the IIEF (21.8 vs. 14.2), of the number of intercourses (2.8 vs. 1.5), of satisfactory intercourses (12.1 vs. 7.7) and of erections (80% vs. 10%). The testosterone replacement therapy can be provided with gel at 1% achieving improvement from the first month in the IIEF, although with no statistical significance (26). The hypogonadal men can receive TRT and add tadalafil with good response or receive vardenafil when they do not respond to testosterone androgeno monotherapy, with good response in 88% and better satisfaction of their partners (26). In another case series published by Greenstein et al. (28), it was observed that in 17 out of 49 hypogonadal patients in whom TES monotherapy had failed, a significant improvement of erectile function according to the IIEF questionnaire was achieved after combination with sildenafil. In addition, all the 49 patients reported to be satisfied with their erections under combination therapy. Prevalence of total testosterone deficiency in men with ED is highly variable depending on the assessed studies, showing a broad range from 1.72% to 47.7%, although studies with the largest number of patients set it at 5.7% (29), 6.65% (30) or 15% (31). A significant association between the decrease of free testosterone and insulin resistance has also been proved, which could justify the use of TES as combination therapy in diabetic patients without prostate cancer (32). TES replacement therapy is contraindicated in patients with history of prostate cancer or with prostatism symptoms. Rectal examination and a PSA test should be performed before starting this treatment. Clinical response and emergence of liver disease or prostate condition (33) should be then controlled. There are no contraindications in the use of TES in men with coronary disease correctly diagnosed as hypogonadism or ED, although packed cell volume should be monitored and testosterone dose adjustment may be necessary, especially in patients with congestive heart failure. The PG-E1, marketed as alprostadil, is considered the second-line treatment, mainly administered as intracavernous injections at 5-40 mg doses (11). The right technique for the drug administration should be taught to the patient, which is also useful in order to verify successful response to the treatment. Effectiveness' rates are around 70%; sexual activity is presented after 94% of injections, with satisfactory rates ranging from 87 to 93.5% in patients and from 86 to 90.3% in their partners (11). Intracavernous therapy is however accompanied by high dropout rates ranging from 41 to 68% and its compliance is limited (34). The first combination of intracavernous therapy was performed by Zorgniotti in 1983 with papaverine and phentolamine (35). It is still indicated in patients with PG-E1 painful injections or when PG-E1 monotherapy becomes ineffective (36). A physiological study proves that this combination therapy of ICI with Trimix and sildenafil has better results in Doppler ultrasound and higher increase of guanosine monophosphate (cGMP) and adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) in the corpus cavernosum (37). Only two studies were published about this combination therapy in patients who did not report problems with priapism and referred difficulty in adjusting doses: the first study included 93 patients who did not respond to ICI (Trimix), of which 34% responded to sildenafil as rescue therapy, 48% of the rest had a good response to combination therapy, but had more side effects (38). The second study with 22 post-RP patients, in which better results were obtained by combination therapy (sildenafil + Trimix) versus monotherapies (39). The use of sildenafil and alprostadil has been successful after failure of monotherapy with sildenafil, although success rates vary from 47 to 100% (25). This therapy has been used even in patients who had undergone retro-pubic radical prostatectomy with nerve preservation, with satisfactory results (39, 40). The key to the success of this association is the use of two different cavernous ways: PDE5 inhibitors indirectly relax body's smooth muscle by inhibiting the metabolism of cyclic guanosine monophosphate, for which nitric oxide is required; PG-E1 directly relaxes trabecular smooth muscle tissue through E-prostanoid receptors, which leads to an increase of cyclic adenosine monophosphate. Therefore, the use in combination of these two drugs theoretically allows a therapeutic synergy to activate two different but inter-related ways (10, 41). In our series, patients did not report suffering severe adverse effects with this combination, and in particular no higher incidence of priapism compared to monotherapy group. There is no scientific evidence of previous use of PG-E1 and TES in combination therapy (10). In one study with 120 men who had not responded to sildenafil or intraurethral alprostadil monotherapy, it is assessed what happens when both are combined. None stopped the combination therapy due to adverse effects and they improved the IIEF score (42). Other studies have reported the same with smaller series of patients (43), even in patients who had undergone radical prostatectomy who had not responded to monotherapy and in both studies with no increase of adverse effects after combination therapy (44). A meta-analysis with 515 men with ED and LUTS concluded that combination therapy is more effective than PDE5i, with better scores on the IIEF questionnaires, IPSS, and peak flow (45). A meta-analysis with 398 men showed better effectiveness and greater adherence to treatment when psychosexual therapy is combined with PDE5i in comparison to simple administration of PDE5i (46, 47).

**Conclusions**

The best therapeutic success for ED in our series is achieved by combining testosterone and PDE-5 inhibitors without increasing morbidity and keeping the response over time. Waiting for the results of monotherapy instead of establishing a combination therapy initial-
ly leads to lower success of therapy as well as to stop try-
ing and a more negative attitude. It also increased anx-
ity of patients who did not have a satisfactory answer.
We advise using combination drug therapies as the
effects are well known and different in order to promote
synergy of treatment by helping to solve the various
mechanisms involved in erectile dysfunction.
Larger studies with longer follow-up will corroborate
these findings.
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